

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

The Applicants' Responses to January 2025 Hearing Action Points (Revision 2) (Tracked) Submission for Deadline 1

Document Date:	January 2025
Document Reference:	11.6
Revision Number:	02
Classification:	Unrestricted





Company:	RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited	Asset:	Development
Project:	Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms	Sub Project/Package	Consents
Document Title or Description:	The Applicants' Responses to January 2025 Hearing Action Points (Tracked)		
Document Number:	005633280-02	Contractor Reference Number:	PC2340-RHD-ZZ-ZZ- RP-Z-0192

COPYRIGHT © RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited, 2024. All rights reserved.

This document is supplied on and subject to the terms and conditions of the Contractual Agreement relating to this work, under which this document has been supplied, in particular:

LIABILITY

In preparation of this document RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited has made reasonable efforts to ensure that the content is accurate, up to date and complete for the purpose for which it was contracted. RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited makes no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of material supplied by the client or their agent.

Other than any liability on RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited detailed in the contracts between the parties for this work RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited shall have no liability for any loss, damage, injury, claim, expense, cost or other consequence arising as a result of use or reliance upon any information contained in or omitted from this document.

Any persons intending to use this document should satisfy themselves as to its applicability for their intended purpose.

The user of this document has the obligation to employ safe working practices for any activities referred to and to adopt specific practices appropriate to local conditions.

Rev No.	Date	Status/Reason for Issue	Author	Checked by	Approved by
01	January 2025	Submission for Deadline 1	RHDHV	RWE	RWE
02	February 2025	Resubmission of document to correct error.	RHDHV	RWE	RWE





Revision Change Log			
Rev No.	Page	Section	Description
01	N/A	N/A	Submitted for Deadline 1
02	26-32	Section 4	Added in responses to Action Points 8, 9 and 14 which were omitted in error.





Contents

1	Introduction	.12
2	Responses to Compulsory Acquisition Hearing Action Points	. 13
3	Responses to Issue Specific Hearing 1 Action Points	. 17
4	Responses to ISH2 Hearing Questions – Offshore Topics	.23
5	Responses to ISH ₂ Hearing Questions – Onshore Topics	42
Арр	pendix A – Written Questions	- 57
Арр	pendix B – Shipping and Navigation Cumulative Vessel Deviation	64
Арр	pendix C – Example Construction Compound Photos	65
Арр	pendix D – ERYC Level 1 SFRA data	66
App liste	pendix E - All properties that form part of each Noise Sensitive Receptor as ed in Table 25-16 of Chapter 25 Noise	. 67

Tables

Table 2-1 The Applicants' Responses to the Examining Authority's CAH Action
Points held on Tuesday 14 th January 202513
Table 3-1 The Applicants' Responses to the Examining Authority's ISH1 Action
Points held on Wednesday 15 th January 202517
Table 4-1 The Applicants' Responses to the Examining Authority's Action Points
from ISH2 (Day 1) held on Wednesday 15 th January 2025
Table 5-1 The Applicants' Responses to the Examining Authority's Action Points
from ISH2 (Day 2) held on Thursday 16 th January 2025
Table A-1 The Applicants' Responses to the Examining Authority's Written
Questions that were converted to writing arising from Day 2 of the Issue Specific
Hearing 2 on 16 th January 202557
Table B-1 Shipping and Navigation Cumulative Vessel Deviation 64
Table E-1 Summary of Noise Sensitive Receptors by Group or Individual
Table E-2 Individual Noise Sensitive Receptors 70





Glossary

Term	Definition
Array Areas	The DBS East and DBS West offshore Array Areas, where the wind turbines, offshore platforms and array cables would be located. The Array Areas do not include the Offshore Export Cable Corridor or the Inter-Platform Cable Corridor within which no wind turbines are proposed. Each area is referred to separately as an Array Area.
Construction Buffer Zone	1km zone around the Array Areas and Offshore Export Cable Corridor, and 500m zone around the Inter-Platform Cabling Corridor. Construction vessels may occupy this zone but no permanent infrastructure would be installed within these areas.
Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA)	The assessment of the combined effect of the Projects in combination with the effects of a number of different (defined cumulative) schemes, on the same single receptor/resource.
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).
Effect	Term used to express the consequence of an impact. The significance of an effect is determined by correlating the magnitude of the impact with the value, or sensitivity, of the receptor or resource in accordance with defined significance criteria.
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	A statutory process by which certain planned projects must be assessed before a formal decision to proceed can be made. It involves the collection and consideration of environmental information, which fulfils the assessment requirements of the EIA Directive and EIA Regulations, including the publication of an Environmental Statement (ES).
Environmental Statement (ES)	A document reporting the findings of the EIA and produced in accordance with the EIA Directive as transposed into UK law by the EIA Regulations.
Haul Road	The track along the Onshore Export Cable Corridor used by traffic to access different sections of the onshore export cable route for construction.
Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD)	HDD is a trenchless technique to bring the offshore cables ashore at the landfall and can be used for crossing other obstacles such as roads, railways and watercourses onshore.







Term	Definition
Impact	Used to describe a change resulting from an activity via the Projects, i.e. increased suspended sediments / increased noise.
Jointing Bays	Underground structures constructed at regular intervals along the onshore cable route to join sections of cable and facilitate installation of the cables into the buried ducts.
Main Commercial Route	Defined transit route (mean position) of commercial vessels identified within each Shipping and Navigation Study Area.
Main River	Main Rivers are usually large rivers or streams that are designated under the Water Resources Act (1991) and are shown on the statutory Main River Map. They are managed by the Environment Agency, who carry out construction, maintenance and improvement works to manage flood risk.
National Policy Statement (NPS)	A document setting out national policy against which proposals for NSIPs will be assessed and decided upon.
Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP)	Large scale development including power generating stations which requires development consent under the Planning Act 2008. An offshore wind farm project with a capacity of more than 100 MW constitutes an NSIP.
Navigational Risk Assessment (NRA)	A document which assesses the hazards to shipping and navigation of a proposed Offshore Renewable Energy Installation based upon Formal Safety Assessment.
Offshore Development Area	The Offshore Development Area for ES encompasses both the DBS East and West Array Areas, the Inter-Platform Cable Corridor, the Offshore Export Cable Corridor, plus the associated Construction Buffer Zones.
Offshore Export Cable Corridor	This is the area which will contain the offshore export cables (and potentially the ESP) between the Offshore Converter Platforms and Transition Joint Bays at the landfall.
Onshore Converter Stations	A compound containing electrical equipment required to transform HVDC and stabilise electricity generated by the Projects so that it can be connected to the electricity transmission network as HVAC. There will be one Onshore Converter Station for each Project.
Onshore Development Area	The Onshore Development Area for ES is the boundary within which all onshore infrastructure required for the Projects would be located including Landfall Zone, Onshore Export Cable Corridor, accesses, Temporary Construction Compounds and Onshore Converter Stations.







Term	Definition
Onshore Export Cable Corridor	This is the area which includes cable trenches, haul roads, spoil storage areas, and limits of deviation for micro-siting. For assessment purposes, the cable corridor does not include the Onshore Converter Stations, Transition Joint Bays or temporary access routes; but includes Temporary Construction Compounds (purely for the cable route).
Onshore Substation Zone	Parcel of land within the Onshore Development Area where the Onshore Converter Station infrastructure (including the haul roads, Temporary Construction Compounds and associated cable routeing) would be located.
Order Limits	The limits within which the Projects may be carried.
Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)	Defined in the EIA Regulations as information referred to in part 1, Schedule 4 (information for inclusion in environmental statements) which has been compiled by the applicants and is reasonably required to assess the environmental effects of the development.
Project Change Request 1	The proposed changes to the DCO application for the Projects set out in Project Change Request 1 - Offshore & Intertidal Works [AS-141].
Project Change Request 2	The proposed changes to the DCO application for the Projects set out in Project Change Request 2- Onshore Substation Zone [AS-152].
Projects' Design Envelope	A concept that ensures the EIA is based on assessing the realistic worst- case scenario where flexibility or a range of options is sought as part of the consent application.
Receptor	A distinct part of the environment on which effects could occur and can be the subject of specific assessments. Examples of Receptors include species (or groups) of animals, plants, people (often categorised further such as 'residential' or those using areas for amenity or recreation), watercourses etc.
Safety zones	Legislated under the Energy Act 2004, safety zones are rolling buffer areas which protect construction activities by preventing unauthorised vessels from entering their boundary.
Scoping Opinion	The report adopted by the Planning Inspectorate on behalf of the Secretary of State.
Scoping Report	The report that was produced in order to request a Scoping Opinion from the Secretary of State.







Term	Definition
Temporary Construction Compound	An area set aside to facilitate construction of the Projects. These will be located adjacent to the Onshore Export Cable Corridor and within the Onshore Substation Zone, with access to the highway.
The Applicants	The Applicants for the Projects are RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited. The Applicants are themselves jointly owned by the RWE Group of companies (51% stake) and Masdar (49% stake).
The Projects	DBS East and DBS West (collectively referred to as the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms).





Acronyms

Acronym	Definition	
ADD	Acoustic Deterrent Device	
AIS	Air Insulated Switchgear	
BoR	Book of Reference	
САН	Compulsory Acquisition Hearing	
CEA	Cumulative Effects Assessment	
CfD	Contract for Difference	
DBS	Dogger Bank South	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
DESNZ	Department for Energy Security and Net Zero	
DIO	Defence Infrastructure Organisation	
DML	Deemed Marine Licences	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
ERYC	East Riding of Yorkshire Council	
ES	Environmental Statement	
ESO	Electricity System Operator	
ExA	Examining Authority	
GIS	Gas Insulated Switchgear	
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drilling	
HND	Holistic Network Design	
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current	
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current	
ISH1	Issue Specific Hearing 1	







Acronym	Definition
ISH2	Issue Specific Hearing 2
MCZ	Marine Conservation Zone
MMMP	Marine Mammal Mitigation Plan
MMO	Marine Management Organisation
MOD	Ministry of Defence
MW	Megawatts
NAS	Noise Abatement Systems
NPS	National Policy Statement
OCoCP	Outline Code of Construction Practice
OCS	Onshore Converter Stations
OLMP	Outline Landscape Management Plan
PAM	Passive Acoustic Monitoring
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
PRoW	Public Right of Way
PSR	Primary Surveillance Radar
PTMP	Port Traffic Management Plan
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SIP	Site Integrity Plan
SNS	Southern North Sea
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground
SPA	Special Protection Area
SuDS	Sustainable Drainage Systems
ТСС	Temporary Construction Compound







Acronym	Definition	
TCE	Temporary Construction Easement	
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance	





1 Introduction

- The Compulsory Acquisition Hearing (CAH) and Issue Specific Hearings 1 (ISH1) and 2 (ISH2) for the Dogger Bank South (DBS) East and DBS West (collectively referred to as the 'Projects') were held by the Examining Authority (ExA) virtually on 14th - 16th January 2025.
- 2. The Action Points from the CAH [EV3-003] and ISH1 [EV4-003] were published by the Planning Inspectorate on 16th January 2025, with the Action Points from ISH2 published on 17th January 2025.
- 3. This document outlines how RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited ('the Applicants') for the Projects have addressed each of the Actions Points.
 - Section 2 CAH;
 - Section 3 ISH1;
 - Section 4 ISH2 (Offshore topics); and
 - Section 5 ISH2 (Onshore topics).





2 Responses to Compulsory Acquisition Hearing Action Points

Table 2-1 The Applicants' Responses to the Examining Authority's CAH Action Points held on Tuesday 14th January 2025

Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
1	Submit a copy of the script read out by Mr Boswall under agenda item 2 which set out the case for Compulsory Acquisition and Temporary Possession against the tests set out in sections 122 and 123 of the Planning Act 2008.	This has been included in The Applicants' Written Summaries of Oral Submissions made at CAH1, ISH1 an
2	Clarify if the existing boat storage would be affected by the proposed emergency beach access road and temporary construction compound. If the boat storage would not be affected set out how the boat storage would be retained and accessed for the duration of the proposed works. <i>This item will also be discussed under Item 14 (Traffic and Transport) at Issue Specific Hearing 2</i>	The existing boat storage area would not be used by the Projects. A temporary compound (TCC) would be est compound as shown in the aerial plan, below and on Chapter 5 - Project Description Figure 5-1 to Figure 5-4 [] reference: 7.5]. Access to the boat storage yard will be maintained during construction, no stone road would be would be fenced. No impact to the operation of the boat storage yard would occur in the circumstance that the utilised.
3	Confirm if the areas of segregated land along the proposed onshore cable corridor have been included in the assessment of land loss within the Environmental Statement (ES). If they have signpost where in the ES this is detailed and if not, why not and should it be?	This point is addressed in the Applicants response to ISH 2, Agenda Item 13: Land Use and Ground Conditions
	use and ground conditions) at Issue Specific Hearing 2.	
RWE	MASDAR 😘	

EcoDoc Number 005633280

d ISH2 [document reference 11.4].

tablished adjacent to the boat [APP-072], Figure 5-3b [document be required and only the compound he Emergency Beach Access is

and Action Point No.42

Page | 13



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
4	Provide background and justification as to why all the proposed construction compounds in the converter station area would be needed, as identified on page 18 of the Work Plans (onshore)	A Temporary Construction Compound (TCC) is located adjacent to the A1079 to facilitate construction of the that the cable contractor and Converter Station contractor may be separate parties / contracts and therefore location is retained as a potential option for a Main compound to also support cable works within the Substat Connection to the proposed National Grid Substation at Birkhill Wood.
	[<u>PDA-003</u>].	Within the Onshore Substation Zone there are three options for TCCs to facilitate the construction of the On associated landscaping within the Onshore Substation Zone.
		One footprint is located on the western Onshore Converter Station location to provide an option if only one F for use in the in-isolation and sequential construction scenarios.
		Two footprints are located nearby the Onshore Converter Station locations to provide land extents required to each Converter Station, separate compound options for each footprint. Each Onshore Converter Station may If only the in-isolation scenario was taken forward then one of these compounds would not be required.
		Further detail about the key equipment to be located within the Onshore Substation Zone TCC has been add [APP-071] at Deadline 1.
		Construction compounds along the Onshore Export Cable Corridor are described in section 5.7.1.8 of Chapte include the following:
		 Two Main Temporary Construction Compounds would be required, regardless of the Development Sca installation and cable pulling works. The final location of these is not yet confirmed and a number of o the Onshore Development Area. The Applicants have four options for the Main Compounds. Main Cor Works Plans (Onshore) (Revision 3) [PDA-003], whereas satellite compounds are 16A/B. Works 20A/B located at:
		 A165 (Whitecross Road) A1035 (Constitution Hill) (2 Options, one north and south) South of A1079 - just going into Onshore Substation Zone (described above)
		 These would operate as hubs for the onshore construction works and would house the central offices as acting as staging posts and secure storage for equipment and component deliveries. The construction works would also require a series of Satellite Temporary Construction Compounds t for the onshore construction works as the cable work fronts pass through an area. They may house per concrete or Cement Bound Sand (CBS) batching plant, localised stores, as well as acting as staging post equipment and component deliveries.
		The indicative layouts provided in Appendix 5-3 Engineering Drawings [APP-075] also include: Vehicle parkin plant and additional climate controlled storage for equipment to be installed within the Onshore Converter Stores and additional climate controlled storage for equipment to be installed within the Onshore Converter Stores and additional climate controlled storage for equipment to be installed within the Onshore Converter Stores and additional climate controlled storage for equipment to be installed within the Onshore Converter Stores and additional climate controlled storage for equipment to be installed within the Onshore Converter Stores and additional climate controlled storage for equipment to be installed within the Onshore Converter Stores and additional climate control stores and additional climate contr
5	Review the Public Rights of Way Plans [APP-017] and the Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan [AS-094, page 1151] and update as necessary to ensure it is clear whether Walkington Footpath 9 is or is not within the Order Limits.	The Applicants would like to clarify that Walkington Footpath 9 is marked on the plan to the east of the field B Limits i.e. both the Order Limits and the PRoW run along the field boundary. Table 4-1 in Appendix C - Outlin Management Plan (Revision 2) of the Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094 measures for any PRoW or cycleway located within the Order Limits, references Walkington No.9 as 'PAT-028 proposed to temporarily stop up or include any management at this location as it is envisaged that the fence would be microsited to avoid the PRoW: 'No management required, would be located along the edge of a fence
		The separation of the PRoW from the temporary fence would be agreed with ERYC at the detailed design state Appendix C - OutlineProW Management Plan (Revision 2) of the OCoCP (Revision 3) [document references diversion within the Order Limits but would also be applicable at this location, it is expected to be between tw Outline PRoW (Revision 2) of the OCoCP (Revision 3) [document reference: 8.9] has also been agreed with the





Onshore Export Cable Corridor (likely separate compounds required). This tion Zone and the Onward Cable

shore Converter Stations and

Project is being constructed – suitable

to provide laydown of 30,000m² for y be constructed by separate parties.

ded to Chapter 5 Project Description

r 5 Project Description [APP-071] and

cenario, to support the cable duct options are being considered within impounds are works 20A/B on the B - Potential Main Compounds may be

, welfare facilities, and stores, as well

that would operate as support bases portable offices, welfare facilities, sts for localised secure storage for

ing, Storage of topsoil, CBS batching Stations.

boundary and is just within the Order **ne Public Rights of Way (PRoW)** 4] which sets out the management 8A' on p.27. The Applicants have not e for the Substation Zone Compound *ed temporary construction compound.'*

age, but as described in section 4.6 of : 8.9] which relates to a temporary wo to five metres. **Appendix C** the East Riding of Yorkshire Council



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
		(ERYC) countryside and PRoW officer as part of the PRoW and Access Environmental Technical Group, we disc the proposed management measures at the meetings. This is documented in the East Riding of Yorkshire Co (SoGC) (document reference: 9.2), submitted at Deadline 1. The requirement to prepare a detailed PRoW Man relevant planning authority is also secured by Requirement 24 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DC 3.1].
6	Confirm why the proposed access and haul road adjacent to Mouse Hill would be necessary.	To allow for construction traffic to access the Onward Cable Connection route to the proposed National Grid S Onshore Converter Stations, as there will be a trenchless crossing under the A164 Jock's Lodge Development. (shown on the Access to Works Plan [APP-016]) is proposed to the east of the A164.
		This access has been located to align with the new highway access to Mouse Hill and the new A164 highway a the Jocks Lodge upgrade scheme. The location of the Projects relative to the Jocks Lodge upgrade scheme is Lodge Proposals Compared to DBS Onshore Works Submission in Response to Rule 17 Letter [AS-013].
		Access AC17 (West) would allow construction traffic to access from the new access to Mouse Hill from the real travel northeast toward the cable route from the Onshore Converter Stations to the proposed National Grid S minimise the interaction with existing users of the access to Mouse Hill (which is also a bridleway), the Order I temporary haul road parallel to the existing track.
7	Reconcile the land plot differences identified with the Land Rights Tracker [AS-045] and Book of Reference [AS-043] related to Albanwise Ltd and Albanwise Synergy Ltd. Specifically plots 11-015, 12- 002/003/004/006 and 19-002/003/007.	The Applicants have updated the Land Rights Tracker (Revision 2) [AS-045] in line with the comments made submitted at Deadline 1.
9	Clarify why Network Rail Infrastructure Limited appears twice in the Land Rights Tracker [AS-045].	Network Rail are a category 1 and 2 party and therefore listed twice in the Land Rights Tracker (Revision 3) [landowner but also as an apparatus owner.
12	Respond to the Riplingham Estates Ltd and The Los Trustees representation made by Michael Glover LLP of 13 January 2025 [AS-153]	The Applicants and their appointed agent are reviewing the 91-page document submitted and are trying to m Riplingham Estates Ltd and The Los Trustees prior to deadline 2 to discuss their document with a view of reac the close of the examination.
13	Clarify how the affected persons (J L White and Son, Oliver White, Pamela White, Andrew James Martin White and Albanwise Ltd and Albanwise Synergy Ltd) and their respective land interests are linked and ensure this is appropriately reflected in the Land Rights Tracker [AS-045].	J L White and Son, Oliver White, Pamela White and Andrew James White are tenants of Albanwise Ltd and are Rights Tracker (Revision 3) [document reference 10.4]. Albanwise Synergy Ltd is a company owned by Alban own right. The Land Rights Tracker (Revision 3) [document reference 10.4] reflects the above.
15	Provide an explanation as to why only Lake Farm, Rose Cottage and St Peters House in Bentley are identified as Category 3 parties when these	The Applicants are undertaking a review of all Category 3 parties in response to this question and will provide Deadline 2. If any changes are required to the Book of Reference (Revision 4) [AS-148] to add or remove Cate Reference will also be submitted at Deadline 2.
	properties form part of a longer row of properties which are also identified in Requirement 21 of the draft Development Consent Order [AS-120], namely Church Cottage, 1-4 Manor Farm Cottages and Keeper's Cottage. If these properties should be	If any new Category 3 parties are identified, the Applicants will write to the affected parties to make them awa they are able to take part in the examination process should they wish. This is in accordance with the approac "Guidance on the pre-application stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects" (the Applicants note apply to the pre-application stage but submit that the principles set out in relation to any updates to land inte





cussed each of the crossing points and buncil Statement of Common Ground nagement Plan to be approved by the CO) (Revision 5) [document reference:

Substation at Birkhill Wood from the . A construction access AC17 (West)

alignment being delivered as part of shown in the **Location of Jocks**

ligned section of the A164 and then Substation at Birkhill Wood. To Limits includes space to construct a

by the ExA which has been

[document reference 10.4] as a

neet with the representative of ching a commercial agreement before

e listed as occupiers in the **Land** wise Ltd and are a landowner in their

an update following that review by egory 3 parties, a revised Book of

are of the DCO application and that th set out in the Government's e that this guidance is intended to erests would apply equally during the



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response	
	identified as Category 3 set out any implications that this may have for the Examination.	examination stage). The addition of new Category 3 parties would not trigger the Infrastructure Planning (Cor 2010, as these only apply where additional land is being introduced that would be subject to compulsory acqu	
16	 Requirement 21 also identifies: 156 Victoria Road; Maurice Wood, Jocks Lodge, Victoria Road; Bentley Lodge, Victoria Road; Spring Mount, Victoria Road; and Rose Villa, Victoria Road as requiring noise limits to be put in place during operation. Only Bentley Lodge is listed in the Book of Reference as having a Category 3 interest. Provide an explanation as to why these properties are not listed and set out any implications for the Examination if they need to be included in the Book of Reference as a Category 3 interest. 	Please see response to Action Point 15 above.	
17	Confirm whether people would have access to the beach for the duration of the construction works. If access would be restricted or lost provide further details.	The scope of the intertidal works have been removed from the Projects with the acceptance of Project Change Works [AS-141]. The only remaining activity that will necessitate beach access is in the event of a construction such circumstance, personnel would be used to control access to the localised area for health and safety and to restrict access along the beach.	
18	If Crown consent has not been secured before Deadline 8, the Applicants are to provide an explanation at that deadline of how the project could proceed if all Crown land was removed from the Order Limits.	The Applicants will continue to engage with the relevant Crown bodies and remains confident that the relevant forthcoming. Should this not be the case by Deadline 8, the Applicants will submit a section 135 case at that D In headline terms, the Applicants would expect to continue to seek consent from the Crown bodies after the compared on many previous DCOs. There is no reason to believe any of the Crown bodies objects to the project the absence of consent at the point of the Secretary of State decision would only require the removal of comparelevant plots, not the removal of the Crown land from the DCO altogether. It would then be a matter for the land rights from the outstanding Crown bodies post consent. In the Applicants' experience whilst these matter regrettable number of occasions, they are always resolved. As drafted, the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1] also includes Article 41 ensures that the DC article replicates the protections for the Crown Authorities on the face of the order and ensures that the Applicants.	
19	Respond to the concerns raised by the ExA regarding the Funding Statement [<u>APP-033</u>], including a request for it to be reviewed and updated to provide additional information breaking down how the projects costs were calculated and how and when the funding would be secured.	The Applicants note the ExA's concerns re the Funding Statement [APP-033] and can confirm that the docun Deadline 2. The document will not be updated with commercially sensitive information relating to a detailed will be based on a review of the information contained within Chapter 28 Socio-Economics [APP-217].	



mpulsory Acquisition) Regulations uisition powers.

ge Request 1: Offshore and Intertidal on emergency, such as Frac-out. In works control but it is not anticipated

nt consent under Section 135 will be Deadline.

close of the Examination, which has ect in principle. In the very worst case, pulsory acquisition powers over the Applicants to secure the voluntary ters go down to the wire on a

CO is aligned with s.135 PA 2008. The licant must obtain the consent of the

ment will be reviewed and updated by breakdown of actual project costs but



3 Responses to Issue Specific Hearing 1 Action Points

Table 3-1 The Applicants' Responses to the Examining Authority's ISH1 Action Points held on Wednesday 15th January 2025

Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
1	Submit a copy of the script read out by under agenda item 3 which provided a brief overview of the draft Development Consent Order (DCO)	This has been included in The Applicants' Written Summaries of Oral Submissions made at CAH1, ISH1 an
In relation to Article 7(1), provide clarification as to why the Applicants are seeking exemptions from prosecution for under classes (d), (fb) and (ga) nuisances defined in the Environmental Protection Act 1990.	In relation to Article 7(1), provide clarification as to why the Applicants are seeking exemptions from prosecution for under classes (d), (fb) and (ga)	As set out in the Explanatory Memorandum (Revision 5) [document refence: 3.2], the Applicants have soug article so that it only applies to nuisances that have been identified as potentially resulting from the Projects, Statement [APP-229].
	nuisances defined in the Environmental Protection Act 1990.	Chapter 26 Air Quality [APP-208] concludes that through the implementation of mitigation measures propo will not be any significant effects. The Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094] Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1]) contains the relevant mitig quality and dust and it is considered that this will provide adequate control to ensure that no statutory nuisan expected that the Projects would give rise to a statutory nuisance under section 79(1)(d).
		Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-192] does not identify significant effects arising lighting. Following the implementation of the lighting mitigation measures outlined in the ES, the operational Design and Access Statement [APP-233] and the controls provided by Requirement 22 of the Draft DCO (Re is not expected that the Projects would give rise to a statutory nuisance under section 79(1)(fb).
		Chapter 25 Noise (Revision 2) [document reference: 7.25] concludes that through the implementation of mit respect to noise and vibration, there will not be any significant noise and vibration emissions. The OCoCP (Re (secured under requirement 19 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1]) contains the relevan noise and vibration and it is considered that this, alongside requirement 21 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1]) contains the relevan adequate control to ensure that no statutory nuisance will occur. On that basis it is not expected that the Proj nuisance under section 79(1)(g) and (ga).
		This approach has been accepted most recently in the Awel y Môr DCO.
3	Review Article 10(6) and potentially amend wording to include 'as if it were a dispute' to align with the drafting of recent made DCOs.	The Applicants have reviewed the Hornsea Four DCO, the Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Extension DCO ar the Applicants' Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1] drafting already aligns with the wording use proposed to make any further amendments.
5	Provide additional evidence as to why Article 19 would be required for this application considering the Secretary of State has removed such Articles in favour of protection through the Written Scheme of Investigation on other Offshore Windfarm schemes made DCOs.	The Applicants have further considered the need to include Article 19 in the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [docume that it can be removed and the process under the Burial Act 1857 can be followed if any human remains are un construction. The Outline Onshore Written Scheme of Investigation [APP-239] will be updated to remove re
7	Provide further detail as to why proposed capacity and output is not included within the works description. In addition, provide examples/ precedents of made DCOs where this has not been included.	As set out in Chapter 6 EIA Methodology [APP-076], the approach taken to the assessment of environmental maximum parameters of the Projects using the recognised Project Design Envelope (or 'Rochdale Envelope') nature and arrangement of the Projects' infrastructure will be subject to detailed design at the post-consent s Description [APP-071], the need for flexibility in the consent is a key aspect of any large development but is p wind projects, where technology continues to evolve quickly. Therefore, the Projects' Design Envelope must the Applicants and their contractors to use the most up to date, efficient and cost-effective technology and te





EcoDoc Number 005633280

d ISH2 [document reference 11.4].

ht to restrict the application of this as set out in the **Statutory Nuisance**

sed with respect to air quality, there I (secured under requirement 19 of the gation measures in relation to air nee will occur. On that basis it is not

solely as a result of operational al lighting principles outlined in the evision 5) [document reference: 3.1] it

tigation measures proposed with evision 3) [document reference: 8.9] Int mitigation measures in relation to ocument reference: 3.1], will provide jects would give rise to a statutory

nd the Awel y Môr DCO and note that ed in all of those DCOs and so it is not

ent reference: 3.1] and have concluded nexpectedly recovered during reference to Article 19.

al impacts has been to assess the approach. This is because the precise stage. As set out in **Chapter 5 Project** particularly significant for offshore provide sufficient flexibility to enable echniques in the construction,

Page | 17



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response	
		operation, maintenance and decommissioning of the Projects. One of the key aspects of the Projects where f Envelope is required is the wind turbine maximum capacity.	
		The maximum wind turbine dimensions, such as turbine height, rotor diameter, spacing between turbines an the rotating blade and mean sea level), have all been used undertake the assessment of environmental impact dimensions are then secured through Requirement 2 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1 exceed the worst case scenario for the environmental assessment.	
		The Applicants note that the approach of not securing a maximum generating capacity has been accepted by of recent offshore wind farm DCOs, including the Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Extensions DCO, the Awel the Hornsea Three DCO, the East Anglia One North DCO and the East Anglia Two DCO.	
		The Applicants also note that those DCOs state that the gross electrical output of the relevant project will be Applicants have made that change in the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1] to align with the	
8	In relation to the preamble to Schedule 1, review and potentially amended pre-amble to provide a more specific location of the Proposed Development relative to the recognisable shoreline locations.	The Applicants have reviewed the relevant drafting and amended it to provide reference to the landfall locati more specific location for the Projects.	
9	In relation to Schedule 1, Works No 29A - Provide clarification whether haul roads/ temporary construction areas would be allowed within ancient woodland. If required, update wording to ensure that the ancient woodland would not be adversely affected by the proposed works.	The Applicants can confirm that it is not the intention for any haul road or temporary working area or laydow Ancient Woodland and have updated the description of Work No. 29A/B within the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [d clear.	
10	In relation to Schedule 1, further associated development (I) work for the benefit or protection of land affected by authorised project, provide details/ precedents why this is necessary and what controls are in place to restrict this.	The wording which precedes the list of "further associated development" works at Schedule 1, Part 1 of the D reference: 3.1] makes it clear that the types of development listed must fall within the scope of the work asse and so the works are controlled in that way. This is necessary to ensure that the Applicants are able to carry of maintenance and decommissioning of the Projects and the wording in question is intended to ensure that lan adequately protected.	
		The drafting in question is well precedented and has been included in the following recently granted offshore	
		 Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Extensions DCO – Schedule 1, Part 1, Further Associated Developme Awel y Môr DCO – Schedule 1, Part 1, further associated development associated with Work Nos. 4 - 4 Hornsea Four DCO – Schedule 1, Part 1, further associated development associated with Work Nos. 6 Hornsea Three DCO – Schedule 1, Part 1, further associated development associated with Work Nos. East Anglia One North DCO - Schedule 1, Part 1, further associated development associated with Work Nos. 	
		 East Anglia Two DCO - Schedule 1, Part 1, further associated development associated with Work Nos. 	
11	In relation to Requirement 1, provide further explanation why a time limit of 7 years would be acceptable given the critical national need for low	The Applicants recognise the critical need for low carbon infrastructure and intend to begin construction of the following the grant of consent. However, it can take a large amount of time for a project of this scale and comphase following grant of consent, as there are several matters that need to be in place before construction ca	
	National Policy Statement for Energy (NPS EN-1).	The Applicants may need to secure a Contract for Difference (CfD) for each Project, and the Applicants are no process. The Applicants note that changes have been made to the timings of the CfD auction rounds over rece	





flexibility in the Projects' Design

nd air gap (between the lowest point of lets for the Projects. These maximum 1] to ensure that the Projects do not

the Secretary of State on a number y Mor DCO, the Hornsea Four DCO,

"over 100 megawatts" and so the drafting of other recent precedents.

ion at Skipsea in order to provide a

n area to be located within the document reference: 3.1] to make this

Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document essed by the environmental statement out the construction, operation, and affected by the authorised project is

wind farm DCOs:

ent – paragraph (i); 41 – paragraph (r); 6 to 10 – paragraph (j); 6 to 15 – paragraph (i); ork Nos. 34 and 38 - 43 – paragraph (j);

. 6 to 37 – paragraph (j).

ne Projects as soon as possible aplexity to move into the construction in begin.

ot able to guarantee the timing for this eent years, moving from bi-annual to

Page | 18



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
		annual and also moving the auction application windows to different months of the year. Any future changes Government are entirely outside of the Applicants' control and so contingency must be allowed for in the imp to reflect this.
		Additionally, it is well known that there can be long lead in times for the manufacture and supply of major particular such as wind turbine generators and cabling, due to the current high global demand for such services. The spectruction are also in particularly high demand at present. Whilst the Applicants intend to seek to commiss supply chain availability is another factor that could potentially delay the implementation of the Projects.
		The Applicants therefore consider that, whilst it is the intention to commence construction of the Projects as limit for implementation is proportionate and justified. This approach has been accepted by the Secretary of farm developments of similar scale and complexity, including the Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Extensions Hornsea Three DCO.
12	In relation to Requirement 9, review whether sub- paragraphs 6 and 7 should be provided as separate/stand-alone Requirement.	The Applicants have reviewed the Draft DCO (Revision 4) [AS-130] and agree with the ExA that it may be sin requirements process if sub-paragraphs (6) and (7) were provided as a standalone requirement. The Applicant new requirement 36 (permanent access road to onshore converter stations).
13	In relation to Requirement 11, confirm that the proposed Landscape Management Plan includes long term maintenance for the screening of the proposed convertor stations and set out how this would be secured by the Requirement as drafted and/ or review whether drafting of the Requirement needs to be revised to set this out clearly.	The Applicants can confirm that the Outline Landscape Management Plan (Revision 2) [AS-096] does inclu maintenance of the screening of the proposed Onshore Converter Stations. The Applicants have updated the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1] to make this clear.
15	In relation to Requirement 19 - Provide update on discussions and response to the Deadline 1 submission requested in Action Point 14 at Deadline 2	The Applicants await submission of the information requested in Action Point 14 and will respond at Deadline
16	In relation to Requirement 22, provide explanation whether the Requirement would limit or control any maximum parameters regarding lighting required for the converter station.	The precise lighting requirements for the Projects will be influenced by the detailed design, which will only be purpose of requirement 22 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1] is to ensure that the relevant portion of the mitigation and management of artificial light emissions during the operation of the relation to operational lighting is included in section 4.3.3.7 of the Design and Access Statement [APP-233], Converter Stations will only require lighting during maintenance and operational visits for health and safety a
		In additional to the scheme required to be submitted under requirement 22, the Applicants are also required to Plan, which will be appended to the final Code of Construction Practice, secured by requirement 19 of the Dra reference: 3.1]. This will also be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. Further details at section 5.11 of the OCoCP (Revision 3) [document reference: 8.9]. Further detail on construction lighting is Responses to the Examining Authority's Action Points from ISH2 (Day 2) held on Thursday 16th January 2025, have also added further detail about the Construction Lighting Plan to the OCoCP (Revision 3) [document reference:
17	In relation to Requirement 30, provide background information, explanation and precedents why this Requirement would be required for this application.	At the EIA scoping stage it was proposed that the requirement for assessment of construction and operational Chapter 24 Traffic and Transport [APP-195], on the basis that the port location was not known and is not expedite determination. Accordingly, the Scoping Report stated the Applicants would <i>consider a DCO Requirement to p</i> phase Port Traffic "Management Plans once the final location of the preferred base port (or ports) is known".





s to the process and its timing by plementation period for the Projects

arts of the Projects' key infrastructure, becialist vessels used in the offshore sion these services as early as possible,

s soon as practicable, a seven year time State on other recent offshore wind as DCO, the Hornsea Four DCO and the

mpler during the discharge of Its have therefore moved these to a

ude a commitment to long term e wording of requirement 11 of the

ie 2.

e fully developed post-consent. The vant planning authority is able to e Projects. Further information in which confirms that the Onshore and security reasons.

to produce a Construction Lighting **raft DCO (Revision 5)** [document Is of construction lighting are included is provided in the Applicants' i, Action point No.3. The Applicants efference: 8.9] at Deadline 1.

al port traffic was scoped out of pected to be confirmed until post DCO produce construction and operational



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response	
		At this stage the Applicants included the word 'consider' noting that a Port Traffic Management Plan (PTMP) Secretary of State for many offshore windfarms and the views of the Inspectorate and highway authorities w provide the following examples for consideration of where a PTMP has not been required:	
		 The Hornsea Three Offshore Wind Farm Order 2020; The Norfolk Vanguard Offshore Wind Farm Order 2022; The Hornsea Four Offshore Wind Farm Order 2023; The Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Order 2023; and The Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Extensions Offshore Wind Farm Order 2024. 	
		The Scoping Opinion [APP-232] noted that: "The Inspectorate does not agree to scope this matter from the ass include an assessment of these matters, or the information referred to above to support a justification of why the	
		The Scoping Opinion [APP-232] from Hull City Council also noted that:	
		"If the onshore impacts of offshore construction traffic is to be scoped out, commitment to a CPTMP [Construction would seem appropriate in order for potential eventualities to be suitably accounted for"	
		Noting the direction of the Inspectorate and Hull City Council in the Scoping Opinion [APP-232], the approace relevant highway authorities. East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed that onshore traffic and transport impact offshore construction, operation and decommissioning could be scoped out at a meeting on the 23/11/2022. Signal City Council and National Highways on the 21/11/2022 it was agreed that onshore traffic and transport impact offshore construction, operation and decommissioning could be scoped out, subject to a DCO Requirement f engagement are summarised within Appendix 24-1 Traffic and Transport Consultation Responses [APP-197]	
		Noting the direction from Hull City Council and National Highways that a PTMP would be required and, to en associated with the Projects' offshore construction and operational phases (including cumulative effects) are DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1] includes a DCO Requirement to produce construction and operational plan(s) (PTMPs) once the final location of the preferred base port (or ports) is known.	
		In addition, Natural England raised comments in their s42 response regarding operational port traffic (see Ap Responses [APP-210]: "Provide confirmation of the number of operational vehicle movements associated with staff travel to and from p number scopes in or out of requiring additional air quality assessment." And: "There is no consideration of operational traffic impacts contribution in-combination with other plans or projects. developments could potentially result in significant impacts on nature conservation sites due to emissions to air."	
		The Applicants' response to these comments stated that:	
		"To ensure proper assessment and mitigation of potential effects related to the operational phases of the Project draft DCO (Volume 3, application ref: 3.1) includes a Requirement to develop a Port Traffic Management Plan (PT determined".	
		The Applicants would draw the ExA's attention to the drafting of other consented offshore wind farm DCOs v PTMPs. The Applicants provide the following examples for consideration:	
		 The Hornsea One Offshore Wind Farm Order 2014; The Hornsea Two Offshore Wind Farm Order 2016; The East Anglia TWO Offshore Wind Farm Order 2022; The East Anglia ONE North Offshore Wind Farm Order 2022; and The East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm Order 2017. 	





) has not been required by the vere being sought. The Applicants

sessment. Accordingly, the ES should ere will be no significant effects"

on Port Traffic Management Plan]

ch was discussed further with the acts associated with the Projects' Separately at a joint meeting with Hull cts associated with the Projects' for a PTMP. Details of this app].

nsure that any potential effects assessed and mitigated, the **Draft** ational phase Port Traffic Management

ppendix 26-1 Air Quality Consultation

ports for vessel movements and if this

Cumulative impacts with other

ts (including cumulative effects), the TMP) once the final base port location is

which include similar Requirements for



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
18	In relation to Requirement 30, if it is required, review whether 'construction' should be removed from	Sub-paragraph (1) of requirement 30 restricts the commencement of construction of Works Nos 1A/B until a plan is submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority.
	'Port Construction Traffic Management Plan'.	Sub-paragraph (2) of requirement 30 restricts the operation of Work Nos 1A/B until a port travel plan has bee relevant planning authority.
		It is necessary to retain the word "construction" in sub-paragraph (1) in order to distinguish the plan required plan required for the operational period. Therefore, the Applicants do not propose to update the wording in t reference: 3.1].
19	In relation to Requirement 31, submit a copy of the script relating to the update on alternative funding of military radar mitigation.	This has been included in The Applicants' Written Summaries of Oral Submissions made at CAH1, ISH1 ar
20 Provide response whether potential Red Article is required to not allow proposed development to commence until ERYC confirmation of grid connection from N (NG) and if it is required provide sugges	Provide response whether potential Requirement or Article is required to not allow proposed development to commence until ERYC has received	The Applicants do not agree that it is necessary to include an article or requirement within the Draft DCO (Re that would prevent the commencement of the Projects until the proposed National Grid Birkhill Wood substa not aware of any precedents where a similar article or requirement has been included.
	confirmation of grid connection from National Grid (NG) and if it is required provide suggested drafting.	The Applicants developed the Projects' transmission infrastructure as co-ordinated projects in accordance wi System Operator (ESO) evolving Holistic Network Design (HND), as updated in February 2024 (HND, 2024). T have a radial connection to the proposed National Grid Substation at Birkhill Wood. The Applicants received a Grid on the 28th of June 2024, which confirmed the point of connection of the Projects at the proposed Birkh
		As set out at paragraph 370 of Chapter 5 Project Description [APP-071], the proposed Birkhill Wood National Projects and therefore not part of the DCO application. National Grid will seek separate planning permission Act 1990 (TCPA) for the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation. The Applicants understand that an expected to be submitted to ERYC in February 2025. The Projects require the new substation to be granted p constructed by National Grid, prior to connection, and the earliest proposed connection date is expected to be
		As set out in national policy, the need for new renewable energy generation is significant and urgent (see as a Applicants expect that National Grid's application for planning permission will be in line with relevant national Applicants have no reason to believe that planning permission for the proposed Birkhill Wood substation will note there are several examples of generating stations being consented prior to consent being granted for the One relevant example of this is the granting of consent for the Hinkley Point C new nuclear power station (20 Grid's Hinkley Point C Connection project was submitted (2014).
		In addition, the Applicants note that a similar issue was considered by the Secretary of State in granting cons Dudgeon Extensions Offshore Wind Farms DCO. The connection of that scheme required substantial works to the Norwich Main substation which did not have planning permission at the time. Whilst the Examining Auth need for additional infrastructure, it concluded (in paragraph 5.4.20 of its Recommendation Report) this was not the Applicant given the signed grid connection that is in place Further, as set out in NPS EN-5 (paragra the current version of NPS EN-5]), NGET has a statutory duty to provide a connection whenever and wherever accepted by the Secretary of State.
22	In relation to Deemed Marine Licence 10, condition 7(2), provide update on how to ensure no materially new or materially different environmental effect from wind turbine components would be possible. Review whether cross-reference to paragraph 8 should be included in condition 7(2). If amendments	Condition 7(2) of DMLs 1 and 2 at Schedules 10 and 11 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3 authorised scheme, including the replacement of major wind turbine components. Any replaced components wind turbine generator dimensions that are secured by condition 1 of DMLs 1 and 2; otherwise the undertake condition, which would be subject to enforcement action by the MMO. The maximum wind turbine generator within the Environmental Statement submitted with the DCO application for the Projects.





port construction traffic management

en submitted to and approved by the

for the construction period from the the **Draft DCO (Revision 5)** [document]

nd ISH2 [document reference 11.4].

evision 5) [document reference: 3.1] ation is consented. The Applicants are

vith the National Grid Electricity The HND confirmed the Projects will a grid connection offer from National hill Wood substation.

al Grid Substation is not part of the under the Town and Country Planning application for this development is planning permission and be fully be approximately 2029.

an example NPS EN-1 at 3.1.1). The al and local policy; and as such the I not be forthcoming. The Applicants he point of connection development. p13), before an application for National

sent for the Sheringham Shoal and to be undertaken by National Grid to nority in that case acknowledged the 5 "a matter for NGET to address and aph 2.3.5 [which is paragraph 2.8.5 in rer one is required". This position was

3.1] allow for maintenance of the ts must still be within the maximum ers would be in breach of the DML or dimensions have been assessed



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
	are required, then amend the same condition in Deemed Marine Licences 11 to 14.	Furthermore, all maintenance activities must be carried out in accordance with the Offshore Operations and N submitted to and approved by the MMO prior to the operation of the licensed activities under condition 7 of D reviewed every three years to ensure it remains accurate. The MMO therefore have ongoing oversight of the Projects throughout their lifetime.
		The Applicants therefore do not think it is necessary to amend the drafting of condition 7(2).
23	Provide update on discussions in relation to proposed Protective Provision for the benefit of the B&NHIDB.	The Applicants have updated the protective provisions in Part 4 of Schedule 15 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) the amendments requested by the B&NHIDB.
26	At Deadline 8 review and comment on the preferred drafting of any Protective Provisions provided at Deadline 7 and provide a section 127/138 case setting out how the draft DCO as drafted would ensure that the Statutory Undertakers would be adequately protected.	The Applicants will provide this information at Deadline 8 if required.
27	In relation to Schedule 19, review formatting of Schedule to enhance readability. Applicants directed to look at Schedule 15 of the DCO for Hornsea 4 as a possible example of how to set it out.	The Applicants are reviewing the formatting of Schedule 19 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document referen be updated to align with the approach used in the Hornsea Four DCO. The Applicants propose to make this ch the majority of the updated documents to reflect Project Change Requests 1 and 2 have been submitted at De
28	Contact Case Team to resolve issues with the Statutory Instrument template used for the draft DCO.	The Applicants can confirm that the formatting issues to add the missing lines to tables within the Draft DCC 3.1] have now been resolved.



Maintenance Plan, which must be DMLs 1 and 2. That plan must be maintenance activities for the

[document reference 3.1] to include

nce: 3.1] to consider whether it could hange (if considered appropriate) after readline 2.

O (Revision 5) [document reference



4 Responses to ISH2 Hearing Questions – Offshore Topics

Table 4-1 The Applicants' Responses to the Examining Authority's Action Points from ISH2 (Day 1) held on Wednesday 15th January 2025

Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
Agenda I	tem 2: Infrastructure and Other Uses	
1	Submit any material prepared in response to the first two matters from agenda item 2.1	Wake effects/loss
	(an explanation of wake loss and associated considerations and to what extent does the Crown Estate considers effects of wake loss and effects on annual energy production when issuing leases for offshore wind farms, and how).	Wake effects are a complex subject. Effects take place within a wind farm and farms depending on a range of factors. These are brief, high level comments remeasurement of wake effects/loss and the context for wind farm design.
		To date, all new offshore wind projects have been designed to maximise the are that project. Wake effects are one of the many design considerations which go wake effects from a new project on an existing project (or consented project) if the design of the new project. This has been standard industry practice. This macceptance that each project would maximise its AEP and that the question of existing projects was a matter for The Crown Estate (TCE) in setting the buffer round for such new projects. As a result, offshore wind farm design has never projects into account. The only exception to this has been where a new project relevant TCE buffer distance. In that case, the existing project had an outright project would only proceed by commercial agreement, where the design of th number of matters to be settled by private commercial negotiation. In technic of the "Turbine Interaction Loss" – the reduction in power at one wind turbine on the wind of a second turbine (or farm). The wake loss is the largest of these "shadowing" in the wind behind the first turbine, but generally does not captu global blockage, and gravity-wave effects)
		Many developers and consultants are moving towards providing only the "tota possible to separate wake loss from blockage in many newer generation mode dynamics).
		Factors which may influence the extent of wake loss, include:
		 Wind farm power density (MW per square km); Capacity and footprint of wind farm and proximity to neighbouring wi Joint distribution of Wind Direction and Speed; Turbine Design and Size; and Sea and Atmospheric Conditions.
		Wake loss varies throughout the year dependent on prevailing atmospheric co direction being the most significant drivers.
		There are several ways to model wake losses in a wind farm, with varying com detail desired. Wake loss can be estimated using computer modelling software tool or a proprietary in-house software solution. Modelling of wake loss effect information/assumptions of the wind farm that is being proposed as well as the instance their current yield, downtime, curtailment, internal wakes etc).





EcoDoc Number 005633280

d can also take place between wind regarding the nature and

annual energy production (AEP) of joes into project design. Potential have not been taken into account in reflects the common industry of wake effects as between new and er distance for each new licensing r sought to take wake effects on other ect sought to be located inside the t ability to veto the new project. Such he new project would be one of a ical terms, wake loss is only one part e (or wind farm) caused by the thrust e interactions, and comprises the ure other complex effects (such as

tal turbine interaction loss" as it is not lels (e.g. computational fluid

vind farms;

conditions, with wind speed and

nplexity depending on the level of re, either a commercially available ts is dependent on he existing operational wind farm (for



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		The Crown Estate and Seabed Leasing Rounds
		The need to balance competing interests, whilst achieving the overarching po development in the UK was recognised by The Crown Estate (TCE) in setting t Areas. This approach is set out in the Frazer Nash Consultancy Offshore Wind Study [AS-014] prepared for TCE in 2023 (to inform future leasing rounds), wh
		'TCE wishes to designate offshore wind project development areas (PDAs) to ma portfolio of existing and future wind farms, whilst balancing environmental and
		In terms of the extent to which the Crown Estate considers effects of wake los production when issuing leases for offshore wind farms, in The Crown Estate's Offshore Wind (Generating Station) Examination - Question ExQ1 OG 1.2 of the questions [REP2-080]), TCE stated that:
		"The buffer/stand-off between wind farms (unless developers consent to close to enable developers to develop, operate and maintain wind farms by allowin amongst other matters, wake effects, navigation, and safety;
		The 2019 Information Memorandum ahead of Offshore Wind Leasing Round A "Projects may not be located within 7.5 km of an existing offshore wind farm development which has been awarded an agreement for lease or lease from T the existing offshore wind farm has given its written consent"
		This 7.5km was used for the purpose of processing project proposals in the terbuffers that are specified within the seabed lease agreements (introduced in F de-risking the Round 4 tender by providing additional mitigation and assuran proximity. "
		The Applicants are not aware of any concerns being expressed to TCE in relati Round 4. There was ample opportunity for such concern to be expressed and, proposed buffer was not a subject of comment or concern in the offshore win for Round 4 was emerging.
2	Provide an interpretation on the "conventional wake models" and the Turbulence Optimized Park wake model developed by Ørsted, referred to in the Frazer Nash Consultancy Offshore Wind Leasing Programme Array Yield Study [AS-014] and whether this suggests that this could be considered as an industry recognised wake loss model.	There are a variety of widely used turbine interaction models currently used v which each is accepted as "valid" is dependent on both the audience and the industry use different models, some relying on a combination of different mo as a whole, unsure of how best to calculate these effects. Hence, no current m recognised".
		While for current generation wind farms, the TurboPark model has shown goo is predominantly heuristic, with internal parameters within the model that ha model is known not to capture the complete underlying physics, for instance atmospheric stability accounted for.
		These deviations from the underlying physics raise concerns regarding the me for very large future conditions (for which it was not tuned).
		This is a highly technical area and it would be a considerable task to explore a a given wake loss model for a given purpose, even if that was possible. There each developer to date has been conducting its modelling for its own purpose





olicy aims for offshore wind the parameters for the Round 4 Lease Leasing Programme **Array Yield** which states:

aximise the energy production from the l other requirements.'

iss and effects on annual energy 's response to Outer Dowsing the Examining Authority's written

ser proximity) is a separation distance ng for a range of factors including

4 set out the requirement that (meaning a wind farm at any stage of The Crown Estate) unless the owner of

ender only, being higher than the 5km Round 3); this was for the purpose of nee to participants through limiting

tion to the 7.5km buffer proposed for , to the Applicants' knowledge the nd trade press at the time the proposal

within the industry, and the level to task. Leading consultants in the odels, illustrating that the industry is, model is universally "industry

od agreement with measurements, it ave been tuned to measured data. The momentum is not conserved nor is

nodel's ability to predict performance

and achieve an industry consensus for has been no need to pursue this as es and not with a view to reaching

Page | 24



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		agreement with another developer (save, potentially, where a new project is private commercial negotiation). The Applicants' overall position is that wake outside the planning process, as it was before the Awel y Mor decision. The A the DCO process was used to seek to identify and seek to impose a given wak
3	Explain why the wake loss assessment on Dogger Bank A that was referred to in Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter 16 [<u>APP-130</u>] will not be submitted into the	The Applicants' response is deliberately brief and they expect to explain their formal questions.
	Examination	Wake effects have not generally been regarded as an environmental effect fo To the extent that wake effects have been considered the Applicant consider beyond the requirements of the EIA Regulations. The Applicants have reviewe removing references to wake effects from the ES.
		As regards NPS EN-3, it was understood and accepted by the entire offshore of relating to "other offshore infrastructure and activities" did not include other surprising when the reference to 'other' is in a section dealing with offshore w of 'infrastructure' and 'activities' listed in paragraph 2.8.44 do not refer to offs NPS EN-3 went through two rounds public consultation and no one interprete offshore wind farms, in accordance with the accepted interpretation of the 20 meaning and intent. If the policies had been understood to include offshore w been intense interest in potential requirement for wake assessments and resi into the planning regime, when it was accepted that it was addressed already buffer distances in new seabed leasing rounds. This interpretation would hav questions raised about the complexities of conducting wake effects in different commercial confidentiality), questions of fairness, the impact on aggregate A involved in the light of the over-arching policy of maximising renewable energy is no body of technical work which considers what mitigation measures in the available and how they should be applied.
		It is the Applicants' hope that the new Secretary of State will revert to the origoint the need for a wake assessment falls away entirely. If, however, the Seconffshore wind farms are included within the policies on 'other offshore infrast Applicants' view is that the emphasis should be on paragraph 2.8.342 which eshould employ a pragmatic approach. This advice is intended to apply to a will activities and what is pragmatic will necessarily vary. In this case, the Applicant would be to accept, in particular, that where a new project has respected (as h distance for Round 4 schemes, as all existing projects knew was possible purst appropriate to expect such a project to conduct wake assessments at all, nor that imposed on Awel y Mor to be contemplated. A pragmatic approach of masures for designing a new wind farm to reduce the wake effects on and would accept that it is inappropriate for an entire industry to have proceeded identical policy language to then be interpreted and applied in a radically different policies are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not intending to submit the wake assessment which was carried applicants are not inten



within a buffer area, as part of a e effects/loss needs to be addressed Applicants would be very concerned if ke loss model.

full position in response to ExA

or the purposes of the EIA Regulations. This should be regarded as going red their position on this and are

wind industry that the policies offshore wind farms. This is not wind and when the various examples shore wind farms. The 2024 version of ted the language as including other oil version of NSP EN-3 and its place wind farms then there would have istance to this issue being brought y by The Crown Estate's approach to we become a major industry issue with ent scenarios (including issues of AEP across the different projects rgy generation and the fact that there e design of the new project might be

ginal interpretation of EN-3 at which cretary of State considers that other tructure and activities' then the explains that the Secretary of State ide range of infrastructure and nts' view is that the correct approach here) The Crown Estate's buffer suant to Round 4, that it is not for any form of requirement such as not requiring wake assessments would e assessments and no accepted suite other wind farm. Furthermore, it on one basis for many years and for Ferent way. On this basis the hey have complied with the NPS. The ed out in relation to Dogger Bank A.



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
7	Provide more robust justification for the conclusions reached in ES Chapter 16 [<u>APP-130</u>] on cumulative effects. For example, could the combination of the potential issues identified in paragraph 67, lead to a significant effect? What would happen if proximity agreements were not agreed?	Section 16.7 of Chapter 16 Infrastructure and Other Users [APP-130] has be clarification regarding the conclusions reached in the Cumulative Effects Asso potential cumulative effects on Infrastructure and Other Users would be suffi potential for cumulative significant effects.
		As noted during the Issue Specific Hearing 2 (ISH2) (Day 1), crossing and provise standard mechanisms which deal with interactions between assets and/or we are intended to provide protection to asset owners following any damage an of the carrying out of works by another party. These agreements contain recipient which is the standard way to deal with interactions in the offshore industry. We parties, the DBS Projects will agree crossing and proximity agreements prior the offshore industry. It is not considered necessary to have these completed details on design and construction methodologies will be required).
		The assumption of proximity agreements being agreed prior to construction made in other offshore wind farm assessments, such as the recently consent Extension projects and Hornsea Project Four. This is a routinely adopted approvent want to engage in crossing agreement discussions until such time as projects reasonable certainty about progressing. In addition, specific project details re are often not available at the pre-construction projects stages of the project construction methodologies.
		The Applicants have engaged with all parties with constructed assets falling in have no reason to believe that agreements cannot or will not be reached when
		As such, the Applicants are confident in stating that proximity agreements w and operators, with discussions between the Applicants and other developer

Agenda Item 3: Military Radar

<u>8</u>	Provide an update to the 'strategic' Government led military radar mitigation proposals, funding and timing and the effects this would have when considering offshore wind farms. Confirm the 'Air Defence and Offshore Wind Strategy and Implementation Plan' referred to in paragraph 141 of Chapter 15 of the ES [APP-125] relates to Project Njord, which the Applicants referred to during Issue Specific Hearing 1.	The Air Defence and Offshore Wind Strategy and Implementation Plan was p Government in autumn 2021 ¹ . A new UK Government was appointed in July 2 2030 Action Plan in December 2024. Within the Clean Power 2030 Action Plan new policy on funding of air defence radar mitigation and presented an outlin (MOD's) Programme Njord (in collaboration with the Department for Energy Crown Estate, Crown Estate Scotland, the devolved governments, and the O Programme Njord's objectives are to identify, procure and implement a mitig radar issues. The action plan discloses that: <u>'The full costs of the long-term radar mitigation solutions identified by Program</u> <i>alternative route, delivered by government, and the funding requirement is then</i> <i>developers'</i> .
		It is therefore expected that Programme Njord will deliver the Government's solution that may be needed for the Projects. The Applicants have engaged v



EcoDoc Number 005633280

een updated to provide further sessment (CEA). In summary, the ficiently mitigated such that there is no

ximity agreements are industry vorks which interface offshore. They nd/or losses suffered as a consequence ciprocal obligations on the parties Where relevant and required between r to construction as is standard within d during Examination (as further

a between operators has also been ted Sheringham and Dudgeon proach as many third parties do not is are consented and they have required for inclusion in agreements is due to a lack of detailed design and

in the Offshore Development Area and ere they are required.

vill be agreed with other developers rs and operators already underway.

ublished under the previous UK 2024 who released the Clean Power a², the Government brought forward a ne of the Ministry of Defence's Security and Net Zero (DESNZ), The ffshore Wind Industry Council). gation solution to resolve military

<u>me Njord will be funded via an</u> refore removed from offshore wind

enduring air defence radar mitigation with the Defence Infrastructure

	D	B	5
Offsho	ore \	Win	d

Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		Organisation (DIO) to understand the funding, timing, and effects of Program response to discuss further.
		The purpose of these discussions will primarily be to agree the wording (if an within the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) (Revision 4) [AS-130] to withdraw its objection. In addition, discussions will be held in relation to the Projects to allow MOD to consider what mitigation options may be appropriate the properties of the p
		For clarity, it should be understood that the mitigation solutions required for defence military radar at Staxton Wold will be for the MOD to select and brin Programme Njord, based on design details and project timelines provided by into the development of mitigation solutions is likely to be very limited from
9	Noting the representation made by the Defence Infrastructure Organisation [AS-002] and its objection to the proposed project due to the unmitigated impacts to the Staxton Wold Primary Surveillance Radars, provide an update on the effort between both parties to identify realistic and pragmatic solutions to the conflicts. Describe the solutions which have been considered specific to the proposed projects.	The Applicants recognise the potential unmitigated impacts of DBS West on Wold Primary Surveillance Radar (PSR) and concluded the impact to be Not S Radar [APP-125], following the application of additional mitigation. In order would be secured prior to the operation of DBS West, and in anticipation of t a draft requirement (Req. 31) was included within the Draft DCO (Revision 4 mitigation to be agreed with the DIO.
		Since the representation was made by the DIO [AS-002] and following subm Application, the UK Government have released a new policy for delivery and mitigation within the Clean Power 2030 Action Plan ² (released in December 2 an enduring radar mitigation solution will be delivered via Programme Njord funding, removing the funding requirement for a radar mitigation solution fr
		The Applicants engaged with the DIO via email in early January 2025 and hav understand the basis for agreeing appropriate mitigation for impacts to Stax Njord. The Applicants proposed to progress discussions via a call and are awa confirm a suitable date and time for mitigation discussions to commence.
		The purpose of these discussions will primarily be to agree the wording (if an within the Draft DCO (Revision 4) [AS-130] to an extent that will allow DIO t discussions will be held in relation to the designs of, and programme for, the what mitigation options may be appropriate.
		For clarity, it should be understood that the mitigation solutions required for defence military radar at Staxton Wold will be for the MOD to select and brin Programme Njord, based on design details and project timelines provided by into the development of mitigation solutions is likely to be very limited from
10	Provide an update on progress made, with specific reference to the policy tests on this matter set out in the Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (NPS EN-1), in agreeing the necessary mitigation required to address concerns regarding military radar.	National Policy Statement (NPS) assessment requirements are summarised i and Radar [APP-125] and demonstrates where the Applicants have met the matter set out in NPS EN-1.
	The ExA note that the response may need to be high level given the sensitivities around this topic.	Specifically, the Applicants are satisfied that they have complied with paragr which allows consent to be granted where the Secretary of State is satisfied to achieved, or appropriate requirements can be attached to the DCO to secure



<u>mme Njord and are awaiting a</u>

ny) of a Requirement to be included to an extent that will allow DIO to designs of, and programme for, the fate.

r any impacts of the Projects on the air ng forward, including via their y the Applicants. The Applicants input any technical perspective.

the radar line of site of the Staxton Significant in Chapter 15 Aviation and to ensure that additional mitigation the objections received from the DIO, () [AS-130] which requires appropriate

hission of the Projects' DCO funding of air defence radar 2024). The Applicants understand that by the MOD with government from offshore wind developers.

ve expressed that they are keen to ton Wold PSR within Programme aiting a response from the DIO to

y) of a Requirement to be included o withdraw its objection. In addition, Projects to allow MOD to consider

r any impacts of the Projects on air ng forward, including via their y the Applicants. The Applicants input any technical perspective.

in Table 15-4 of **Chapter 15 Aviation** relevant policy tests relevant to this

aphs 5.5.90 and 5.5.60 of NPS-EN1 that appropriate mitigation can be e these mitigations.



Action	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		In order to ensure that appropriate radar mitigation is agreed between the Appropriation of DBS West, a draft Requirement was included in the Draft DCO (I appropriate mitigation to be agreed with the DIO prior to the operation of DE that a radar mitigation solution will be delivered through Programme Njord w UK Government's Clean Power 2030 Action Plan as outlined in response to Accevolving nature and timing of the Government's solution, the Applicants are so compliance with NPS EN-1 paragraph 5.5.53 which expects relevant parties to work together to identify realistic and pragmatic solutions to conflicts between and military interests. The Applicants will continue to engage with the DIO the to progress mitigation discussions, where appropriate.
11	Confirm whether there are design solutions that could be used to avoid adversely affecting the Staxton Wold Primary Surveillance Radars, such as reducing the area of the proposed west array where turbines could be located or limited to a height outside of the radar line of sight. Where other project or environment constraints would affect design solutions, specific details should be provided.	Turbine tip heights have been reduced from the 450m assessed within the Pre Report to the 396m as included within the Environmental Statement. Hence, prior to the application that will reduce radar line of sight impacts.
		There are no practical design solutions which would allow DBS West to be del portion of the DBS West Array Area that lies beyond the radar line of sight for included within the worst case design scenario.
		The area of the DBS West Array Area which lies beyond the radar line of site for turbines under consideration is approximately 123km ² . In reality, the available due to the shape of the remaining available site and other technical constraint conditions.
		The Applicants are targeting a power density for the DBS West project of 5MM density permitted by The Crown Estate (TCE) lease for the 1,500MW wind farm should be considered in the context of RWE's Sofia wind farm (awarded at Rou approximately 2.4MW/km ²]. It is worth noting that the required DBS West pow high. Constructing a wind farm of power densities beyond 5MW/km ² would le to turbine-to-turbine interactions (higher internal wake effects and associated detriment of the economic viability of the Project.
		If the Applicants were to build out only the 123km ² , assuming the area could be capacity which would meet the 5MW/km ² power density would be ~615MW (additional clauses within TCE lease stipulate a formula for determining the min of capacities lower than 1500MW. Use of this formula would lead to a minimula being required on this footprint equating to a further increased power density
		An HVDC offshore wind project situated more than 100km from shore would r capacity of 853MW. Existing HVDC designs are for projects of significantly large and the fixed costs of the cables and converter stations would not be reduced capacity.
		Additionally, apart from one project with a HVAC connection, 6.9 MW/km ² is h Round 4 projects. Thus, it could be expected that any project progressing on th highly significant competitive disadvantage in the Contracts for Difference auc clear that there is no economically viable project that could be constructed in power densities where such a wind farm is situated more than 100km from sh





pplicants and the DIO prior to the (Revision 4) [AS-130]. This requires BS West. However, it is now expected which was announced as part of the ction Point 8 and 9 above. Given the satisfied that they have demonstrated o have made appropriate efforts to the government's energy policies arough examination and post-consent

eliminary Environmental Information , the Projects have already taken steps

livered with efficiency within the r turbines of the maximum height

or the Staxton Wold PSR for the tallest e area is likely to be less than 123km² ts such as water depths and ground

N/km², as this is the minimum power m. The power density of 5 MW/km² und 3) which has a power density of wer density is already comparatively ead to a sub-optimal wind yields due d productivity losses) to the great

be fully utilised for the wind farm, the (5MW/km²x 123km²). However, nimum power density for wind farms um generation capacity of 853MW y of 6.93MW/km².

not be economical to build out with a ger capacities than this (e.g. 1200MW) I in line with the reduced generation

higher than targeted by other UK the basis suggested would be at a ctions. In considering the above, it is an area of 123km² to the required hore.



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		As an alternative to reducing the development footprint, the Applicants could to remove the interference with Staxton Wold PSR. However, the Applicants has through the design phases of the Project and have not selected a turbine for t do not want to limit the opportunity for the Project to use a turbine of the hei envelope that may come to market, particularly if there are delays due to the to secure Contract For Difference at the first opportunity. The primary reason rated (and thus taller) turbines deliver the most attractive business cases due and offshore installation activities and the costs associated with these aspect
		There are further environmental constraints to consider which work contrary observed through the use of higher numbers of smaller turbines. Chiefly these increase the lower blade tip to sea clearance (i.e. to use taller turbines) to min inhabiting the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA. This constraint provides a pr numbers of larger turbines, working against the benefits achieved through re might be achieved through the use of smaller turbines.
		In light of the above, it is clear that there are significant disadvantages associated development area to 123km ² and to the use of larger numbers or smaller turb project wholly inviable from a commercial perspective, to reducing overall effects for the consumer as well as increasing Adverse Effects on the Integrity of the Developing a project of the highest permissible capacity and efficiency is of considered.

Agenda Item 4: Marine and Coastal Processes

MASDAR 🐝

RWE

12	12 Explain why, in the Dogger Bank Special Area of Conservation (SAC), you cannot commit to using a fall pipe for the deposition of dredged material and explain which options, in addition to using a fall pipe, are currently being considered. Explain when a decision will be made on what tool would be used.	 There are two types of dredging methods that could be considered: Using a backhoe dredger mounted on a barge. This method uses a bucket boom) to remove sediments from the seabed. This method is typically use not suitable for the water depths encountered along the DBS export cable lengths. Using a Trailing Suction Hopper Dredger (TSHD). This is the preferred method for the Projects. Fur provided below.
		A TSHD vessel is positioned along the theoretical centre line of the burial tre attachment is lowered to the seabed, the dredge pumps are started and pre- sediment and sea water sucked up the suction pipe and passed into a hold or layer of material is dredged, the dredging vessel repositions itself and lowers pass. On each pass the height of the seabed is reduced. The operation is repe desired shape within the seabed, has been achieved. Note that during the dr the drag head path in a linear fashion. The dredged material along that line, v (mixed) in character both laterally and / or vertically, will be subject to furthe that the substrate itself can consist of heterogenous deposits on the sea floo along the suction pipe and in the dredger's hopper it is not possible to sort ar specific character for disposal.

I constrain its maximum turbine height have already reduced the tip heights the Project at this time. The Applicants ights included in the project design consenting process or due to failing for this is that, historically, the larger to reductions in foundation numbers ts of project delivery.

to the radar impact benefits se constraints include pressures to nimise collision impacts on kittiwake bush towards the use of smaller eductions in radar interference that

iated with constraining the bines. These range from making the ficiency and increasing energy costs Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA. considerable value to the UK as a ificant renewable energy capacity is

t on the end of a mechanical arm (a ed in shallower harbour conditions. It le corridor due to limitations of arm

thod seabed preparation in the rther information on TSHD is

ench. A suction pipe with a drag head a-sweep operations commence, with it hopper aboard the vessel. After a as the drag head to begin the next eated until the desired depth, or a redging phase, the TSHD is following which may well be heterogenous er mixing within the hopper. Given or which are subject to further mixing nd separate "like" sediments of a



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		With regards to disposal methods, it is important to clarify that fall pipes are dredged material as they would not be equipped on a TSHD. Fall pipes are ty seabed during the installation of scour and cable protection. Thus, fall pipes installation vessels and not dredgers. In terms of disposal from TSHD vessels
		 A floating pipe - This involves the use of a floating pipe managed by a tug close to the water's surface. It is generally used close to a land location th material that was dredged. This method is not suitable for sand wave cleat that runs 100km+ away from the coast.
		2. Rainbowing – This involves spraying a jet of water and sediment from the air onto the sea surface. The Applicants have indicated that they would no considered in the worst case design scenario.
		3. Bottom deposition/open door disposal - This involves opening bay doors vessel which lead to the hopper, allowing the sediment to be released from the preferred method for sand wave clearance operations. It does not red comparatively quick, which will help to reduce the overall duration of the done in a controlled way over a distance, rather than discharging in one guisually done in close proximity to the area from which the sediment was pipe to dispose of sediment after dredging is not practicable. Further, the vessels.
		The Applicants' preferred method of dredging is to carry out seabed preparat Dredger to prepare the seabed for construction activities where necessary an deposition to discharge the sediments within the consented disposal grounds in alignment with the worst case scenario considered within Chapter 8 Marin and the marine physical process modelling which informed this chapter 7.8.8 Processes Modelling Technical Report [APP-084]. With embedded mitigation effects of seabed preparation for cable and foundation installation (dredging undertaken identified a negligible effect. As a result, no additional mitigation necessary by the Applicants.
13	Provide an update to the submitted Cable Burial Risk Assessment (Appendices A and B of Cable Statement [AS-078] and summary of why and where cable protection may be required.	Cable protection refers to measures and systems designed to protect subsea the cables remain safe from physical damage, environmental factors, and oth wind farm.
		Cable protection is needed for the following reasons:
		 Physical Damage Prevention: Offshore wind farms are located in cables are vulnerable to physical damage. This can occur from val
		 Fishing activities
		 Ship anchors and vessel movements
		 Subsea equipment
		2. Environmental Protection: Cables are exposed to harsh condition
		• Strong currents and waves which can cause wear and tear, o





e not practicable for the disposal of ypically used to deliver rock to the are normally found on rock s, there are three main options:

g boat which discharges sediment nat has materials similar to the arance along an export cable corridor

e dredge vessel in an arc through the not do this as this process was not

(hatches) on the underside of the om the underside of the TSHD. This is quire additional support vessels, it is e dredging campaign, and it can be go in a concentrated fashion. It is obtained. To reiterate, the use of a fall ey are not typically found on TSHD

tion using a Trailing Suction Hopper nd to use the technique of bottom ls. The Applicants' preferred method is **ne Physical Environment** [APP-080] 8.3 **Appendix 8-3 – Marine Physical** on in place, the assessment of the pworks) as informed by the modelling of for sediment disposal is deemed

cables. The protection ensures that her threats throughout the life of the

marine environments where subsea rious sources:

ns such as: or displace the cables



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		 Biofouling (growth of marine organisms like barnacles) whic damaging cables over time
		3. Longevity and Reliability: cables must be able to withstand a proj
		The principal methods for protecting DBS cables are the cable design itself, w cable burial to a pre-determined depth.
		Remedial cable protection (to which we understand the question to be referrideposition of large rocks/gravel and/or concrete mattresses around cables to and/or prevent exposure to currents / seabed movements in areas where cable lowering is not possible e.g. crossings, or in areas of sub or outcropping rock.
		Cable burial is always the preferred method of cable protection – there is no d remedial cable burial protection than is absolutely necessary, as it increases c cable damage which can be caused by the presence of the rock itself. Howeve unavoidable due to ground conditions (which may or not be predicted) or oth cable installation itself.
		In determining where remedial cable protection may be required prior to const through careful analysis include:
		1. The depth of burial which is to be targeted, as this can impact the burial and the likelihood of remedial protection being needed.
		2. The burial installation methodology and specific tools to be used.
		To address the required depth of burial and potential protection requirements upon industry standard Cable Burial Risk Assessments (CBRA) for the export of Areas, following Carbon Trust guidance (Guidance for the Preparation of Cabl Specification, CTC835, February 2015).
		A CBRA consists of an assessment of natural and anthropogenic threats to cal assessment of risk mitigation by burial. Where sufficient protection through b remedial cable protection can be proposed. Key inputs to CBRAs are the avail the cable corridors. Hence, CBRAs are iteratively updated as understandings of Typically, and as is the case for the DBS projects, updates to the CBRAs will be consent project phases, right up to the point of construction.
		It should be noted that CBRAs are predictive in nature, during construction it depths proves possible in areas where it was not thought achievable, or vice v quanta or cable protection are not fully realised for a project until construction any protection installed during construction, remedial or additional protection operational phase of an offshore wind project.
		The Applicants included the preliminary DBS array and export cable CBRAs in Appendices A and B respectively in the Cable Statement (Revision 2) [AS-076]
		Cable Statement (Revision 2) [AS-078] is being further updated following the Request 1: Offshore and Intertidal Works [AS-141]. An update of this document to Appendix B (the export cable) at Deadline 2 as this CBRA was recently update further survey data from the cable corridor. Appendix A (array area CBRA) care





ch can add weight and drag,

- ject lifespan of many decades
- which includes steel armouring, and

ing) typically consists of the protect from physical damage, le burial to the target depth of

desire for the Applicants to use more costs and, in some instances, risks of er, in some circumstance its use is ner unforeseen challenges during

struction, key details to be resolved

e probability of achieving successful

•

ts, the Applicants have embarked cable and cabling within the Array le Burial Depth of Lowering

able integrity, with a probabilistic burial is unlikely to be achieved, ilability of site investigation data along of ground conditions develop. be made throughout the pre to post

may transpire that burial to target versa. Hence, the locations and total on has been completed. In addition to on may be required during the

n the application. These formed 78].

ne acceptance of **Project Change** nent will be submitted with an update lated following the acquisition of nnot be updated at this time as the

Page | 31



RWE

Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		Applicants are not planning to develop a revised version until the turbines are have been completed and the array layout is finalised. As geotechnical surveys happening until summer 2025 it is not anticipated that an update to this CBRA an update within DBS Examination. As stated previously, both CBRAs will und point of construction and final remedial cable protection locations and quanta is completed.
		The final volumes, areas and locations of remedial cable protection will not expresented in the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference 3.1]. The predict locations of anticipated remedial cable protection will be included in the final of MMO approval under each deemed Marine Licence prior to the commencement Statement(s) will be produced in alignment with Cable Statement (Revision 2) deemed Marine Licence (e.g. Condition 23 in Schedule 10) require the Applicant relevant statutory nature conservation bodies the details of the cable protection the authorised scheme following the completion of construction. Thus, it is cleared to the deployment of remedial cable protection prior to and beyond construction prior t
		The Applicants again wish to clarify that the primary means of cable protection be taken to reduce the use of costly, time-consuming measures through appro- micro-siting and the selection of the most appropriate installation methods. A the Applicants are deeply incentivised to minimise the use of remedial protect
<u>14</u>	Review Natural England's Relevant Representation (RR) [RR-039] in relation to seabed mobility. Explain why the information in relation to seabed mobility provided in ES Chapter 8 [APP-080] is considered to be 'a more useful baseline than regional information on sediment transport pathways'.	Natural England's Relevant Representation with respect to seabed mobility is Relevant Representation [RR-039] (NE ref B43). They advise "a seabed mobilit to inform the cable burial assessment". The Cable Burial Risk Assessment (CBF further design and survey information (see Action 13 above). The CBRA is an it regularly as pertinent information becomes available. The CBRA and cable rou assessment of seabed mobility, but these will not reach their final iteration with although an update to the export cable CBRA (Appendix B - Cable Statement provided at Deadline 2.
Agenda I	tem 5: Commercial Fisheries	
15	Referring to the magnitude of impact in Table 13-11 of Chapter 13 [APP-117], provide evidence that impacts up to 7 and 30 years would be low and medium magnitudes respectively. Provide examples of this approach from other applications and why the particular number of years selected for this application are appropriate.	The magnitude of impact definitions, presented in Table 13-11 of Chapter 13 C have been informed by and are compliant with a number of key guidance doce Chapter 6 EIA Methodology [APP-076]. Specific policy, legislation and guidar is also detailed within section 13.4.1 of Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries [APF

The magnitude of impact definitions, presented in Table 13-11 of **Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries** [APP-117], have been informed by and are compliant with a number of key guidance documents set out in Table 6-1 of **Chapter 6 EIA Methodology** [APP-076]. Specific policy, legislation and guidance relevant to commercial fisheries is also detailed within section 13.4.1 of **Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries** [APP-117], including policies relevant to commercial fisheries from the North East Inshore, East Inshore, North East Offshore and East Offshore Marine Plans and the National Policy Statement. As well as the above highlighted guidance in Table 6-1 of **Chapter 6 EIA Methodology** [APP-076], the definition of magnitude of impact has also been informed by consultation with the Commercial Fisheries Working Group (CFWG). The definitions are primarily based on the impact duration and the estimated reduction in value in terms of a commercial fishing receptor's annual landings. To assess duration of impact the low magnitude of 7 years was agreed upon to allow assessment of the realistic worst case design parameters set out in Table 13-2 of **Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries** [APP-117] where DBS West

and DBS East is constructed sequentially.

EcoDoc Number 005633280

e chosen, further geotechnical surveys ys of the DBS East Array Area are not A will be available in time to provide dergo further iterations up to the a will not be known until construction

xceed the worst case values cted final volumes, areas and Cable Statement(s) which will require ent of construction. The final Cable **2)** [AS-078]). Conditions within each ants to report to the MMO and the cion and scour protection used within lear that there will be a high level of construction.

on is burial and that every effort will ropriate cable design, routeing and Aside any environmental concerns, ction at all project stages.

s outlined in Natural England's ty assessment should be carried out RA) is currently preliminary pending terative process that will be updated uting studies will include an ithin the Examination timeframe, **ht (Revision 2)** [AS-078]) will be



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		The medium magnitude of impact where the impact would be long-term (i.e and is likely to occur, was agreed upon to allow assessment within the anticip Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries [APP-117]).
		The CFWG were presented with the definitions of magnitude of impact durin (see Appendix F - Non-Statutory Consultation and Engagement [APP-043 the durations used to define the magnitude of impact. Consultation is detaile Fisheries Consultation Responses [APP-119] and the meeting minutes press Consultation and Engagement [APP-043].
		Other applications which have used comparable timescales to define the ma assessments include the Morgan and Mona Offshore Wind Projects, which us low and medium magnitudes respectively.
16	The National Federation of Fishermen's Organisation (NFFO) have indicated concerns regarding elements of the magnitude of impact methodology and figures used by the Applicants in the ES. The Applicants indicated that this would be addressed by the SoCG. Provide a copy of the SoCG.	The Applicants confirm that a SoCG with the NFFO will be provided at Deadl minutes presented in Appendix F - Non-Statutory Consultation and Engag neither attending members of the NFFO raised any concerns when presente meeting on 22 nd November 2023.
17	Evidence that the 50, 11-50 and <11 percentage reductions in area or annual value of landings, in relation to the economic losses to fishing receptors are to be considered high, medium and low respectively as stated in Table 13-11 of Chapter 13 [APP-117]. Add further detail to what has been submitted to evidence these conclusions.	As well as the above highlighted guidance in Table 6-1 of Chapter 6 EIA Met magnitude of impact has also been informed by consultation with the CFWG on the impact duration and the estimated reduction in value in terms of a co landings and outlined in Table 13-2 of Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries [API
		In response to consultation with the CFWG as a result of S.42 Preliminary Enconsultation, detailed in Appendix 13-1 Commercial Fisheries Consultation of low and medium magnitude of impacts were updated between the PEIR s definition of Low was amended from a "5-20% reduction in annual value of landings".
		Similarly, the medium magnitude of impact definition was amended from a landings to a "11-50% reduction in annual value of landings.
		The estimated percentage reduction in annual value of landings valuations w form of semi-quantitative assessment, i.e. to not just rely on potentially vage slight impact on revenue", as included in a number of other applications in the The evaluation of impact magnitude has been informed by expert judgement stakeholder feedback, the Array Area layouts presented and how these may have provided additional transparency by using percentage values for the be assessment is not required to provide a detailed representation of economic not being a common approach in the industry.
18	Section 13.6 of Chapter 13 [APP-117] explains that three scenarios have been considered in the assessment of significance: SAC fishing restrictions would be in place; SAC fishing restrictions would be revoked; and the offshore export cable corridor (ECC) would be constructed. Explain why assessing the offshore ECC in isolation is appropriate to inform the environment impact assessment, given that constructing the proposed offshore ECC separate to the east or west array is not an option in the proposed works description.	Impacts have been assessed in section 13.6 of Chapter 13 Commercial Fishe during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases, for the dev 1. DBS East and/or DBS West in isolation; 2. DBS East and DBS West together (concurrent or sequential development)



e. less than 30 years), though reversible pated lifetime of the project (see of

ng a meeting on 22nd November 2023 3]) and did not raise any concerns over ed in **Appendix 13-1 Commercial** sented in **Appendix F - Non-Statutory**

agnitude of impact for their ise less than 5 and 35 years to define

lline 1. As detailed within the meeting **gement** [APP-043] of the CFWG, ed with the methodology at the

thodology [APP-076], the definition of G. The definitions are primarily based ommercial fishing receptor's annual 'P-117].

nvironmental Impact Report (PEIR) **n Responses** [APP-119], the definition stage and final ES. Specifically, the landings" to a "5-10% reduction in

"21-50% reduction in annual value of

were used in order to provide some ue definitions such as "a medium or he region of DBS West and DBS East. In that is based on data analysis, affect fishing activity. The Applicants enefit of stakeholders, although the c impact on individual vessels with this

eries [APP-117] for the Project alone velopment of:

ment)



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		The realistic worst-case scenario for the above scenarios have been assessed
		3. Impacts within the Arrays for the Dogger Bank SAC Byelaw ³ to remai
		4. Impacts within the Arrays if the Dogger Bank SAC Byelaw is revoked.
		5. Impacts within the Offshore Export Cable Corridor.
		The worst-case parameters used within the assessment are detailed within Ta Fisheries [APP-117].
		Although construction of the Offshore Export Cable Corridor will not occur see DBS East or DBS West Array Areas, the Offshore Export Cable Corridor is larg (except for approximately 20km of the DBS East and DBS West Offshore Exp Dogger Bank SAC were to remain in place or be revoked, impacts within the considered to stay the same. As such, impacts within the Offshore Export Cal
		The byelaw was introduced by the MMO and came into force on 13th June 20 12,399km ² of seabed area and overlaps with the entirety of the Array Areas. I 20km of the DBS East Offshore Export Cable Corridor and DBS West Offshore prohibits bottom towed fishing across the whole of the Dogger Bank SAC and shallow water sandbank habitats.
		During operation, it is assumed that there would be no material loss of fishing Cable Corridor, except during temporary and short-term repair and remediat 13.6.2.1.1.3 of Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries [APP-117]. As such, greatest Cable Corridor would be largely restricted to the construction phase. In addit Offshore Export Cable Corridor generally comprise of dredge and static gear and otter trawlers are more common to the DBS East and DBS West Array Ar is described in section 13.5.3 of Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries [APP-117] a Commercial Fisheries Technical Report [APP-120].
		Given the distinction between receptor groups operating within the Offshore and DBS West Array Areas, assessing the Offshore Export Cable Corridor as a distinguishing impacts to these receptors during the construction and operat
19	Review section 13.6.1.1.3 of Chapter 13 of the ES [APP-117] and update the receptor sensitivities to be consistent with the definitions in Table 13-10 of the same chapter.	Following a review of section 13.6.1.1.3 of Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries that the intertidal netters receptor group should be amended from a medium order to remain consistent with definitions provided in Table 13-10. It should l group's sensitivity to high, will not alter any of the significance of effect concl restricted access to fishing grounds during construction and operation for int Negligible. The significance of effect will therefore remain Minor Adverse for operation, section 13.6.2.1.4 for the intertidal netters receptor group.

³The byelaw was introduced by the MMO and came into force on 13th June 2022. This byelaw covers approximately 12,399km2 of seabed area and overlaps with the entirety of the Array Areas. It also overlaps with approximately 20km of the DBS East Offshore Export Cable Corridor and DBS West Offshore Export Cable Corridor. This byelaw prohibits bottom towed fishing across the whole of the Dogger Bank SAC and buffer zone, to protect sensitive shallow water sandbank habitats.



for three further scenarios: in in place.

able 13.1 of Chapter 13 Commercial

eparately to the construction of the gely outside of the Dogger Bank SAC port Cable Corridor). Therefore, if the Offshore Export Cable Corridor are able Corridor were assessed separately.

22. This byelaw covers approximately It also overlaps with approximately e Export Cable Corridor. This byelaw nd buffer zone, to protect sensitive

ng grounds along the Offshore Export tion events, as described in section t impacts within the Offshore Export tion, receptor groups within the receptors, whilst demersal, pelagic reas. The distribution of fishing activity and in more detail in Appendix 13-2

Export Cable Corridor and DBS East separate scenario also aided tion phases.

[APP-117], it has been determined m sensitivity to a high sensitivity in be noted that amending this receptor lusions for impacts to loss or tertidal netters as the magnitude is construction, section 13.6.1.1.4, and



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
21	Provide, or signpost where it can be found in the submitted documents, how the cumulative effects of all the schemes and the proposed projects combined have been assessed within ES Chapter 13 [APP-117]. Table 13-44, indicates that the cumulative effects associated with the proposed projects and other schemes have been assessed individually.	Table 13-44 summarises all the plans and projects (schemes) that were screen in section 13.8 of Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries [APP-117]. A seven tier sy by Natural England and Defra (Parker <i>et al.</i> , 2022), has been employed as pre CEA Methodology [APP-077] and a tier level has been assigned to each sche
		Fully operational Tier 1 schemes are considered as part of the baseline condit expected that these schemes would contribute to cumulative effects, therefor subject of further assessment in the CEA. Tier 1 schemes include Strategic Pla and Protected Areas, with the exception of the Dogger Bank SAC as this byel All other schemes that were not fully operational at the time of assessment f assessment.
		For potential cumulative effects during construction, each scheme has been of section 13.8.1 of Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries [APP-117] to identify poter timelines, distance to the DBS Array Areas and Export Cable Corridor, consent fisheries impact assessment results to inform the CEA. Where schemes are not construction timelines or have not submitted sufficient information to be ass considered further and screened out of the CEA. These schemes include:
		 Dogger Bank A (construction estimated to be complete by 2024) Dogger Bank B (construction estimated to be complete by 2024) Sofia (construction estimated to be complete by 2024) Eastern Green Link 3 and 4 (sufficient information not yet submitted)
		It should be noted that the CEA is a more qualitative assessment than the ass Project alone in section 13.6. However, the information provided within section been considered on a cumulative basis and provides a significance of impact followed throughout section 13.8.1, whereby schemes are discussed individual assessment within the magnitude of effect section and then these schemes as in the significance of impact section for each impact assessed.
		A similar approach has been taken for potential cumulative impacts during of 13.8.2 of Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries [APP-117].

Agenda Item 6: Marine Ecology

22	Provide citation for any other projects for which a value of 'low' has been allocated for habitats or species that provide prey items for other species of greater conservation value and deemed appropriate by the Secretary of State or has been put forward in a DCO Application which is yet to be determined.	Please see the Applicants' responses to RR-039: C20 in the Response to Natur Representations [AS-048]. The 'value' of a receptor forms an important element within the Environmenta the receptor is a protected species or habitat it is considered to be of higher va not protected. It is important to understand that high value and high sensitivit particular effect. A receptor could be of high value (e.g. Annex I habitat) but ha ecological sensitivity to an effect. Similarly, low value does not equate to low s receptor-by-receptor basis. Therefore, value is considered, where relevant, as assigned to the receptor, based on expert judgement.
		Like the Applicants, Norfolk Vanguard (Table 10.4 of <u>Chapter 10 Benthic and Ir</u> (Table 10.4 of <u>Chapter 10 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology</u>) and North Falls (Tabl





EcoDoc Number 005633280

ned in for assessment within the CEA ystem, based on the guidance issued esented in **Appendix 6-2 Offshore** eme.

tions (Section 13.5) and it is not ore, Tier 1 schemes have not been the ans, the Viking Link Interconnector law is subject to review every 5 years. from Tiers 2-7 are screened for further

discussed on an individual basis in ential overlap in construction nted capacity/scale and commercial ot identified to have overlapping sessed, these schemes are not

sessment of significance for the on 13.8.1 (summarised above) has in section 13.8.1.1.3. This approach is ally to provide context to the are considered on a cumulative basis

peration and is detailed within section

ural England's Relevant

tal Impact Assessment, for instance if value than a habitat or species that is ity are not necessarily linked within a nave a low or negligible physical / sensitivity and is judged on a s a modifier for the sensitivity

Intertidal Ecology), Norfolk Boreas ole 10.9 of <u>Chapter 10 Benthic and</u>



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		Intertidal Ecology) all assigned a value of 'low' for ' <i>Habitats or species that proconservation value</i> '. Whereas, Sheringham and Dudgeon Extension Projects (' <u>Ecology</u>), and Morecombe (Table 9.8 of <u>Chapter 9 Benthic Ecology</u>) assigned between projects relate to the circumstances of those projects. Even with the classification of receptor 'value', none of these projects assigned a greater that effect to the construction or operational impacts for Benthic and Intertidal Ecology
		Although the overall effect of habitat loss due to the construction of the Proje available for foraging and the extent of habitat for prey species, habitat loss es small proportion of habitat occupied by the structures compared to the large indicated by the distances used in relation to screening. Similarly, although or new foraging opportunities for some species (e.g. Clausen <i>et al.</i> , 2021 ⁴ ; Russe expected to be negligible in the context of foraging ranges.
23	The Marine Management Organisation (MMO), Natural England and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds provided substantial comments in their respective Relevant Representations (RR) [<u>RR-030</u>], [<u>RR-039</u>] and [<u>RR-049</u>] regarding concern over the scope and wording of ecological monitoring contained within the draft Development Consent Order (DCO) and Deemed Marine Licences (DMLs). This includes but is not limited to comments on marine mammal monitoring, ornithological monitoring and improvements to the sandeel monitoring proposed. Provide a summary of changes made in Revision 3 of the draft DCO [<u>AS-120</u>] to address concerns by these organisations regarding post consent monitoring of marine ecological features.	It is not appropriate at this stage of the project to finalise monitoring propose design, programme, and compensation measures required. Therefore, the Ap currently possible to state the options that would be considered, it would not commit to detailed monitoring proposals at this time. In particular it would not current monitoring or studies to be incorporated. For these reasons, the mon submission is indicated as being 'in-principle'. A summary of the changes mad Consent Order (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1] following the Applican Representations is provided below:
		 Condition 13 (3) of Deemed Marine Licences 3 and 4 have been amended place within the Holderness Inshore Conservation Zone (MCZ). As such, direct impacts during cable installation activities to occur within the MC for direct impacts on the MCZ. Deemed Marine Licences 1-5 (Condition 20, 20, 18, 18 and 14 respectivel relation to pre-construction monitoring and surveys) 'When any surveys paragraph (5) a survey report must be submitted to the MMO following conreport submitted under this sub-paragraph must be submitted prior to the for the relevant stage'. The wording of DML 1: Condition 20 (2), DML 2: Condition 20 (2), DML 3 18 (2) and DML 5: Condition 14 (2) was amended to the text requested b Representation. The wording of DML 1: Condition 20 (4), DML 2: Condition 20 (4), DML 3 18 (4) and DML 5: Condition 14 (4) was amended to the text requested b Representation. The wording of DML 1: Condition 20 (4) (a), DML 2: Condition 20 (4), 0ML 3 18 (4) and DML 5: Condition 14 (4) was amended to the text requested b Representation.

⁴ Clausen, K.T., Teilman, J., Wisniewska, D.M., Balle, J.D., Delefosse, M. & van Beest, F.M. (2021). Echolocation activity of harbour porpoises, Phocoena phocoena, shows seasonal artificial reef attraction despite elevated noise levels close to oil and gas platforms. Ecol Solut Evid. 2021; 2: e 12055. DOI: 10.1002/2688-8319.12055.

⁵ Russell, Deborah J. F., Sophie M. J. M. Brasseur, Dave Thompson, Gordon D. Hastie, Vincent M. Janik, Geert Aarts, Brett T. McClintock, Jason Matthiopoulos, Simon E. W. Moss, and Bernie McConnell. "Marine Mammals Trace Anthropogenic Structures at Sea." Current Biology 24, no. 14 (July 21, 2014): R638–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.06.033



EcoDoc Number 005633280

ovide prey items for other species of (Table 8-9 of <u>Chapter 8 Benthic</u> I a 'medium' value. Differences the differences in subjective views of the man minor adverse significance of cology.

ects will be to reduce the area effects will be negligible given the foraging ranges of their predators, as offshore wind structures may provide el *et al.*, 2014⁵) habitat gain effects are

als, as these depend on the final pplicants consider that whilst it is t be appropriate to finalise and ot allow for results or lessons from nitoring plan included within the de to the **Draft Development** nts consideration of Relevant

d to commit to no anchoring taking , there is no longer any potential for CZ, ensuring no monitoring is required

ly) have been updated to state that (in a re carried out in accordance with submpletion of the relevant survey. Any commencement of licensed activities

3: Condition 18 (2), DML 4: Condition by the MMO in their Relevant

3: Condition 18 (4), DML 4: Condition by the MMO in their Relevant

DML 3: Condition 18 (4) (a), DML 4: e text requested by the MMO in their


Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		 The wording of DML 1: Condition 22 (3) (a), DML 2: Condition 22 (3) (a), Condition 20 (3) (a) and DML 5: Condition 16 (3) (a) was amended to the Relevant Representation. The wording of DML 1: Condition 22 (3) (e), DML 2: Condition 22 (3) (e), Condition 20 (3) (e) and DML 5: Condition 16 (3) (e) was amended to the Relevant Representation. The wording of DML 1: Condition 23, DML 2: Condition 23, DML 3: Cond DML 5: Condition 17 was amended to the text requested by the MMO in Condition 15 (1) (b) (aa), Condition 15 (1) (b) (aa) (bb) and (cc), Condition 13 (1) (b) (aa) (bb) and (cc) and Condition 11 (1) (b) (aa) (bb) and (cc) of D (respectively) have been updated to reflect that detail of the pre-constr proposed pre-construction monitoring will be submitted at least six modenties within the draft DCO, instead referring to other documents such as Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan [APP-057] and Outlin Protocol (Revision 2) [AS-100 and AS-101] where updates have been made: Representations received by the Applicants. The Applicants' Responses to Relevant Representations [PDA-013], F Relevant Representations (Appendix G & H) [PDB-006] and Response to N Representations [AS-048] for further information). The Applicants await responses from stakeholders on The Applicants' Responses from stakeholders on The Applicants' appr The approach outlined in this response regarding the development of postowithin the Draft Development Consent Order (Revision 5) [document refer offshore wind farm applications, with other recently consented wind farms sed Dudgeon Extension projects and Hornsea Project Four following a similar de
24	Provide further detail on how the Applicants are considering collaborating on marine ecological monitoring with other developers and sea users.	The Applicants have no present plans to collaborate with other sea users in r monitoring at the present time as there are no synergies with other projects Applicants remain open to discussions relating to collaborative monitoring s opportunities arise. The Applicants have shared the results of the benthic characterisation surver Further details of this collaborative data-sharing exercise are available here <u>https://rconnect.cefas.co.uk/onebenthic_portal/</u> . The Applicants may engage the completion of benthic surveys conducted in the future. In addition, the results of all of the DBS characterisation surveys have also be Marine Data Exchange (www.marinedataexchange.co.uk) a publicly accessil





, DML 3: Condition 20 (3) (a), DML 4: e text requested by the MMO in their

, DML 3: Condition 20 (3) (e), DML 4: he text requested by the MMO in their

ndition 21, DML 4: Condition 21 and in their Relevant Representation on 13 (1) (b) (aa) (bb) and (cc), Condition Deemed Marine Licences 1-5 truction surveys and an outline of all nonths prior to the first survey.

onitoring are not presented in their the **Outline Guillemot [and Razorbill]** ine Marine Mammal Mitigation following consideration of Relevant

onsent monitoring provided in the ter date in the examination process Response to Natural England's Natural England's Relevant

ponses to Relevant Representations) roach to post-consent monitoring.

consent monitoring and its inclusion rence: 3.1] is considered standard in such as the Sheringham Shoal and eveloping approach through

relation to marine ecological that are readily apparent. The should suitable synergistic

ey with Cefas's OneBenthic initiative.

ge further with this initiative following

been uploaded to The Crown Estate's ible data resource. The data and reports



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
Agenda I 26	tem 7: Shipping and Navigation Provide a copy of the Statements of Common Ground (SoCG) with the shipping and navigation Interested Parties that was referred to in the Hearing. This should include the Interested Parties' position regarding the proposed shipping route deviations and the mean 1 nautical mile distance between shipping routes and offshore structures. Provide a description and a plan to demonstrate how the proposed offshore development	 At a strategic level, RWE Renewables (an equity holder in the Applicants comfollowing collaborative initiatives: The Offshore Wind Strategic Monitoring Research Forum (OWSMRF) gaps and industry priorities, focussed on marine birds. ORJIP, of which RWE is a Stage 2 partner. Defra's Offshore Wind Enabling Actions Programme (OWEAP). SoCGs with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA), Trinity House, and Shipping have been submitted at Deadline 1. These include reference to the mean one nautical mile (nm) distance betwee offshore structures for deviations as part of the EIA assessment methodology and UK Chamber of Shipping have all agreed the methodology, including the main commercial routes as stated above, noting that use of 1nm is recognise.
-,	area, construction areas (1 kilometre (km) for the proposed arrays and o.5km for the proposed offshore ECC) and safety zones (0.5km around the construction activities) spatially relate to each other.	section 5.1.3.1 of Chapter 5 Project Description [APP-o71], the Offshore Dev Array Areas plus a 1km temporary Construction Buffer Zone and the Offshore temporary Construction Buffer Zone on both sides of the Offshore Export Ca Figure 5-1 of Chapter 5 Project Description – Figure 5-1 to Figure 5-4 [APP- does not and is not intended to serve as an exclusion area or safety area in or construction space, or a temporary work area for vessels to carry out intrusiv temporary works such as anchoring, jacking up, placement of buoyage and re infrastructure is to be installed in this area itself. The safety Zone Statement [APP-243]. The Applicants intend to comply wit with the safety zone application undertaken post consent. The application w Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ) before the commencement of offsho of the Projects when the final number and precise location of surface piercing Given the rolling and temporary nature of safety zones, it is not considered for the context of the Offshore Development Area and temporary construction a
28	ES Chapter 14 [APP-121] paragraph 260 states "For all phases the frequency of occurrence in relation to cumulative vessel displacement and increased third-party vessel to vessel collision risk is considered frequent and the severity of consequence is considered moderate ." Paragraph 261 states "Overall, for all phases it is predicted that the significance of effect due to cumulative vessel displacement and increased third-party vessel to vessel collision risk is Tolerable with Mitigation" i.e.not significant. Clarify in the context of Table 14-9 [APP-121] if the significance of effect stated should therefore be identified as 'unacceptable'? If the frequency and severity are correct, the Applicants are to explain if they plan to address the significant effect and when the ExA can expect to receive this information. Alternatively, if the Applicants do not intend to address the significant effect, the ExA	The significance of effect associated with this impact is Tolerable with Mitiga Navigational Risk Assessment (NRA) process. This assertion is made on the b should read reasonably probable. When a reasonably probable frequency of moderate severity of consequence this results in a Tolerable with Mitigation s As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP). Justification for this frequency of occurrence is based on the Main Commerci both the in isolation and cumulative scenarios. The presence of other nearby construction (Dogger Bank A, Dogger Bank B, Sofia, and Dogger Bank C) has routeing in the baseline environment in a manner which reduces interaction Subsequently, additional deviations required in the cumulative scenario com limited to two routes. The table presented in Appendix B – Shipping and Nav





npanies) is actively involved with the

which is addressing wider knowledge

United Kingdom (UK) Chamber of

en main commercial routes and yy position. The MCA, Trinity House e application of the 1nm distance for ed as industry standard.

al relationship with one another. As per velopment Area includes the DBS re Export Cable Corridor with a 500m able Corridor. This is illustrated in P-072]. The Construction Buffer Zone or of itself. The area serves as ve activities. It provides room for relocation of fishing gear. No

of the Energy Act 2004, as detailed in th the requirements of this legislation, will be approved by the Department for one construction for related elements and structures has been determined. feasible to spatially illustrate them in areas.

ation based on the findings of the basis that the frequency of occurrence occurrence is considered alongside a significance of effect which is As Low

ial Route deviations anticipated for y offshore wind farms under s already displaced commercial with the DBS Array Areas. npared to the in isolation scenario are yigation Cumulative Vessel Deviation



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
	request justification is submitted including evidence that the impact can be considered as low as reasonably practicable.	(which is an amalgamation of Table 15-1 and Table 15-3 in Appendix 14-2 Nav 124]) highlights these two routes. Additionally, the mean positions of these t Appendix 14-2 Navigational Risk Assessment [APP-124] across the pre win cumulative post wind farm scenarios in Figure 11-2, Figure 15-1, and Figure 1
		Therefore, only these two routes may contribute to an increase in the freque effect, with an average of 7 to 8 vessels per week (Route 2) and 2 to 3 vessels However, these routes do not interact with the DBS Array Areas, are not anti displacement and are only referenced within the NRA because they are locat Therefore, effects associated with these deviations do not directly relate to t considered in the determination of the frequency of occurrence.
		As described in Paragraph 255 of Chapter 14 Shipping and Navigation [APP considered to be greater than that assessed for the in isolation scenario giver still within moderate parameters given the increased distances relative to the
		Therefore, the resulting significance of effect is Tolerable with Mitigation whitterms. This is supported by engagement with the Maritime and Coastguard A October 2024 to discuss and progress their respective SoCG. In both cases the conclusions of the assessment of significance undertaken for the in isolation appropriate and considered not significant in Environmental Impact Assessme each SoCG which has have been submitted at Deadline 1. Additionally, the A with the MCA ahead of Deadline 1 subsequent to ISH2 on the 15 th January wit content with the justification provided.

Agenda Item 8: Underwater noise

29	Provide examples of other made DCOs for which the Secretary of State has agreed with the Applicants' proposed levels of hammer energies for monopile foundations of 6,000 kJ, or other draft DCOs proposing the same value which are yet to be determined.	The Applicants have assessed for proposed maximum hammer energies at 6,0 worst-case scenario, which was reduced from 7,000kJ following feedback on to maximum hammer energy of 6,000kJ is based on assumed maximum pile geo the DBS site. There may be further opportunities to reduce the hammer energy foundation concept has been completed and the final foundation installation. Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Extension Projects, now approved, applied for 5,500kJ. There are other offshore wind farm projects that are currently in the cor higher maximum hammer energies in their draft DCOs compared to the DC example, Five Estuaries are proposing a maximum hammer energy of 7,000kJ maximum hammer energy of 6,600kJ, Outer Dowsing are proposing a maximum hammer energy of 6,000kJ.
31	Explain how the In Principle Site Integrity Plan for the Southern North Sea SAC [AS-102] resubmitted in November 2024 has been updated to provide an adequate framework to ensure no Adverse Effect on Integrity in relation to the harbour porpoise qualifying feature of the Southern North Sea SAC during piling.	The updated In Principle Site Integrity Plan (SIP) for the Southern North Seconservation (SAC) (Revision 2) [AS-102] added in mitigation measures that which are presented in section 6.10f the In-Principle SIP for the SNS SAC (Re inclusion of having a monitoring area, marine mammal observers (MMObs), p acoustic deterrent device (ADD) to deter marine mammals out of the impact a ramp-up prior to piling operations. These mitigations are designed to manage auditory injury to marine mammals, in line with the Outline Marine Mammal 2) [AS-100].

RWE



EcoDoc Number 005633280

avigational Risk Assessment [APPtwo routes can be observed in nd farm, post wind farm, and L5-3, respectively.

ency of occurrence for the cumulative s per week (Route 5), respectively. icipated to do so following ted within the 10nm study area. the Projects and should not be

P-121], the severity of consequence is en the increased route distances, but he length of the routes as a whole.

hich is ALARP and not significant in EIA Agency (MCA) and Trinity House in here was agreement that the and cumulative scenarios is nent (EIA) terms. This is reflected in Applicants have discussed this response ith the MCA confirming that it was

oookJ for monopile foundations as a the PEIR. The requirement for a ometries and ground conditions at gy again once detailed design of the method is selected post-consent.

for a similar hammer energy of consenting process that have similar ogger Bank South Projects. For J, Morecambe are proposing a num hammer energy of 6,600kJ, and

ea (SNS) Special Area of t the Applicants are committing to, evision 2) [AS-102]. This includes the passive acoustic monitoring (PAM); area and committing to a soft-start / e the potential for permanent I Mitigation Plan (MMMP) (Revision



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		In section 9 of the In Principle SIP for the SNS SAC (Revision 2) [AS-102], th on management measures for Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) clearance and de applicable to the Projects and other mitigation measures outside the scope o
		Table 4-2 in the In Principle SIP for the SNS SAC (Revision 2) [AS-102] has be approval, six months prior to commencement of pile driving, where the final final SIP will ensure that the Applicants have adequate mitigation measures i 6.1 and any optional mitigation measure described in section 9 of the In-Prin2) [AS-102]. These final mitigation measures will ensure that there is no Advet the harbour porpoise qualifying feature of the SNS SAC during piling by ensuseasonal (10%) disturbance thresholds are not breached. It is not appropriate which of the outlined mitigation and/or management options would be need appropriate to implement, as it depends on the final pile design, the piling pr that may be happening at the same time, and whether further options for eit alternative installation techniques, become available at the time of finalisation. Therefore, the Applicants consider that whilst it is currently possible to state it would not be appropriate to finalise and commit to precise mitigation and it would not allow for future methods, technologies, and guidance to be inco deemed Marine Licence (e.g. Condition 16 in Schedule 10) are included within [document reference 3.1] require the Applicants to submit a final SIP to the N conservation bodies. The conditions state that the final SIP must be approved activities can commence, which secures that adequate mitigation measures of this approach to the finalisation of the SIP post-consent was agreed for both and Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Extension projects.
32	Provide examples of other made DCOs agreed by the Secretary of State which have used the Applicants' proposed approach to Noise Abatement Systems, or other draft DCOs proposing the same approach.	The Applicants are considering the use of NAS as mitigation for underwater r dependent on the final project design and determined at the post-consent st Projects' procurement strategy as an optional element to allow it to be called the final design parameters, rather than not including it all.
		As noted above, both Hornsea Project Four and the Sheringham Shoal and D similar approach to the Applicants, whereby options for mitigation and mana submission but would not be finalised until the pre-construction period. Mitig would then be finalised in agreement with the MMO and relevant statutory n Hornsea Project Four, and the Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Extension Pro based on this approach. Examples of other projects that propose to use this a Five Estuaries, North Falls and Morecambe.
34	Natural England [<u>RR-039</u>] stated that the assessment of underwater noise impacts on herring does not use the worst-case location. Provide a response to the suggestion made by the ExA during ISH2 on whether a reassessment based on the most south-westerly point of the proposed DBS West array could result in greater overlap with the 'high' and 'very high' herring spawning potential habitat and whether this could result in a greater impact outcome? If so, would a reassessment be undertaken and submitted?	The underwater noise modelling locations were chosen to give the greatest of site, in the deepest water (acoustically the 'worst case'). In some specific circu closest modelled location in the site may not be the closest location in respec herring, Natural England have correctly identified that the South West corner closer to spawning potential than the West location that was used. However,





he Applicants have added information evices, measures that are not of the SIP, such as the MMMP.

been updated with the final SIP l project design will be confirmed. The in place such as those stated in section nciple SIP for the SNS SAC (Revision erse Effect on Integrity in relation to uring that both the spatial (20%) and at this stage of the project to finalise led, or which would be the most rogramme, the other noisy activities ther mitigation or management, or on that are not available now. e the options that would be considered, management options at this time, as prporated. Conditions within each in the **Draft DCO (Revision 5**) MMO and the relevant statutory nature ed in writing by the MMO before piling will need to be in place.

the approved Hornsea Project Four,

noise, and the use of it will be tage. NAS is being included within the d upon should it be required based on

Dudgeon Extension Projects applied a agement are outlined at DCO igation and management options nature conservation bodies. Both rojects have been granted their DCOs approach in their draft DCOs include

geographical spread across the DBS umstances, this means that the ect of a specific receptor. In the case of r of DBS West Array Area is slightly the region of higher herring spawning



Action No.	Question / Clarification	Applicants' Response
		potential informed by IHLS data used within the Kyle-Henney <i>et a</i> l. (2024 ⁶) fig the south, reducing the overlap of areas of higher spawning potential with the expectation for potential population level impacts on herring below this three
		The 'mapping' of receptors in the marine environment, especially mobile one Atlantic Herring and Sandeel [AS-105], should be treated as indicative of the potential or nursery grounds etc, rather than as hard, fast absolute areas. This marine data sets and the need therefore to combine a range of data sources t
		As a result of the above, it is important to note that the real distance at which precise, although numerically it is sometimes treated as such. Small changes distinguishable, and at the ranges of potential disturbance of many kilometre changes in the level of noise if it were from the SW location that would not m disturbance on fish.
		Given therefore that the 'mapping' of both noise and receptor presence is not best available evidence), the Applicants consider that the assessment is robus

⁶ Kyle-Henney, M., Reach, I., Barr, N., Warner, I., Lowe, S., and Lloyd Jones, D., 2024. Identifying and Mapping Atlantic Herring Potential Spawning Habitat: An Updated Method Statement. Available at: https://www.erm.com/globalassets/insights/documents/Identifying_and_Mapping_Atlantic_Herring_Potential_Spawning_Habitat_An_Updated_Method_2024_w_Appendices.pdf



gure can be seen to recess inwards to e >186dB threshold. There is no shold.

es as shown in Heat Mapping Report: eir location, location of spawning s is due to the uncertainties with all to inform our understanding.

there may be disturbance is not in noise are not in general es, this should lead to very small naterially change the risk of

precise (but based nonetheless on st and reassessment is not necessary.



5 Responses to ISH₂ Hearing Questions – Onshore Topics

Table 5-1 The Applicants' Responses to the Examining Authority's Action Points from ISH2 (Day 2) held on Thursday 16th January 2025

Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
Agenda	Item 9: Seascape, Landscape and Visual	
2	Provide a written response to address the potential effects of the Proposed Development on dark skies from the construction, operational and decommissioning	Dark skies have not been identified as a particular quality of the landscape and visual study area, as defined in Impact Assessment [APP-192]. There are no recognised dark sky locations near to the proposed development the key characteristics for the relevant landscape character types from the East Riding of Yorkshire Landscape
	phases.	The Campaign to Protect Rural England has published 'England's Light Pollution and Dark Skies', an interactive website. This shows that much of the Onshore Export Cable Corridor is within areas of low night light. Closer to increases, with moderate levels of night light around the Substation Zone.
		There will be no permanent lighting at the Onshore Converter Stations, so that there will be no effect on dark
		During construction, temporary lighting will be in use when works take place during hours of darkness. Such l required for safe working, and will be directional to avoid light spill and nuisance. Construction lighting will be Lighting Plan that will be appended to the Code of Construction Practice as detailed in the Outline Code of C [AS-094]. See response to Action 3 below. Due to the temporary and limited nature of construction (and deco effects on dark skies are anticipated.
3	Confirm the level of detail that would be provided in the lighting plan which the Applicants stated would be appended to the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP)	The Construction Lighting Plan that will be prepared by the Contractor and appended to the Code of Constru- and agreed with the East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC), the requirement to prepare a detailed CoCP is se DCO (Revision 5) [document reference 3.1], the Construction Lighting Plan would include the following mease Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094] at Deadline 1:
	[<u>AS-094</u>].	 Undertake a desk-based survey of the proposed cable route and locations of proposed construction of Coordinate with ecology and other specialists to establish potential areas of high sensitivity identified species surveys (e.g. bats and their foraging and commuting routes); Identify potentially sensitive residential receptors:
		 Undertake baseline lighting surveys along the route to establish baseline conditions (day/night photo collected on site to record current conditions); Collect specific data at the most sensitive locations, especially compounds that are adjacent to ecolo identified residential receptors (capture photographic and photometric data at the receptor locations later in the impact assessment process); Prepare constraints plans including, where necessary, illuminance limitations such as lighting buffer a Access Statement [APP-233] or specific mitigation for identified receptors; Provide a detailed construction phase Lighting Strategy to establish generalised best practice lightin ecology, local receptors and the night sky (including methodology, operational requirements, placem temperature etc.); Provide detailed construction compound lighting specifications for any semi-permanent or permanent safety, amenity including ISOlux contour plans, photometric modelling and impact assessments whe units, operational activity, buildings etc.); and Provide a construction phase monitoring plan with established measurement points and illuminance
		necessary to prove ongoing compliance (especially for compounds with 24/7 operation).





n **Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual** nt. Dark sky qualities are not listed in e Character Assessment.

ve map which can be found on their to Beverley the level of night light

skies during the operational phase.

lighting will be kept to the minimum e controlled by the Construction **onstruction Practice (Revision 2)** commissioning) lighting, no significant

ction Practice prior to construction ecured by Requirement 19 of the **Draft** sures which will be added to the

compounds; d from pre-construction protected

ographic and photometric data

gically sensitive areas or specific s for comparison to modelling results

zones, as outlined in the **Design and**

g that minimises potential risks for nent, orientation of beam, colour

ntly installed lighting for security, ere needed (access, parking, welfare

limits set against baseline data where



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
4	Consider whether a maximum number of lightning masts should be captured by the draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [AS-120] or supporting documents, to ensure that it would be consistent with the worst-case assessed in Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter 23 [APP-192].	The Applicants have updated Work Nos 25A and 26A/B in the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3 masts".
5	Review ES Chapter 5 [<u>APP-072</u>] including the tables, to ensure the worst-case design scenario is clearly presented, which you stated within the hearing is air- insulated switch gear design.	Chapter 5 Project Description [APP-072] has been updated at Deadline 1, to emphasise that AIS is considere of the assessments. However, the Applicants would like to highlight that this was already included in (previou
6	Provide the photomontage which has already been submitted to ERYC showing View Point (VP)3 [PDA-010] and the construction compound extent, and show the	Chapter 5 Project Description [APP-072] has been updated at Deadline 1, to include dimensions of key equip the Temporary Construction Compounds (TCC's).
	likely vertical extent of any construction equipment.	temporary fence and is included in Appendix A of the LIR [document reference 11.3].
7	Provide photographs of typical construction compounds to give an indication of the landscape and visual effects during construction.	Example construction compound photos are included in Appendix C of this document. However, it should be different and these examples are not directly comparable due to geographical, supply chain and local logistic
8	Consider the request from the ExA to provide visualisations which include other development identified in the cumulative effects assessment.	 The provision of cumulative visualisations was considered as part of the preparation of the LVIA (Chapter 23 Assessment [APP-192]). It was concluded that there were limited suitable viewpoints that would usefully show (see Table 23-22 of Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-192]). In relation to the agreet a No other schemes would be visible from Viewpoint 1; The A164 Jock's Lodge improvement works would be visible to the north-east from Viewpoint 2, but No other schemes would be visible from Viewpoint 3; The North Humber to High Marnham pylons would be distantly visible from Viewpoint 4, but no other visible; From Viewpoints 5, 6 and 7, visibility of other schemes would be glimpsed at most, while visibility of the limited. The LVIA also considers 'sequential' effects, experienced by a visual receptor as they move through the landse These sequential effects are described in Table 23-22 of Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment using static visualisation methods. The location of cumulative developments considered in Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment using static visualisation methods.
9	Confirm how and where advance planting would be secured by the draft DCO [AS-120] supporting documents.	The LVIA notes that "Where practical, advance landscape mitigation planting would be established as early as re construction phase" (page 39, Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-192]). The Outline (Revision 2) [AS-096] expands on this in section 1.5.3. This confirms the Applicants' intention that "the area of the Onshore Substation Zone will be established at the commencement of construction works." Requirement 10 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference: 3.1] has been updated to clarify that, wh works is proposed to be undertaken as part of pre-commencement works, a specific landscape management





3.1] to refer to "up to ten lightning

ed the worst case scenario for the basis us) paragraph 342 of the Chapter.

pment that would be located within

en updated to include a 2.4m high

e noted that all construction sites are cal requirements.

Landscape and Visual Impact ow the schemes considered in the LVIA eed LVIA viewpoints:

not any other schemes;

er schemes are likely to be clearly

the Proposed Development is also

scape on roads or public rights of way. **ent** [APP-192] but cannot be illustrated

ent [APP-192] is included in Appendix

reasonably practicable in the **ne Landscape Management Plan** of planting along the south boundary of

here any early planting of landscaping t plan for those early planting works



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
		must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. That plan must accord with the relevand Management Plan (Revision 2) [AS-096] that apply to early planting.
10	Explain how Requirement 27 of the draft DCO [AS-120] would require the converter stations to be decommissioned after 32 years (to align with the consideration of effects in ES Chapter 23 [APP-192]).	The Applicants have reviewed the drafting of Requirement 27 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document refere the concerns raised by the ExA.
11	Review the landscaping shown on the photomontage showing VP3 [PDA-010] to ensure that it corresponds with the landscaping shown on the outline landscape mitigation plan at Year 1 and Year 10.	 The Year 10 visualisation for Viewpoint 3 does include mitigation planting to the north of the Onshore Convert the image for two reasons: The topography rises from the viewpoint, then falls towards the onshore converter station. The scree lower than the foreground topography, and along with the lower parts of the onshore converter stati The photograph shows a winter view. During winter, deciduous planting would lose its leaves, reducin branches of mitigation planting are shown in the visualisation but are not clearly visible due to distan paper. The screen planting would be more visible during summer, and would continue to mature beyond Year 10, increment.
12	Review the outline Landscape Management Plan [AS- og6] to clearly identify where landscape enhancements could be delivered.	 The Landscape Mitigation Plan set out in the OLMP (Revision 2) [AS-096] does not draw a clear distinction by 'enhancement'. The intention has been to create a holistic approach to landscape treatment that will reduce the providing enhancements over the baseline situation (noting that these enhancements do not outweigh the addition out in Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-192]). The following elements are consider the landscape: The replacement of areas of intensively managed farmland with diverse woodland plantations, and a The management to secure long-term health of Bentley Moor Wood (refer to Action Point 14 below); The development of landscape-led SuDS measures, as set out in the OLMP (Revision 2) [AS-096] wh habitats.
14	Provide clarification what is meant by 'enhancements' to ancient woodland with Schedule 1 of the draft DCO[<u>AS-</u> <u>120</u>] under Works Number 29A.	 The main objective regarding the management of Bentley Moor Wood ancient woodland and LWS is to main woodland and proposed measures include: protection of soils and roots within and surrounding woodland; manage threats such as invasive species; assess, manage and promote deadwood within the woodland; promote ancient woodland expansion by processes such as natural regeneration and supplementary and stakeholder liaison (e.g. Forestry Commission and Natural England), if applicable; assess and manage impact of deer and grey squirrel on ancient woodland; and produce and implement a long-term woodland management plan (if non-existent). This would assist in securing the long-term health of the ancient woodland, which would have benefits for loc for visual screening of the Onshore Converter Stations. The above measures at Bentley Moor Wood ancient woodland and LWS will be added to the Outline Ecologie [AS-114] at Deadline 2.





ant parts of the **Outline Landscape**

rence: 3.1] and updated it to address

ter Stations. This is difficult to see in

en planting would be located at a point ion would be partly out of sight; and ing its screening function. The upper ince and the scale of the image on

reasing its screening effect in the long

etween 'mitigation' and the impacts of the Projects while also dverse effects of the Projects, as set ered to represent enhancements to

areas of native grassland and meadow; ; and

nich will provide wetland features and

tain and enhance the existing

planting following existing guidance

cal biodiversity, for the landscape, and

cal Management Plan (Revision 3)



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
15	Explain how you intend to respond to ERYC's suggestion [PDC-007] for a landscape led sustainable urban drainage design.	Please also see the Applicants response to ISH2.9.13. A landscape-led approach to drainage design is the Applicant's intention, as set out in the Design and Access Outline Landscape Management Plan (Revision 2) [AS-096] in paragraph 30, section 1.5.2 which states: 'The SuDS design, set out in the Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [APP-237] would be approached in a land professionals would work collaboratively with the SuDS engineers to produce a design which maximises landscap be progressed at to best integrate the SuDS into the landscape and provide enhanced ecological benefits, where p Further updates will be made to the Design and Access Statement [APP-233] at Deadline 2 to clarify the com have been discussed with the ERYC at a call on the 27 th January 2025.
16	Review the Design and Access Statement (DAS) [<u>APP-233</u>] in light of the Planning Inspectorate's published guidance on Good Design for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects1 and demonstrate how the Proposed Development meets with the guidance.	The Design Principles set out in the Design and Access Statement [APP-233] have been created to ensure th climate, people, place and value. This is achieved through a balance of technical requirements and sensitive c will sit with the environment. The Projects will create a sense of place, through the integration of the Onshore Converter Stations into the l destination. Communities will benefit from the increased economic benefits of the site, and the ecological an restoration, enhancement and connections. The Design and Access Statement [APP-233] will be updated for Deadline 2, to clearly relate the proposed m Infrastructure Commission Design Principles of Climate, People, Place and Value and consider the Planning In Good Design for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects.
17	Consider the wording of Requirement 7(3) from The Hornsea Four Offshore Wind Farm DCO, that requires that the independent design panel must meet the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority, and if you do not consider this appropriate for the proposed DCO [AS-120], explain why. Consider the inclusion of an indicative timeline for the consultation process for the detailed design of the converter stations in the DAS in consultation with ERYC to address their concerns regarding Council and local member involvement in the detailed designs.	The Applicants have reviewed Requirement 7(3) from The Hornsea Four Offshore Wind Farm DCO which state paragraph (1) must be subject to a design review process carried out by an independent design review panel to the authority'. The Applicants' preference is that the Design Review Panel will be a professional team formed of in understand issues relating to design and engineering. The panel would review and provide expert knowledge East Riding of Yorkshire Council. The Applicants discussed this approach with the East Riding of Yorkshire Cou 2025 and have agreed to amend the wording in the Design and Access Statement [APP-233] at Deadline 2 to design panel and the consultation process. The Applicant proposes to review the DCO after the updates in the Design and Access Statement [APP-233] and consider if any amendments are required.
18	A number of questions from this agenda item were carried over to written questions. These are detailed in Appendix A.	Noted. Please see Appendix A of this document.
Agenda	Item 10: Onshore Historic Environment	

20	Consider if additional parameters relating to the	The Applicants have updated requirement 9 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference 3.1] to secure
	dimensions of the converter stations could be added to	of the Onshore Converter Stations, which is 24 metres and 32,208m ² , for each Onshore Converter Station, as
	the draft DCO [<u>AS-120</u>] or supporting documents, to give	Change Request 2: Onshore Substation Zone [AS-152]. The Design and Access Statement [APP-233] will be
	reassurance that the proposed landscape mitigation	Request 2: Onshore Substation Zone [AS-152] details at Deadline 2.
	would adequately screen the lower-level elements.	



Statement [APP-233] and the

lscape-led manner. Landscape pe benefits. The detailed design would possible."

nmitment to landscape-led SuDS and

he Projects respond positively to consideration for the way the Projects

landscape instead of creating a nd wildlife community will benefit from

neasures to the National nspectorate's published guidance on

es 'The details submitted under sube satisfaction of the relevant planning internal and external experts who which could then be discussed with uncil at a meeting on the 27th January o provide further clarification on the

for Deadline 2 have been completed

e the maximum height and footprint detailed in Table 2-1 of the **Project** e updated with **the Project Change**



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
		All other parameters are included in the Design and Access Statement [APP-233], which will be updated at D to the Project Change Request 2: Onshore Substation Zone [AS-152] which the detailed design must be in a include all maximum parameters within the DCO wording.
21	Provide an update on discussions with Historic England regarding mechanisms to facilitate an improved visitor experience or greater public benefit to the Heavy Anti- aircraft gunsite scheduled monument near to Butt Farm.	 A site meeting was held with Historic England at Butt Farm on 18th October 2024, to discuss proposals for interheavy anti-aircraft gunsite (previously shared with Historic England via email on 28/03/24, 06/08/24 and 10/09 Physical enhancements to the monument - This option would involve the Applicants funding clearance works of elements of the gun battery which are currently in disrepair/buried (such as the 6th gun emple the equired as to if these works would be permitted by landowners and how these works would be see England. Digital 3D Model - This option would seek to create a digital reconstruction of the gun battery, includi radar mat and associated accommodation on the domestic site. There are possibilities of signposting PRoW and/or Project-controlled land and opportunities for incorporation into augmented reality / virt constructed. Agreements would be required on where the platform is hosted and the lifecycle of fund options to tie this into wider themes of the defence of Hull in WWII, and how the site fits into a wider questions in the Projects' Research Agenda. Archaeological and Historical Research – This option would involve possible research ideas suggested engagement concerning the wider context of the gun site – Women's quarters, missing buildings, mo context.
22	A number of questions from this agenda item were carried over to written questions. These are detailed in Appendix A.	Noted. Please see Appendix A of this document.

Agenda Item 11: Onshore Water Environment

23	Confirm there are no watercourses of interest that haven't been included in the geomorphological survey [<u>APP-166</u>]. Specific attention should be given to the areas outside of the survey extent due to refinement of the onshore cable corridor and now within the Order Limits.	As shown in Figure 20-2-1 of Appendix 20-2 Geomorphological Baseline Survey Technical Report [APP-166] Onshore Development Area extends beyond the PEIR boundary that was current when the geomorphology su Appendix 20-2 Geomorphological Baseline Survey Technical Report [APP-166] (section 20.2.3.1; paragraph consisted of all the Main Rivers and/or river water bodies identified under the Water Environment (Water Fran Wales) Regulations 2017 in the Humber River Basin District Management Plan.
		Main Rivers and/or river water bodies were selected for survey because of the potential to disturb statutory fe lead to a deterioration in river water body status.
		Figure 20-2-1 of the Appendix 20-2 Geomorphological Baseline Survey Technical Report [APP-166] shows a crossed by the Projects, and those in the wider area. In those locations where the Onshore Development Area boundary, there are no Main Rivers or river water bodies (as assessed under the Water Environment Regulatio Rivers or river water bodies that have been missed from the survey as a result of the refinement of the Project
24	Clarify that watercourses which have not been surveyed have been assessed and any significant effect identified. Signpost where this is evidenced within the application documents.	Ordinary watercourses outside the PEIR boundary, which formed the study area for Appendix 20-2 Geomorp Report [APP-166] are part of wider surface water body catchments that are classified by the Environment Age Apart from the Barmston Sea Drain / Skipsea Drain to Conf water body catchment, all of the surveyed Main Ri classified by the Environment Agency as either artificial or heavily modified. Therefore, inclusion of these addi watercourses (i.e. outside the PEIR boundary) would not change the geomorphology baseline.





Deadline 2 to include the parameters accordance with. It is not practical to

erpretation and investigation of the 9/24). The options discussed included:

ce, consolidation and/or restoration placement). Further discussion would ecured and funded with HAP/Historic

ing the 1943-gun emplacements, this on information boards from tual tours once the model is ding and maintenance. There are network, along with specific

d by Historic England for community oved buildings, wider WW2 defence

tation to be submitted at Deadline 1.

i], there are several areas where the survey was undertaken. As described in a 6) the scope of the baseline survey mework Directive) (England and

eatures (Main Rivers) or potentially

all Main Rivers and river water bodies a extends beyond the PEIR survey ons). This means there are no Main ts boundary.

bhological Baseline Survey Technical ency as artificial or heavily modified. tivers and river water bodies are litional out of scope ordinary



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
		With respect to the Barmston Sea Drain / Skipsea Drain to Conf catchment, although this river water body, where designated artificial or heavily modified', ordinary watercourses in the wider catchment that would be crossed and were not surveyed are short, straight drains of an artificial nature. Inclusion of these features in the Geometry not change the geomorphology baseline.
		Ordinary watercourses outside the scope of Appendix 20-2 Geomorphological Baseline Survey Technical Re in Chapter 20 Flood Risk and Hydrology [APP-163] section 6.1.1 Impact 1 Direct Disturbance of Surface Water crossings per catchment on all watercourses is used to set the magnitude of impact, in conjunction with the d crossings would be in place (i.e. four or six years).
26	Evidence the sequential test and approach has included current and future impacts of climate change as required by paragraph 172 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Amend the application documentation as necessary.	Section 20.4.5.2 of Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment [APP-168] provides consideration of the application elements of the Projects that will be at risk during the construction phase and those that will be at risk during and in the future.
		Paragraph 193 of Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment [APP-168] confirms the Onshore Substation Zone is the current flood risk. On this basis, the Applicants conclude that the Onshore Substation Zone is appropriate 253 of Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment [APP-168] also considers the Onshore Substation Zone in the contest that it is principally at low risk of flooding from this source.
		As the only element of the Projects which will be located above ground, once operational, it is also the only el in longer term flood risk impacts or loss of floodplain storage.
		To consider the future impact as a result of climate change, a review of the Environment Agency modelled day Environment Agency on 28 th November 2023) and used to inform the development of Appendix 20-4 Flood R modelling provided by the Environment Agency included the results of the 2013 River Hull and Holderness Dra applicable to the Projects. This modelling included a wide variety of scenarios for both fluvial and tidal floodin and for both the present day and with climate change (future) scenarios. Whilst not explicitly stating the futur the modelling of the climate change scenarios, the reporting undertakes a comparison of future (with climate year event. A review of all the modelled flood extents has been undertaken as part of the development of App [APP-168]. This review of the future (with climate change) modelled scenarios confirmed that the modelled ex and not in proximity to the Onshore Substation Zone. As such, it was concluded that the Onshore Substation Z and therefore would remain in Flood Zone 1, in the future when taking into account climate change.
		With regard to the construction phase, paragraphs 262 and 263 of Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment [All Onshore Export Cable Corridor passes through some area at increased risk of flooding. However, as noted in F Flood Risk Assessment [APP-168] the long linear nature of the Projects is such that they are not able to avoid
		Elements of the Projects located along the Onshore Export Cable Corridor have been sequentially located, wh proposed locations for the Temporary Construction Compounds are within the Functional Floodplain, as defin Additionally, very limited lengths of the Onshore Export Cable Corridor would pass through the Functional Flo north west of Tickton, in proximity to the River Hull and Beverley and Barmston Drain and a small area to the Dike. At these locations, Appendix 5-2 Obstacle Crossing Register (Revision 2) [AS-053] indicates that the cr trenchless techniques. Therefore, the Applicants note that there would be no interaction with the Functional Flo crossing locations.
		Based on the above review it was concluded in Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment [APP-168] that this will floodplain storage during the construction phase.



hich was surveyed, is classified as `not d by the Onshore Development Area norphological Baseline Survey would

eport [APP-166] have been assessed er Bodies. The number of trenched luration that temporary watercourse

on of the Sequential Test, noting the the operational phase i.e. both now

s located in Flood Zone 1 i.e. based on Ply located in Flood Zone 1. Paragraph context of surface water flooding and

lement that has the potential to result

Ata was undertaken (received from the Risk Assessment [APP-168]. The rain Flood Mapping Study, which was ng, with and without defences in place re date or allowance applied within the change) extents with the 1 in 1,000 pendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment extents pass to the east of Beverley Zone would not be at risk of flooding,

PP-168] acknowledge that the Paragraph 251 — 252 of **Appendix 20-4** d areas of Flood Zone 3 entirely.

herever possible, i.e. none of the hed within the ERYC Level 1 SFRA. bodplain. This is limited to an area east of Routh, in proximity to Monk rossings will be undertaken using Floodplain at these watercourse

ill result in no loss of functional



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
		As noted in paragraphs 263 – 265 of Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment [APP-168] it is only during constr flood risk to affect or be affected by the Projects, as they will be located below ground once operational. As su when taking climate change into account.
		On this basis, paragraph 257 of Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment [APP-168] confirms that all elements the Sequential Test and approach, both now and in the future, as set out in paragraph 172 and supported by p December 2024).
27	ERYC : investigate how the Level 1 SFRA flood risk spatial data can be provided to the Applicants.	With respect to the ERYC Level 1 SFRA data, and specifically the identification of the Functional Floodplain w Assessment [APP-168], the Applicants can confirm that a data request has been submitted to ERYC for this d such that it can be submitted into the Examination.
	Examination to support the sequential test as explained in	In the absence of receipt of this data, the Applicants have provided an extract from the ERYC online data view
	paragraph 174 of the NPPF.	Furthermore, the Applicants can provide the following clarification with regards to this dataset and its consid Risk Assessment [APP-168], in the context of the Sequential Test.
		A review of the Onshore Export Cable Corridor confirmed that none of the proposed locations for the Tempor within the Functional Floodplain, as defined within the ERYC Level 1 SFRA. Additionally, very limited lengths would pass through the Functional Floodplain. This is limited to an area north-west of Tickton, in proximity to Barmston Drain and a small area to the east of Routh, in proximity to Monk Dike.
		As noted in Paragraph 251 – 252 of Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment [APP-168] the nature of the Project avoid areas of Flood Zone 3 entirely. However, the Applicants note that the interaction with Flood Zone 3b (i.e. areas adjacent to watercourse crossings. At these locations, Appendix 5-2 Obstacle Crossing Register (Revis crossings will be undertaken using trenchless techniques. In addition, mitigation measures to address any pot Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094].
		As such, the Applicants confirm that Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment [APP-168] takes into account bo Functional Floodplain and its interaction with the Projects and this has been used to support the consideratio necessary the Exception Test, as set out in section 20.4.5.2 of Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk Assessment [APP-16
28	Confirm the mitigation measures relevant for development in the functional floodplain contained in Level 1 SFRA and signpost where in the Outline Code of Construction Practice [AS-094] this is committed to. Demonstrate how these measures could be accommodated within the Order Limits.	As noted in the response to Action No. 26 and 27 above, the Applicants can confirm that there is no proposed the Functional Floodplain (Flood Zone 3b) and therefore no specific mitigation measures are required.
		However, the Applicants have considered the comments received from the Environment Agency in their Rele relation to Temporary Construction Compounds located in either Flood Zone 2 or Flood Zone 3.
		A review of the ERYC Level 1 SFRA noted that whilst reference is made to potential flood mitigation measure be considered as a starting point only and that indicative measures are set out in Table 8.3. A review of the ER Table 8.3, confirmed that many of the measures are focused on new buildings / developments including guida Levels, flood proofing, road frontage levels, whether basements are permitted, use of water resistant materia to prevent waste water flooding. As there will be no above ground development within the Functional Floodp considered relevant to the Projects and therefore have not been considered further.
		In addition, the ERYC Level 1 SFRA sets out the need to demonstrate access and egress and a requirement fo to be undertaken.
		Whilst there will be no specific works within the Functional Floodplain, the Applicants note that section 5.18.1 of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094] with the comments received from the Environment works in Flood Zone 2 or Flood Zone 3.





ruction that there is the potential for uch, there will be no flood risk impact

of the Projects are in accordance with baragraph 174 of the NPPF (updated

rithin **Appendix 20-4 Flood Risk** lata to be provided in a GIS format

ver, as **Appendix D** of this document.

leration within Appendix 20-4 Flood

rary Construction Compounds are of the Onshore Export Cable Corridor o the River Hull and Beverley and

ets is such that they are not able to e. Functional Floodplain) is limited to **sion 2)** [AS-053] indicates that the tential flood risk are set out in **Outline**

oth the location / extent of the on of the Sequential Text, and where 68].

above ground development within

want Representation [RR-015] in

es, it also highlights that these are to RYC Level 1 SFRA, and specifically ance on the setting of Finished Floor als / measures and non-return valves blain none of these measures are

r a surface water drainage assessment

and section 6.3.2.2 of **Outline Code** Agency [RR-015], for any temporary

	D	B	S
Offsho	ore \	Win	d

Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
		The Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094] confirms that appropriate Flood N will be in place to clearly identify and communicate safe access and egress routes during the construction pha the final CoCP as well as the Emergency Response, Evacuation and Pollution Control Plan, to be prepared by t CoCP, as secured by Requirement 19 of the Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document reference 3.1]. Furthermore, th with the ERYC Level 1 SFRA requirements, a surface water drainage assessment has been undertaken and is s Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098]. The Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098] also provides reference Plan, which will be prepared by the Contractor as part of the CoCP, this will deal with the construction surface dewatering, further detail is provided in response to Action Point 29.
		The ERYC Level 1 SFRA also provides guidance on compensatory flood storage and that no raising of ground l Functional Floodplain. Given there will be no development or raising of ground levels within the Functional Flo Projects are in accordance with this guidance and no specific measures are required.
		The ERYC Level 1 SFRA notes that for developments in all flood zones there should be a development free but should be free of buildings and structures, trees, shrubs or similar growth. It also provides guidance on these of types of watercourses. The Applicants confirm this has been included within the Projects and the appropriate 6.3.2.6 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094].
		Based on the above, it is confirmed that no above ground development is proposed within the Functional Floor a review of the Level 1 ERYC SFRA has identified limited flood mitigation measures which are applicable to Pr there are mitigation measures of relevance to construction works in Flood Zone 2 or Flood Zone 3(a), these has Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094].
		Given there are no additional measures proposed the Applicants can confirm the mitigation measures set out Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094] can be accommodated within the DCO Order Limits.
		The Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094] has been updated to amend the to ERYC Level 1 SFRA as this review has been undertaken. The Applicants have also provided additional clarificat Management Plan and Emergency Response, Evacuation and Pollution Control Plan. This has been submitted
29	Confirm whether stockpiles and earth bunds in the proposed temporary construction compounds have been assessed to demonstrate the risk of flooding will not be increased elsewhere and how any mitigation necessary to achieve this could be delivered within the Order Limits.	The Applicants have considered the comments received from the Environment Agency in their Relevant Repr Temporary Construction Compounds located in either Flood Zone 2 or Flood Zone 3. It is also noted that none Compounds are to be located in the Functional Floodplain (Flood Zone 3b) and therefore the comment from t considered in the context of it being applicable to Flood Zone 2 or Flood Zone 3a.
		The Applicants have considered the measures in relation to potential stockpiles and bunds and notes that the between stockpiles etc has been subject to discussion with the Environment Agency. Section 3.6, Table 3-6, ID Ground with the Environment Agency [document reference 9.3] sets out that the embedded mitigation measures Chapter 20 Flood Risk and Hydrology [APP-163] were agreed with the Environment Agency in an email date 6.3.2.5 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094] confirms this will be stor guidance as outlined in Appendix A Outline Soil Management Plan of the Outline Code of Construction Pract
		Furthermore, in accordance with comments from Beverley & Holderness Internal Drainage Board in their writ will consider the permeability of the hardstanding and materials to be used at the Temporary Construction Co Waste Management Plan, summarised in the Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [A
		Wherever possible, the Applicants will ensure that natural drainage is maintained to ensure there is no increas regards to the potential for displacement of flood water as a result of the Temporary Construction Compound short-term basis during construction only.



Management Emergency Measures ase. These will be set out within both the Contractor and appended to the he Applicants note, in accordance summarised in the **Outline Drainage** ce to a Surface Water Management e water drainage measures including

levels should be permitted within the oodplain, it is considered that the

offer zone around watercourses, which distances in relation to the various distances are summarised in section

odplain (Flood Zone 3b). Furthermore, rojects of this nature. However, where ave already been included within the

in the Outline Code of Construction

text related to the need to review the tion text related to the Surface Water d at **Deadline 1**.

resentation [RR-015] in relation to e of the Temporary Construction the Environment Agency should be

e requirement to provide spacing D 69 of the **Statement of Common** asures as detailed in Table 20-1 of ed 25/10/2024. Furthermore, section red in accordance with best practice **tice (OCoCP) (Revision 2)** [AS-094].

tten response [AS-123], the Applicants ompounds within the Outline Site AS-094].

se in flood risk elsewhere. With Is, it is noted that this would be on a



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
		Furthermore, with the best practice measures identified above, as set out in the Outline Code of Construction 094], and the relative size of the Temporary Construction Compounds in comparison with the wider catchment the Applicants have adopted all reasonable measures to ensure there is no impact on flood risk as a result of the function of the f
30	Confirm the total number of watercourse crossings, including those facilitating haul road access, and if a higher number than currently identified is noted, revise the ES Chapter 20 [<u>APP-163</u>] to include those that are missing.	 There are a total of 87 watercourse crossings in the Appendix 5-2 Obstacle Crossing Register (Revision 2) [A! 43 trenched (these could be trenched or trenchless, but a worst-case scenario of trenching has been a 18 Trenchless 3 infilled (at the Onshore Converter Station) 23 Off route (haul road only)
		Temporary (haul road) crossings would also be required at trenched and trenchless crossings. Appendix 5-2 Obstacle Crossing Register (Revision 2) [AS-053] has been checked and Table 20-13 Water Bote Catchments of Chapter 20 has been updated. Note that although the number of crossings has changed in some terms of magnitude of impact and significance of effect is for the Holderness Drain Source to Foredyke Stream magnitude of impact has changed from negligible too low for the sequential scenario, and significance of effect Where the number of crossings in each catchment has changed, all relevant text in the Chapter 20 – Flood Ris been updated for Deadline 1. Appendix 20-3 Water Environment Regulations Compliance Assessment (Rev updated where the number of crossings in each catchment has changed and submitted for Deadline 1. The method for assessing Impact 1 Direct Disturbance of Surface Water Bodies is described in section 20.6.1.1 Hydrology [APP- 163] (paragraph 107 of the revised document): ' <i>For the purposes of this assessment, the magr</i> <i>directly proportional to the total number of trenched watercourse crossings within each river water body catchment</i> <i>temporary structures could be in place'</i> .
		 The number of temporary haul road crossings, either in total or per catchment, does not form part of which they would be in place is assessed, as described above, and this modifies the magnitude of imp scenarios – as shown in Table 20-12 of Chapter 20 – Flood Risk and Hydrology [APP- 163] i.e. for the smagnitude of impact is higher in the sequential scenario because temporary crossings would be in place in place in place.
31	Evidence that all drainage features required by a detailed Drainage Strategy, Land Drainage Scheme and Surface Water Management Plan together with other project related spatial constraints could be delivered within the Order Limits. Specific consideration should be given to the attenuation features and whether connections to discharge locations can be achieved.	The current permanent drainage SuDS design presents the maximum single basin size required for conservation on the converter Station footprint sizes proposed and 100% of these footprints being hard standing. Assess water storage within the footprint itself and is therefore reflective of the maximum design scenario required for Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098] the Onshore Converter Station drainage designs are proposed design stage of the Projects with this to be a landscape led design approach with intention to include swales, for looking ponds. The Works Plan (Onshore) (Revision 3) [PDA-003] extents for works 24A/B are considered to be these features. Further details on the connections to discharge locations at the Substation Zone are provided
		As detailed in section 1.2 of the Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098] para 8: 'Where the Projects is construction drainage would be installed at the edge(s) of the Onshore Export Cable Corridor. This permanent drait drains and ensure the integrity of the existing land drainage is maintained during construction and operation of the would be risk assessed and appropriate control measures used prior to discharge into any watercourses at a control storage would be provided, where necessary.' This drainage design would be accommodated within the Order L drainage of the fields alongside any trenches.
		Para 10 of the of the Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098] also states 'Where necessary post const be installed in consultation with landowners, the Environment Agency, LLFA (ERYC) and IDB, as appropriate to en





on Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [ASnt flood extent, it is concluded that the Projects.

S-053], which broken down are:

assumed for the assessment)

ody Crossings in Surface Water me catchments, the only difference in m catchment. In this catchment ect from negligible to minor adverse. **isk and Hydrology** [APP- 163] has **vision 2)** [AS-074] has also been

1 of **Chapter 20 – Flood Risk and** nitude of impact is assumed to be ent and the length of time over which

the assessment. The duration over bact for the different construction same number of trenched crossings ace longer and therefore directly

tive assumptions of maximum sment also assumes for no surface for the Projects. As outlined within sed to be updated at the detailed filter trenches and more naturalistic be sufficient for the space required for in the response to Action point 34.

intercepts land drainage, preinage would intercept existing field he Projects. All drains and outfalls rolled rate. Temporary attenuation / Limits, to maintain or improve

truction (restoration) drains may also onsure that existing land drainage is



Action	Action	Applicants' Response
NO.		reinstated and maintained to at least pre-development land drainage capacity throughout the operation of the Projects. As described above, this would be informed by the detailed drainage survey and utilise existing outfalls, wherever possible.'
		The discharge points shall be agreed with the landowner and relevant drainage authority e.g. IDB or LLFA and would be located within the Order Limits, wherever possible. If the location falls outside the Order Limits because there is an optimal location identified within the same field, or upgrade or repair is required due to re-routed flow, a separate consent would be required. As stated in the Other Consents and Licenses [App-228] document an Environmental Permit for water discharge would be agreed with the Environment Agency. If the discharge was into an ordinary water course an 'ordinary water course consent' from the IDB or LLFA would be required and voluntary agreement with the landowner.
		Temporary Drainage would be maintained during the works and may include haul road drainage, soil storage run off and groundwater management for excavations. TCCs will also include surface water management. This will be developed by the Contractor prior to the construction phase as part of the Surface Water Management Plan (SWMP) and include any attenuation and processing required within the works area. The SWMP will be appended to the Code of Construction Practice, as detailed in the Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094], which is secured by Requirement 18 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) (Revision 4) [AS-130]. Further wording has been added to the Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) [AS-094], at Deadline 1 to clarify what would be included in the SWMP.
32	Check which watercourses the proposed access road to the proposed convertor stations would discharge to and this is consistent with paragraph 67 of the Outline Drainage Strategy [AS-098]. Confirm if the proposed drainage of the haul road and converter stations would alter the hydrology of the three watercourses identified in this location.	The Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098] and drawings will be updated at Deadline 2 to confirm that surface water discharge from the access roads is proposed to Watercourses 1, 2 and 3. Drainage from each section of road will be directed to discharge into the relevant watercourse as per existing topography and overland site flows. Discharge into these watercourses would be at greenfield run off rates with calculations to be included within the updated report at Deadline 2. At the location of the proposed Onshore Converter Stations the existing topography directs all surface water to watercourse 1 and all surface water drained from the Onshore Converter Stations is proposed to discharge to this watercourse. Therefore, there will be no alteration of the hydrology of the three watercourses identified at this location.
33	The access road is specifically excluded in hydraulic calculations for the converter stations (Drainage Strategy Appendix B [AS-098]). To evidence the Proposed Development would not adversely affect the risk of flooding elsewhere, it would be helpful to the ExA if the Applicants update Appendix B to include the access road. This should identify the maximum rate of discharge and the required extent and number of sustainable drainage features required.	Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098] will be updated to include drainage calculations for the permanent access road including maximum rate of discharge and SuDS features proposed. The updated document will be submitted at Deadline 2.
34	Explain what the determining factors are for deciding the suitability of a watercourse to accept a proposed drainage discharge. Confirm the alternative development drainage discharge options, should the watercourses be unsuitable.	Following further discussions after ISH ₂ , the Applicants can confirm that on-site checks on the suitability of the watercourse have been completed post submission by Land Drainage Consultants (LDC) undertaking work to review existing land drainage at the Substation Zone. However, it should be noted that the existing drainage ditch takes all the current drainage for the area and the discharge rates would not exceed greenfield based rates due to the SuDs proposed in the Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098]. The watercourse is therefore deemed suitable for a point discharge via a simple headwall i.e. a pipe exiting into the ditch with a concrete headwall. The Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098] will be updated to reflect this.
Agenda	Item 12: Onshore Ecology	
35	Provide additional information as part of the outline Ecological Management Plan [<u>AS-114</u>] limiting the removal of hedgerows and width of haul roads to no more	This information is provided in paragraph 43 on page 18 of the Outline Ecological Management Plan (Revision 3) [AS-114]: " Where the crossing of a hedgerow can be limited to a Haul Road only, the maximum hedgerow removal width would be limited to 5m. Where hedgerows intersect with construction access points off of existing roads, an average of 25m (12.5m from the centre point) of hedgerow will be removed



than 5 metres.



EcoDoc Number 005633280

ion 3) [AS-114]:

would be limited to 5m. Where for access and visibility splays, where possible this would be limited to pruning rather than full removal of a hedge."



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
36	Clarify the extent of hedgerows to be removed at the northern side of Cliff Road to allow vehicles to cross as part of the proposed haul road.	Annex 2 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (Revision 2) [AS-020] includes a series of out and crossing. Drawing PC2340-RHD-ZZ-ZZ-DR-R-0100 details the dimensions of the crossing and maximum could be required for crossing C1 to allow drivers to safely transit across Cliff Road.
		The area marked on the Tree Preservation Order & Hedgerow Plan (Revision 3) [AS-026] is effectively an er be micro sighted during detailed design. The true dimensions of the crossing are discussed as follows.
		It can be noted from Drawing PC2340-RHD-ZZ-ZZ-DR-R-0100 that the crossing would be approximately 6.0n gom, measured for a distance of 2.4m back from the edge of the carriageway would be required. To ensure for will be necessary to clear any obstructions within this splay. However, at C1 it can be noted that the verge is wanticipated that any hedge would need to be removed to form the splay. The only hedge that would require required to form the crossing (this would include the width of the crossing and any space either side to facilitat that the average of 25m assumed within The Outline Ecological Management Plan (Revision 3) [AS-114].
		The Applicants would note that the full extent of extent of hedgerow where the Order Limits crosses Cliff Roat the Tree Preservation Order & Hedgerow Plan (Revision 3) [AS-026], this approach is adopted to allow for t at the detailed design stage. However, the Applicants acknowledge that Hooo1 marked for removal on the Tr Plan (Revision 3) [AS-026] should mirror that on the southern side of the Cliff Road (hedgerow Hooo2). The A Preservation Order & Hedgerow Plan (Revision 3) [AS-026] to Revision 4 at Deadline 1.
38	Questions on the effects on commuting and foraging bats from hedgerow removal were carried over to written questions (See Appendix A)	Refer to Appendix A of this document.
39	Review the Water Voles and Otters Report [<u>APP-156</u>] (Section F of the Survey Results Map in Appendix D) and the Works Plans (Onshore) [<u>PDA-003</u>] (page 13), as they would appear to show different Order Limits. Confirm that the potential for water voles has been appropriately assessed in line with the established Order Limits in this location, showing the provision of a haul road. Clarify how water courses would be crossed if there is no commitment to a temporary bridge (as stated in Obstacles Crossing Register [<u>AS-053</u>] and what are the potential implications on water voles from open cut trenching. How would any potential effects be mitigated and explain where this is secured.	The boundary of the Onshore Development Area contained in the Appendix D of the Water Voles and Otters match the Works Plans (Onshore) (Revision 3) [PDA-oo2] at Deadline 2. As detailed in Appendix 5-2 Obstacle Crossing Register (Revision 2) [AS-o53] and shown on p.43 of Appendic Report [APP-156]. WX-043A and WX-043B will cross Water Vole habitat that is classed as 'optimal suitability via haul road crossing WX-043C will cross a ditch classed as 'unsuitable with negligible potential for water vole potential' will not be crossed by the haul road. Ditch numbers 44 to 46 will water vole present' are avoided by trenchless crossing with no haul road crossing proposed. WX-043A and WX-043B in Appendix 5-2 Obstacle Crossing Register (Revision 2) [AS-053] are at least several where water vole evidence was recorded according to the Water Voles and Otters Report [APP-156], which visource of disturbance to the species. However, as water voles are highly mobile, pre commencement surveys Outline Ecological Management Plan (Revision 3) [AS-114] to allow for any changes on species distribution licence obtained and/ or mitigation measures to be implemented as necessary. For all watercourses identified as requiring haul road crossings where temporary bridge has not been commit temporary haul road crossings would be determined during the post-consent detailed design stage and may or temporary bridges as detailed within paragraph 274 of Chapter 5 Project Description [APP-071]. Appendii (Revision 2) [AS-053] identifies the locations where commitment has been made for use of temporary bridge Chapter 18 Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology (Revision 4) [PDC-002] will be reviewed and updated to inchaul road crossings at Deadline 2.
40	Provide an updated Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) Strategy [<u>APP-157</u>] or confirm when an updated BNG Strategy	An interactive version of Metric (Excel format) used in the current Biodiversity Strategy to be provided as new (document references 11.8 and 11.9).





tline access drawings for each access extents of the visibility splay that

nvelope within which the crossing will

m wide and that a visibility splay of orward visibility of oncoming traffic, it wider than 2.4m and therefore it is not removal would therefore be that tate construction). This would be less

ad has been marked for removal within the final micro sighting of the crossing **ree Preservation Order & Hedgerow** Applicants have updated the **Tree**

Report [APP-156] will be updated to

lix D of the **Water Voles and Otters** with water vole present' (no.54) and otential' No.62. Ditch No.58 with ' Il which have 'optimal suitability with

al hundred metres from the locations would be too far to be considered a s will be carried out as described in the n to be captured and appropriate

itted, the methodology of the rinclude installation of flume culverts **lix 5-2 Obstacle Crossing Register** es for the crossings of watercourses.

clude assessment of these temporary

w submission for Deadline 1



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
	would be provided. In addition, provide full BNG metric in excel format.	An updated BNG Strategy and Metrics will be provided at Deadline 5 to include the results of the River Condit address minor comments raised by ERYC in their Local Impact Report (LIR) [PDC-007] and the Environment Representation [RR-039].
41	Provide an indicative layout for horizontal directional drilling (HDD) compounds.	An indicative layout for trenchless crossing compounds will be added to Appendix 5-3 - Engineering Drawing document is being updated to accommodate Project Change Request 2: Onshore Substation Zone [AS-152]
Agenda	Item 13: Land Use and Ground Conditions	
42	Clarify what assessment has been undertaken to ascertain land segregated by the proposed projects will remain practical for agricultural use. This should consideration the size, shape and ease of access to the segregated land.	The impact assessment associated with the construction phase of the Projects within Chapter 21 Land Use (F the agricultural land within the Onshore Development Area and that which surrounds it. It is noted in the mag 21.6.1.2.2 and 21.6.1.2.3) that there are areas, predominantly associated with haul roads where reinstatement the two-year programme.
		Mitigation measures included within section 21.6.1.2.5 of Chapter 21 Land Use (Revision 2) [AS-111] state the severed land for farm vehicles would be maintained subject to individual agreements with landowners and occupi would be agreed preconstruction, as secured in DCO Requirement 19".
		However, it is acknowledged that in some instances it may not be possible to maintain access to all severed la assessed within Chapter 21 Land Use (Revision 2) [AS-111]. These areas include, for example, isolated section the plans of Works Plan (Onshore) (Revision 3) [PDA-003] (as discussed in section 21.6.1.2.5 of Chapter 21 La impacts to owners / occupiers of these areas will be mitigated as set out in section 21.6.1.2.5 of Chapter 21 La specifically " <i>Private agreements (or compensation in line with the compulsory purchase completion code) will be soccupier</i> ". It is not considered that this would result in changes to the impact assessment carried out as part of [AS-111] as these mitigation measures are already incorporated into the assessment.
43	Review the inconsistency with how the sensitivity of Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) 3a has been defined across ES Chapters 19 [<u>APP-158</u>] and 21 [<u>AS-111</u>]. ES chapters are to be updated otherwise justification provided for the inconsistent sensitivity.	With regards to the definitions of the sensitivity of ALC grades within Chapter 19 Geology and Land Quality determined with respect to contamination only. For example, agricultural land identified as being "Best and N sensitive to changes as a result of contamination (e.g. the mobilisation of contamination as a result of the Progenerally not utilised for food production.
		Sensitivity bandings for agricultural land within Chapter 21 Land Use (Revision 2) [AS-111] have been defined Environmental Management & Assessment Guide: A New Perspective on Land and Soil in Environmental Impa Table 3.11 of "Design Manual for Roads and Bridges, LA 109 Geology and Soils" (Highways England, 2019). Wit the bandings in Chapter 21 Land Use (Revision 2) [AS-111], the land use chapter determines the sensitivity of (temporary or permanent) of the land from production as a result of the Projects and the quality of the soil on crops.
44	To review the magnitude of impacts definition in Table 21- 8 of Chapter 21 [<u>AS-111</u>] and could you explain why the ALC grades feature again. Provide a reference to the guidance document referred to during the Hearing.	Table 21-8 of Chapter 21 Land Use (Revision 2) [AS-111] has been modified to remove the duplication of ALC they do not contribute to the defining the magnitude bandings. The magnitude of impact bandings have beer Environmental Management & Assessment Guide: A New Perspective on Land and Soil in Environmental Impa Table 3.2 of "Design Manual for Roads and Bridges, LA 109 Geology and Soils" (Highways England, 2019).
45	Clarify if the proposed return of agricultural land to the landowner within two years includes the reinstatement	The two-year period mentioned within Chapter 21 Land Use (Revision 2) [AS-111] refers to land between Join such as haul roads (see Table 21-3 of Chapter 21 Land Use (Revision 2) [AS-111]).





tion Assessment (RCA) surveys and Agency in their Relevant

gs [APP-075], at Deadline 2 as this .].

Revision 2) [AS-111] identifies both gnitude of impact sections (sections it works cannot be completed within

hat "Wherever practicable, access to viers. Where necessary, crossing points

and which were not specifically ons of haul roads shown as loops on and Use (Revision 2) [AS-111]). The and Use (Revision 2) [AS-111], sought with relevant landowners / f Chapter 21 Land Use (Revision 2)

[APP-158], these bandings have been Most Versatile" (BMV) will be more bjects) than non-BMV land which is

d based on Table 2 of "Institute of bact Assessment" (IEMA, 2022) and ith respect to the differences between of agricultural land in terms of the loss in that land and its ability to grow

C grades as this was an oversight and n defined using Table 3 of "Institute of pact Assessment" (IEMA, 2022) and

inting Bays only and excludes areas



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
	and aftercare periods as described in the Outline Soil Management Plan [<u>AS-094</u>].	A period of aftercare following the reinstatement of soils will be required in order to return land to its previous Management Plan (Revision 2) [AS-094] states that "landowner(s) are to be advised and encouraged to manage the first two-three years after re-instatement, should be aware that re-instated land will farm differently to adjace wetter for longer in spring and are likely to wet up earlier in autumn. Timeliness of access for arable cultivations, in be essential to facilitate soil structural recovery.
		The use of organic manures is recommended, though not in the first 12 months after re-instatement, to build up su temporary soil storage. An aftercare programme should be formulated by the contractor to a fertiliser and croppir landowner. The need for subsoiling should be regularly assessed, on arable enclosures."
		Therefore, there is the potential for aftercare continuing beyond the two-year period in some areas.
46	Clarify if limiting soil reinstatement occurring between April and October due to soil type and seasonal wetness would inhibit land being returned within the stated two year period.	Groundworks campaigns will typically be scheduled to take place during Spring, Summer and early Autumn, u minimise the amount of excavation being left open. As stated in section 5.17 of the Outline Code of Construct [AS-094]: ' <i>Following completion of the Onshore Export Cable Corridor, the working area will be reinstated to a state to the commencement of works (or subject to landowner agreement, improved, according with details set out in the 8.11) (see Table 3-3). This will include works between jointing bays, where ducts are installed which would be reins</i> which cannot be reinstated within two years, would need to be agreed and discussed with ERYC for exception have been foreseen or planned around the seasonal constraints.
47	Review, and update as necessary, ES Chapter 21 [AS-111] as to why only ecological and landowner financial impacts have been assessed in light of all of the aims of agri- environment schemes identified in paragraph 73. To be provided at a later deadline which will be confirmed at Deadline 1.	It is acknowledged that the aims of the agri-environment schemes discussed in section 21.5.2.3.2 of Chapter 2 were not consistent with the latest JNCC guidance on agri-environment schemes and the guidance from Natu stewardship agri-environment schemes. Neither the JNCC or NE guidance suggests flood management is an a The JNCC guidance does however state that ' <i>promoting public access and understanding of the countryside</i> ' is a environment schemes.
		Chapter 21 Land Use (Revision 2) [AS-111] will be updated for Deadline 2 to reflect the JNCC and Natural English to promoting flood management as part of the agri-environment schemes objectives. Where further details of schemes within the Onshore Development Area are available these will be incorporated into Revision 3 of Cha 111] for Deadline 2.
48	Without details of the agri-environmental schemes clarify how have you been able to undertake the environmental impact assessment for the proposed projects. Without details of the agri-environmental schemes clarify how can it be concluded that all land under an agri-environmental scheme within the onshore development area would be reinstated to its original condition within two years.	Details of agri-environment schemes held by Molescroft Farms Limited has been obtained by the Applicant w schemes entered into by the landowner. This information will be considered in the updated Chapter 21 Land U Deadline 2. It should be noted that the agri-environmental schemes held by Molescroft Farms Limited are due when construction works for the Projects could potentially commence.
		Additional information in relation to the details of the agri-environmental schemes present within the Onshor requested from both the Rural Payments Agency and landowners of the potentially affected land. It should be information indicates that the agri-environmental schemes which interact with the Onshore Development Are December 2025 and December 2028.
		All payments associated with agri-environmental schemes will cease once construction of the Projects common Projects. Following completion of construction works, it will be up to the landowner / occupiers whether to en the latest government options at the time.
		A commitment has been made by the Applicants to reinstate land impacted by construction works, including agreements, following the completion works within that area.





s agricultural use. The **Outline Soil** ge the land sympathetically and, for cent areas. The soils are likely to remain irrigation, fertilising and spraying will

oil matter reserves lost during ng plan which is agreed with

utilising the ducted design to ction Practice (OCoCP) (Revision 2) ate commensurate with condition prior the OLMP, (Volume 8, application ref: stated within two years'. Any section nal circumstances which could not

21 Land Use (Revision 2) [AS-111] ural England on entry level aim of an agri-environment scheme. an aim of the higher-level agri-

gland Guidance and remove reference on the aims of the agri-environment **apter 21 Land Use (Revision 2)** [AS-

which details the deliverables of the **Use (Revision 2)** [AS-111], at e to expire in December 2027, which is

re Development Area have been e noted that publicly available ea are due to expire between

nences on land occupied by the net into new agreements, based on

land covered by agri-environmental



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
		The affected land will be reinstated, noting that the two-year period mentioned within Chapter 21 Land Use between Jointing Bays only and excludes areas such as Haul Roads (see Table 21-3 of Chapter 21 Land Use (R
		A period of aftercare following the reinstatement of soils will be required in order to return land to its previous (Revision 2) [AS-111] will be updated with this acknowledgement and the assessment updated as necessary.
50	Evidence that all necessary remediation and mitigation features (such as tanks, lagoons, wastewater treatment plant etc.) together with other project related spatial constraints could be delivered within the Order Limits.	As noted within the Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098] any foul water flows are likely to be min or a small foul package treatment plant (additional treatment may be required at the package treatment plan and sewerage authority requirements). Design sizing and requirements will be determined at detailed design features would be either accommodated within the permanent Onshore Converter Station footprints or be sn within Order Limits immediately adjacent to the permanent Onshore Converter Station footprints. The foul de situated appropriately in relation to the other SuDS features and final design agreed with the relevant drainage consultation with Lead Local Flood Authority and the Environment Agency as identified within Requirement a [document reference 3.1].
		The Outline Drainage Strategy (Revision 2) [AS-098] will be updated at Deadline 2, to confirm the above.
Agenda	Item 14: Traffic and Transport	
52	Provide a plan of the A6 ₃ Castle Street junction improvements.	Chapter 24 Traffic and Transport Figure 24-1 to Figure 24-5 (Revision 2) has been submitted at Deadline 1. T to include the location of the A6 ₃ Castle Street Improvement works in relation to the Projects sensitive junction
54	Provide details of the procedure to cross private access tracks (such as crossings at 6a-b, 9a-b or 11c-d shown on the Streets Plan [<u>APP-018</u>]) with open cut trenching techniques and how this would be secured.	Where the option for open cut trench crossing of a private access track is proposed in the Obstacle Crossing R that access to any affected properties is managed and made available for non-motorised users and vehicles at the fact mathematical states are stated as a state of the fact mathematical states are states are stated as a state of the fact mathematical states are stated as a state of the fact mathematical states are states are stated as a state of the fact mathematical states are sta
		 Agreement with the affected residents to use an alternative route (where available); Shuttle working, e.g. the use of traffic signals, stop/go boards to maintain a single lane (where track v The creation of a temporary diversion of the access track within the project Order Limits (appropriate Liaison between the Contractor and affected residents to temporarily cover (e.g. steel plates) open tr Liaison between the Contractor and affected residents to identify if there is a time the works can be c during holidays.
		These crossing methodology options are the same as those described for public roads subject to open cut cro Construction Traffic Management Plan (Revision 2) [AS-020] section 4.4.
		In order to secure these measures for maintaining access along private access tracks, the above commitment access tracks has been added to the Outline Code of Construction Practice (Revision 2) [AS-094] in section gradient reference 3.1].
55	Amend paragraph 256 of ES Chapter 18 [PDC-002] with regard to the location of the TCC at the emergency beach access in relation to the existing boat storage area.	The Applicant will update paragraph 256 of Chapter 18 Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology (Revision 4) [PE this document at Deadline 2.

Agenda Item 15: Noise and Vibration (responses to WQs are provided in Appendix A of this document)

EcoDoc Number 005633280

(Revision 2) [AS-111] refers to land Revision 2) [AS-111]).

s condition. Chapter 21 Land Use

nimal and may drain to a septic tank n depending on the relevant drainage stage but it is considered that these mall scale and able to be incorporated rainage would be designed and ge and sewerage authorities in 17 of the **Draft DCO (Revision 5)**

This includes an update to Figure 24-4 ons.

Register the Applicants would ensure t all times.

options could include:

width permits);

e for user type);

renches to allow access; or

completed without disruption, e.g.

ossings, as outlined in the Outline

to maintaining access for private 5.15; which is secured within

DC-002] as requested and will provide



Action No.	Action	Applicants' Response
56	Provide a list of all properties that form part of each Noise Sensitive Receptor as listed in table 25-16 of [<u>APP-201</u>].	As stated in Compulsory Acquistion Hearing Action Point 16, multiple properties are scoped into the study are receptors have been grouped in some instances. Where receptors are grouped the result at the worst-affected property has been assessed and presented in Chapter 25 Noise [APP-201].
		It is important to note that as the worst affected receptor within a group has been assessed, the remaining resubject to a lower level of noise (in some cases noise levels will be significantly lower, e.g. where receptors wit noise source but the 'worst case' receptor isn't.).
		A list of properties included for each receptor group assessed within Chapter 25 Noise [APP-201] has been pr document.
57	Provide an update on the outcome of the meeting with ERYC that is due to be held on 23 January 2025 regarding discussions about proposed construction hours.	A meeting was held between the Applicant and ERYC on 27 th January 2025 to discuss the Applicants comment [PDC-007].
		At the meeting, ERYC's LIR comment ref. 7.76 on construction working hours was discussed. It was agreed the further details in the Outline Code of Code of Construction Practice (Revision 2) [AS- 094] on the process fo in particularly sensitive sites with ERYC under Section 61 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974; that the constru Requirement 20 of the Draft DCO (Revision 4) [AS-130] were acceptable.
		The updated Outline Code of Construction Practice (Revision 2) [AS-094] is provided at Deadline 1.



eas and where appropriate these d façade of the worst-affected

ceptors within that group will be thin the group are shielded from the

resented in Appendix E of this

ts on the Local Impact Report (LIR)

at, subject to the Applicant providing or agreeing prior consent for working uction working hours detailed within



Appendix A – Written Questions

Table A-1 The Applicants' Responses to the Examining Authority's Written Questions that were converted to writing arising from Day 2 of the Issue Specific Hearing 2 on 16th January 2025.

Action No.	Question	Applicants' Response		
Agenda Item	9: Seascape, Landscape and Visual			
WQ1	To what extent would the design of the converter stations and the associated infrastructure (rather than landscaping mitigation) assist with reducing adverse landscape and visual effects, such as the colour, materials and security fencing?	The level of landscape and visual impact identified in Chapter 23 Landscape and Visua largely as a result of the location of the Substation Zone, which has been the result of o the Onshore Converter Station, particularly the main building of up to 24m in height. T requirements, and it would not therefore be possible for design changes to substantial		
		The use of colour and materials would assist in improving the visual appearance of the and Access Statement [APP-233] provides details on how the design principles can be such as the choice of materials, and the use of colour (drawing on Environmental Colour features such as fencing and signage will reflect their location and setting in the landsc		
		The application of design measures as set out in the Design and Access Statement [A of the proposed development, but is unlikely to alter the level of landscape and visual Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-192].		
WQ2	Could the proposed converter stations and any of the associated infrastructure be sunk into the ground to reduce the visual effects of the Proposed Development? If not, why not? Could the lowest existing ground level be used as the starting point for the finished ground level?	 The platform levels have been designed to: Develop platforms which can achieve a gravity drainage design, Minimise volume of surplus or short fall of soils minimising requirement for trasoils from the site, Allow for retention of minimum 1 in 3 gradient side slopes and minimisation of permanent converter station footprint to minimise the overall minimum land to Based on current outline drainage design there is limited scope to drop Onshore Converter stations platforms and the assumption of a single large pond as current commitments for final SuDS design to be landscape lead and therefore suitable differed Onshore Converter Stations platforms and the watercourse that is to be discharged intwithin this design. Lowering of platform may result in requirement for pumped drainage Onshore Converter Station footprints. There would be a risk of pump failure which has Substation Zone and therefore back-up pumps etc are likely to be required. The pumper operational maintenance increasing the number of operational maintenance visits require failure and therefore significant flooding of the Onshore Converter Station footprints. Lowering of platform would generate surplus soils that would need to be removed from movements associated with the works and cost of the works to pay for disposal of the for retention of soils on the site. Lowering of the platform would result in more land take required outside of the permarefootprints for the proposed minimum 1 in 3 earthworks slope and there is limited space slopes. Retaining walls may be able to be used as an alternative however, these would length required and may require the import of large quantities of steel and concrete to lawaring of the platform would form a cump and more extension cut off drains (and or presented and may require the import of large quantities of steel and concrete to lawaring of the platform would form a cump and more extension cut off drains (and or presented and may require the import of large quantities of st		
		Lowering of the platform would form a sump and more extensive cut off drains (and poponds) may be required around the permanent footprints increasing the permanent la		





al Impact Assessment [APP-192] is careful site selection, and the scale of This size is fixed by technical ally reduce the likely effects.

e proposed development. The **Design** e applied to aspects of the design our Assessment). The approach to cape.

APP-233] will ensure the design quality effect as reported in **Chapter 23**

affic movement to import / export

of extent of these slopes out with the take.

verter Station levels further whilst also tly shown. The Projects have made ence in ground level between the ato is required to allow flexibility age solution for part or all of the s potential to cause flooding of the ed drainage solution would need uired. There is risk of multiple pump

om site. This would increase the traffic excess soils. There is limited space

anent Onshore Converter Station e available for these more extensive l be significant structures due to o form.

ootentially surface water storage and take required further.



Action No.	Question	Applicants' Response		
		The proposed permanent access road would need to have sufficient ramp down to lev an appropriate gradient suitable for the AIL and HGV traffic. There would likely be sign this access ramp and suitability for a gravity surface drainage solution for the road dra considered.		
		The lowest existing ground level cannot be used as starting point for finished ground footprint would need to be higher than this to allow for a gravity drainage solution to		
WQ3	Increased cut to reduce finished ground level could result in increased spoil to deliver bunds which are referenced in the Design and Access Statement	The use of bunds and earthworks to reduce effects has been considered. The response the platform level, and similar restrictions apply to the creation of bunds above the ex-		
	[APP-233] as being a possibility to provide mitigation. Why hasn't this been explored further yet to reduce significant adverse effects as far as possible? Are there any other landscape mitigation features which have been explored and discounted?	To provide visual screening, a bund would need to be of substantial height, which would bund of 10m height, less than half the height of the Onshore Converter Station, with a need to be over 60m across.		
		To the north of the Substation Zone, the Landscape Mitigation Plan includes the reter form the spine of a new screening plantation. It would not be possible to retain this or be installed. The presence of Ancient Woodland at Bentley Moor Wood limits the pote the substation zone. They could also not be located in the area occupied by the Yorksh		
		The soil of raised bunds tends to dry out more rapidly than soil at natural ground level establishing more slowly on bunds than in the ground, and there is a risk that vegetat screening from the raised ground level may be offset by the slower growth in screening		
		The Design and Access Statement [APP-233] will be updated at Deadline 2 to clarify means of visual screening. Bunds may be incorporated into the design to utilise exces off-site, but would not be of substantial height.		
WQ4	East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC) Local Impact Report (LIR) [PDC-007] requests that tree and hedge planting includes some more mature specimens to speed up establishment and integration into the landscape, particularly to the north and south of the converter stations. ERYC requested that tree type should also be related to the most prominent	Smaller planting stock, such as whips, tends to establish more quickly than more mat would provide limited screening benefit at year 1 despite the added height, due to the be slower to establish and more prone to failure. The creation of woodlands through t is a tried and tested means of creating dense, bushy growth that provides maximum v The detail of the landscape planting proposals, including the size and type of planting		
	locations to ensure greatest height and spread at those points. Could this be captured by the draft DCO [AS-120] or supporting documents?	be subject to approval by ERYC, controlled by Requirement 10 of the DCO. It is not co (Revision 4) [AS-130] or OLMP (Revision 2) [AS-096] require to be updated to incorpo discussed and agreed with ERYC at a call on the 27 th January 2025, the SoCG has not b will be updated in the next draft following ERYC's review of the Applicants responses.		
WQ5	What consultation would you need to make on the detailed landscape management plan before it's submission? It would be helpful to the Examining Authority (ExA) for this process to be set out within the outline	The detailed Landscape Management Plan (LMP) will be developed post consent, and OLMP (Revision 2) [AS-096] and the Design and Access Statement [APP-233]. The d than one document, depending on the stages across which the Projects are construct		
	Landscape Management Plan [<u>AS-096</u>].	It is envisaged that consultation with ERYC would include meetings with the relevant LMP to ERYC for approval. It would be for ERYC to involve officers or Council member disagreement would need to be resolved to the satisfaction of ERYC.		
		The OLMP (Revision 2) [AS-096] will be updated to incorporate this approach at Dear		



vel of lowered converter station with nificant earthworks required to form ainage would also need to be

level as the western side of the be achieved.

e to WQ2 considers this in relation to xisting ground level.

uld require significant land take. A 1 in 3 earthworks on each side, would

ntion of an existing mature hedge to r other features if large bunds were to ential for bunds in the north-east of hire Water main.

Is. This results in vegetation tion fails to establish. Any 'gain' in ng woodland.

that bunds are not intended to be a ss material, to avoid disposing of this

cure plants. Standard trees or similar eir more open form. They would also the planting of large numbers of whips visual screening.

stock, and location of planting, will onsidered that the **Draft DCO** orate this. This approach was also been updated with LIR comments. It

d will be based on the principles of the detailed (LMP) may comprise more ced.

officers, prior to submission of a draft rs as necessary. Any areas of

dline 2.



Action No.	Question	Applicants' Response	
WQ6 How are the main significant adverse effects of the Proposed Development addressed to achieve good design?		t As set out in the Design and Access Statement [APP-233] good design includes development. The site selection and layout design have sought to reduce the pot effects, whilst balancing this against other environmental and technical requirem The significant landscape and visual effects of the proposed development are the plan set out in Figure 23-6 of Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessm	
		focused on screening of the most significant effects on local views. We would welcome further clarification of what the ExA is seeking in relation to this q	

Agenda Item 10: Onshore Historic Environment

WQ7	Table 22-7 of ES Chapter 22 [AS-092] defines the importance for cultural heritage assets. However, it uses the same description under multiple levels of importance, for example, assets defined as being of 'high importance'	The methodology for defining the level of importance of heritage assets was agreed w modified following Historic England (HE) comments on the Preliminary Environmenta that Grade II listed buildings have been treated as of national importance.		
	could be of national importance, but assets defined as 'medium importance' could also include assets of national importance. Provide a justification as to why the importance for cultural heritage assets is defined in this way; does this confuse the process of determining the importance of a heritage asset?	Grade II listed buildings are recognised in the methodology as being of national import methodology reflects the distinction between 'special interest' (Grade II listed Building (Grade II*) or 'exceptional interest' (Grade I), a distinction that is also reflected in NPS that designated heritage assets have differing levels of importance (NPS EN-1 5.9.27, I between 'designated heritage assets' (including Grade II listed buildings) and 'assets of Grade I and Grade II* listed buildings) (NPS EN-1 5.9.30).		
WQ8	Paragraph A.19 of the Principles of Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment in the UK states that "more often designation is the acknowledgement that the	As noted in the response to WQ7, the assessment methodology reflects the policy dist designated heritage assets.		
<i>cultural heritage asset is of the highest importance"</i> . Given that Grade II buildings are nationally designated heritage assets, provide further justification as to why are these attributed medium importance, rather that high importance given the aforementioned guidance and the comments from Historic England in [RR-022] that Grade II buildings should not be of `medium' importance?		The assessment (Appendix 22-5 Onshore Infrastructure Settings Assessment [APP-1 Listed Buildings (Section 22.5.6) all of which there would be either no change or neglig increased valuation of Grade II listed buildings would therefore not result in any effects significant becoming significant.		
WQ9	Within ES Chapter 22 [<u>AS-092</u>], on a number of occasions on a precautionary basis a medium level of heritage importance has been assigned to potential unknown archaeological deposits (such as paragraphs	The cited passage of Table 22-7 reads, in full, 'Assets where the importance/existence/l been ascertained (or fully ascertained/understood) from available evidence <i>and is</i> cons precautionary measure' (emphasis added).		
	247 and 252). However, Table 22-7 of ES Chapter 22 indicates that these should be assigned a high level of importance (see the final bullet point of 'high' importance). Why is this the case?	This statement does not set out a universal approach, but refers to sites such as the de Nunkeeling and Cleeton, which haves not been extensively investigated but where the remains are of equivalent significance to a scheduled monument.		
		In all cases, the assessment of value of predicted but presently unknown heritage asse and follows a reasonable worst-case approach. This is evidenced in the precautionary a that at Catfoss (Section 5-6), near Nunkeeling (section 11) and near Eske (Section 5) wh archaeological remains, the character of which had not been fully established, was ass judgement in the light of an understanding of their context and information available a		
		HAP have indicated agreement with the scope and methods of the archaeological survassumptions and the conclusions of the assessment of effects on archaeological remainevidenced in the Statement of Common Ground.		





EcoDoc Number 005633280

iting and location of the proposed al for adverse landscape and visual

driver for the landscape mitigation [APP-192]. The mitigation design is

uestion.

with consultees at scoping and al Information Report (PEIR) to clarify

rtance, but the assessment gs) and 'More than special interest' EN-1 and NPPF, which acknowledge NPPF 213) and draw the distinction of the highest significance' (Including

tinctions between differing grades of

178]) considers a number of Grade II gible impact from the Projects. Any is identified in the ES as not

level of survival of the asset has not sidered of high importance as a

eserted medieval village cores of ere is a clear potential that the

ets is based on all available evidence assessments offered for sites, such as where the value of potential sessed as Medium using professional at the time of assessment.

veys undertaken with the worst-case ins by email on 18th October 2024, as



Action No.	Question	Applicants' Response		
WQ10	Paragraph 287 of ES Chapter 22 [AS-092] concludes that as any impact during construction would be short term and reversible, any change to setting and associated heritage significance would result in a negligible adverse magnitude of impact, and no material harm to significance. However, the ExA draw the Applicants' attention to the Secretary of State's decision for 'Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm' DCO which stated that, "The Secretary of State is aware that where there is an identified harm to a heritage asset, he must give that harm considerable importance and weight. The Secretary of State notes the temporary impact on the setting of the Beverley Sanctuary Limit Stone during construction and therefore ascribes moderate negative weight to matters related to the historic environment in the planning balance." Should any temporary adverse effects on the setting of heritage assets therefore be offered negative weight in the planning balance and also be recognised in terms ascribing the appropriate level of harm? If not, why not? If so, please update the ES accordingly.	The Applicants agree that harm should be considered within any balancing exercises reversibility of any harm, in addition to its magnitude, should go to the weighting Paragraph 287 of Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage (Rev change to setting) rather than effect (i.e. loss of significance) and was made follor potentially affected heritage assets cited at Paragraph 286. To expand on this statement, the contribution of the agricultural landscape throu of these heritage assets is to allow the viewer to place them into the regionally d Holderness. Change to setting arising from the construction works would be obs as works within a wider agricultural landscape and would not affect that contribution It would not be appropriate to comment in detail on an assessment of effects of understand that the case discussed relates to a scheduled medieval sanctuary st Limits of the Hornsea Project Four project. This asset was subject to physical prot that perceptually separated it from the former road line that it represented, ther temporary, loss of significance during construction. It is consequently clear that with the matter at hand.		
WQ11	Paragraph 14 of the onshore infrastructure settings assessment [APP-178] indicates that the settings assessment has been carried out in the basis that the converter stations would be a gas insulated switchgear design, rather than air insulated. However, the ExA understands that the Applicants consider the worst-case scenario design to be air insulated switch gear design to accord with the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment. Please review this matter.	The Applicants confirm that AIS has been considered in the worst case (as set out at C Cultural Heritage (Revision 2) [AS-092], Table 22-1) as it represents the maximum ex Station (as per Chapter 5 Project Description [APP-071], section 5.7.2). The parameter onshore infrastructure settings assessment are identical to those set out in the ES, an out and height. It is not anticipated that the use of GIS would lead to any change in th heritage assets considered within the ES assessment.		
WQ12	Does the draft DCO [AS-120] or its supporting documents ensure that the converter station buildings would be constructed to the south of the converter station area marked on the works plans? If not, has the ES assessed the worst case scenario if the converter station buildings were to be built closer to the scheduled monument at Butt Farm than as shown on indicative plans?	The Applicants can confirm that the Onshore Converter Station buildings will be const marked as Works Nos. 25A and 26A/B on the Works Plans (Onshore) (Revision 3) [PD This is secured through the description of onshore works in Schedule 1 Part 1 of the D reference 3.1].		
WQ13	Figure 23-15b1 [PDA-010] shows that there would be no views of the proposed converter stations from Blackmill. However, paragraph 309 of ES Chapter 22 [AS-092] suggests that there would be "varying visibility" of the converter station likely. Why is there this discrepancy and would there be views of the converter stations from Blackmill and the scheduled barrows? If there are would figure 23-15b1 need to be updated?	Figure 23-15c1 [PDA-010] is an annotated photograph, and not a photomontage. Rat potential view, it shows the existing view with an indication of the location of the Ons The locations of heritage-specific visualisations have been agreed with consultees and photographer using their professional judgement to offer a representation of the view discussed in oral evidence at ISH2, any visualisation can only illustrate a single static v contextualised in the narrative assessment both in terms of the relationship of that view that view is representative. Blackmill stands in a large open area in the Westwood, edged by tree planting, with view the Westwood and through the avenue of trees to Walkington Road into a relatively of modern buildings. Blackmill would be experienced in a number of views as the viewer moves around this Onshore Converter Stations would be in passing views southwards as the viewer move		





n planning, and that the duration and any harm in that decision.

2) [AS-092] discusses impact (i.e. the site visits to the route and

which the cable route passes to setting active agricultural landscape of ad, but this would be read by a viewer a to significance.

ferent project, but the Applicants that was located within the Order ive measures and construction works giving rise to a discernible, albeit assessment is not directly comparable

Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology and xtent of build out at the Converter ters used for assessment in the nd reflect the maximum spatial build he assessed magnitude of effect on any

tructed wholly within the areas DA-003].

Draft DCO (Revision 5) [document

ther than a representation of the shore Converter Station.

nd microsited on site by the w from the agreed location. As viewpoint and needs to be view to setting and the degree to which

views south through clump planting on open view including woodland and

s open area, and any visibility of the ves around the Westwood, rather than



Action No.	Question	Applicants' Response
		as the single static viewpoint illustrated by this visualisations; the assessment in the E acknowledges this variation in visibility and considers it in the assessment.
		Visibility of the Onshore Converter Stations in views from around Black Mill would be l exact location of the viewer, with visibility of the converter station passing in and out of partially screened and distant. This visibility would neither detract from the fortuitous Black Mill's isolation in an open area, nor would it affect any sense of Black Mill being t
		The text in the assessment at paragraph 309 of Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology and 092] reflects and describes this visibility accurately, providing an appropriate context f
WQ14	Figure 23-15C1 [PDA-010] shows that there would be no views of the proposed converter stations from perimeter of Risby Hall Registered Park and Gardens. However, paragraph 131 of the Onshore Infrastructure Settings Assessment [APP-178] suggests that "from the woodland bounding the northeast perimeter of the park visibility of the Onshore Substation Zone may be partially achievable." During the Unaccompanied Site Inspection, the ExA visited the vicinity of viewpoint CH5 and it appeared that it would be likely that views of the converter stations would be available. Why does Figure 21-15C1 suggest that there would not be views of the converter station and does this need to be updated?	Figure 23-15C1 [PDA-010] is an annotated photograph, and not a photomontage. Rath potential view, it shows the existing view with an indication of the location of the Onsh The locations of heritage-specific visualisations have been agreed with consultees and photographer using their professional judgement to offer a representation of the view Clearly, and as noted at the response to WQ13, these views are experienced as the view rather than as the single static viewpoints illustrated by these visualisations and the Z potential visibility; the assessment in the Environmental Statement acknowledges this referenced paragraph contextualises the potential visibility (that is noted by the ExA). The viewpoint itself shows that in this specific view that the Onshore Converter Statio screened by intervening trees and hedges, but that this screening would vary as the view of the view is a screening would vary as the view of the view is a screening would vary as the view of the view is screening would vary as the view is screening w
		the Onshore Converter Station changes. Visibility of the Onshore Converter Stations from areas immediately outside the perim the combination of planting and topography, and would vary with the exact location of Stations would pass in and out of view as the viewer moves through the landscape.
		reflects and describes this visibility accurately, providing an appropriate context for th

Agenda Item 12: Onshore Ecology

WQ15	 ES Chapter 18 [PDC-002] considers the potential effects on commuting and foraging bats. Clarify why risk of killing or injury during construction is not considered to be a potential risk for foraging and commuting bats (with reference to paragraph 336) but is considered a potential risk for roosting bats (as detailed in paragraph 327). Confirm if appropriate consideration has been given to the loss of the ecological function in relation to commuting and foraging bats that might be caused by the creation of gaps from proposed hedgerow removal. The Outline Ecological Management Plan [AS-114] has considered pre-construction mitigation measures for commuting and foraging bats. Would there be an opportunity to include additional mitigation measures for the period during and post construction 	Chapter 18 Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology (Revision 4) [PDC-002] considers the bats during construction, as this is a time when bats are most vulnerable. The habitat during this phase could, without mitigation measures, be the most significant impact. The killing or injury of foraging and commuting bats during construction has not been the Outline Code of Construction Practice [AS-094] , the core working hours fall most are still in their roosts and not normally active. Generally, the risk of bats in flight bein or slow-moving construction machinery is very low and not normally considered a pot been received from stakeholders on this issue including ERYC and NE. The majority of the habitats within the Onshore Development Area are of low suitabil with some parcels of woodland and hedgerows providing moderate habitat and commorespectively (Appendix 18-6 Bats Report - Monthly Activity Transects [APP-147]). N include hedgerows deemed to be important to commuting and foraging bats and the Protected Species (Onshore) [APP-026]. The impact on potential loss of habitat commores of use important commuting bats was discussed with Natural England and encould be important commuting routes between any roosts found within the Onshore





EcoDoc Number 005633280

nvironmental Statement

limited and largely dependent on the of view, but where appearing always architectural composition formed by the principal element in these views.

d Cultural Heritage (Revision 2) [ASfor the assessment.

her than a representation of the hore Converter Stations.

d micro-sited on site by the v from the agreed location.

ewer moves through the landscape (TV offers a useful tool in judging the is variation in visibility and the in their site visit in the assessment.

ons would be at least partially iewer moves and the line of sight to

neter of the park would be limited by of the viewer. The Onshore Converter

cture Settings Assessment [APP-178] ne assessment.

ne risk of killing and injuring roosting clearance expected to be undertaken of the projects on bats.

n considered because, as specified in stly within daytime, a time when bats ng harmed by colliding with stationary tential effect. No comments have

lity for foraging and commuting bats, ectivity to the wider landscape levertheless, there were features that se are highlighted in the **Habitats of** nectivity associated with hedgerow nphasis was placed around those that Development Area, however no bat



Action No.	Question	Applicants' Response		
	such as the replacement of dead hedging whilst new hedgerows are growing? If not, why not?	roosts have been identified and as a result no specific mitigation has been proposed. F carried out as part of the suite of pre commencement surveys proposed in the Outline (Revision 3) [AS-114]. Furthermore, it is worth considering that many features of inter such as watercourses and hedgerows have been avoided by trenchless crossing techn		
		The need for further mitigation measures regarding foraging and commuting bats wil location and extent of hedgerow removal is complete prior to the start of construction		
		The OLMP (Revision 2) [AS-096] includes details of maintenance during the five year includes an indicative maintenance schedule. This would include the replacement of d establishment period. Requirement 11 of the Draft DCO [AS-130] also requires that the monitored for five years after planting. During this period any plants which fail, die, ar damaged or diseased, in the opinion of East Riding of Yorkshire Council, shall be replace season with a specimen of the same species and size as that originally planted.		

Agenda Item 15: Noise and Vibration

WQ16	ES Chapter 25 [APP-201] assessed Noise Sensitive Receptors (NSR) 3 and 4 (shown on figure 25-1a of [APP-202]) in relation to onsite construction noise from the landfall zone and separately in relation to onsite construction noise at the temporary construction compounds (TCC) and potential horizontal directional drilling (HDD) locations. Clarify which two receptors have been assessed in relation to cumulative impacts from the landfall construction noise, as well as TCC and HDD noise, and signpost where the	The interactions between these impacts has been assessed in section 25.10 of Chapter was concluded in terms of the interaction of noise impacts (i.e. cumulative noise impact Noise [APP-201]: "There may be interactions between the Landfall and Onshore Export Cable Corridor cons Cable Corridor and Onshore Converter Station(s) construction. It should be noted that wor for each impact (Impacts 1-3 and 4) of the construction noise and vibration assessment the increase the significance of effect."
	Information is provided.	In other words, no cumulative noise predictions were undertaken as it is considered th worse than worst case construction impact (from one of landfall, HDD, TCC or convert receptor. This applies to those receptors, like NSR 3 and 4 who may be affected by mul
		It should also be noted that The Projects have committed to use best practicable mean proposed works. This includes 'programming of noisy activities to minimise adverse ef screening. Cumulative impacts would only occur at a receptor if the loudest activities a same time. This could be avoided through appropriate planning / programming (and is addition, and as mentioned above, the predicted noise levels are considered a worst ca Practical Means (BPM) measures such as site hoardings, local screening etc. If required Section 61 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 will be sought.
WQ17	Table 25-20 of ES Chapter 25 [<u>APP-201</u>] identifies a high magnitude of impact for Eske Lane (Link 73) with two residential properties along this road being identified as having a medium sensitivity. Whilst noting the information provided in paragraph 178 of ES Chapter 25 [<u>APP-201</u>], explain in more detail how a reduction from major adverse to minor adverse was	The initial assessment of road traffic noise impacts considered the change in noise due set out in Table 25-11 of Chapter 25 Noise [APP-201]. For roads where the change in roless than a 3 dB increase the effect would be minor for medium sensitivity receptors. F 3 dB (including Eske Lane) a more detailed assessment was undertaken, including look 25-12 of Chapter 25 Noise [APP-201].
	concluded. Explain or signpost to proposed mitigation measures and how they would be secured	The absolute road traffic noise criteria is from DMRB LA111, which sets out operational adverse effect level) and SOAELs (significant observable adverse effect level). For days façade and SOAEL is 68 dB LA10,18hr façade. It should be noted that this criteria cons so could be considered as a conservative set of criteria to apply as the construction tratemporary in nature.





EcoDoc Number 005633280

Further roost assessment will be e Ecological Management Plan rest to commuting and foraging bats iques.

I be reviewed once the final details of n.

post construction period, Table 1-5 dead hedging, during the ne success of planting will be re removed, or become seriously uced in the first available planting

er 25 Noise [APP-201]. The following acts) in Table 25-28 of Chapter 25

struction as well as the Onshore Export orst case assumptions have been used herefore the effect level is unlikely to

hat the impact would be likely to be no ter station construction) for each ultiple sites.

ins mitigation when undertaking the iffects' and the use of acoustic at the relevant sites occurred at the s unlikely to occur in any case). In case and do not include for other Best of by ERYC, prior consent under

e to construction traffic with criteria oad traffic noise was predicted to be For changes in road traffic noise above king at the absolute criteria of Table

al noise LOAELs (lowest observable time the LOAEL is 55 dB LA10,18hr siders ongoing operational noise and affic from the Projects, which will be



Action No.	ion No. Question Applicants' Response				
		Noise was calculated at the most-affected facades of the two single-storey residential construction traffic on Eske Lane. As stated in Paragraph 178, the calculated noise level dB LA10,18hr façade at these receptors, which is 2 to 3 dB above the LOAEL and 10 to is equivalent to an onset of a significant effect, it is not considered appropriate to conclusing a significant effect due to construction road traffic, and therefore the effect wa to a minor (not significant) adverse effect.			
		Furthermore, these noise levels are approximately 53-54 dB in terms of the $L_{Aeq,T}$ metric these noise levels to the noise criteria in relevant standards and guidance:			
		When assessed against the guidance in the 'Professional Practice Guidance on Plannir (ProPG) these noise levels would present a negligible to low risk of adverse of new resi			
		BS 8233:2014 provides guidance on noise levels in external areas it states:			
		'For traditional external areas that are used for amenity space, such as gardens and patie level does not exceed 50 dB L _{Aeq,T} , with an upper guideline value of 55 dB L _{Aeq,T} which wou environments'.			
		It is important to note that these criteria relate to long term noise exposure and so couset of criteria to apply as any construction traffic noise impacts will be temporary in na the most affected window of the dwellings on Eske Lane are below 55 dB LAeq,T. In accreduced at locations in external amenity / garden areas further away from the road.			
		BS8233:2014 also provides guidance on internal noise levels inside dwellings (again the and can be considered onerous for temporary noise sources). BS 8233 states that for re- rooms, daytime noise levels should not exceed 40 dB LAeq,16hr (ref. BS 8233 Table 4 a façade is likely to offer approximately 25 dB noise attenuation from outside to inside. T levels of less than 30 dB LAeq,16hr inside the dwellings on Eske Road. The noise reduce reduced if windows were open. However, assuming 13 dB for a partially open window i approximately 40 dB LAeq,T and would be well below the BS 8233:2014 criteria for reli- metres (51 dBA). It is also important to note that the occupants can always choose to on necessary (especially as they would only need to close windows that faced the roads).			
		Due to the reasonings set out in paragraph 178 of Chapter 25 Noise [APP-201] and conprovided in this response in relation to absolute noise levels, it is not considered appro- adverse effects would occur at the properties on Eske Lane. Therefore, the effect of co- Lane is predicted to be minor adverse (not significant).			



I properties that may be affected by el during peak construction is 57-58 o 11 dB below the SOAEL. As a SOAEL clude receptors on Eske Lane as as reduced below a significant effect

ric. The following points compare

ng & Noise – Planning & Noise' sidential development.

ios, it is desirable that the external noise uld be acceptable in noisier

uld be considered as a conservative ature. The noise levels predicted at ddition, noise levels will be further

nese are based on long term exposure reasonable conditions inside living and Note 7). Even a very basic building This would result in internal noise ction provided by the façade would be internal noise levels would still be liable speech communication at 2 close their windows if they consider it

nsidering the additional context opriate to conclude that significant onstruction road traffic noise on Eske



Appendix B – Shipping and Navigation Cumulative Vessel Deviation

Table B-1 Shipping and Navigation Cumulative Vessel Deviation

Dauta	Vessels per Week	Isolation Deviation		Cumulative Deviation		
Route		Dist	%	Dist	%	Nature of Complative
1	7 to 8	-	-	-	-	No deviation required.
2	7 to 8	-	-	0.6	0.2	Passing through the nav Project One, Hornsea P interaction with DBS Ea
3	3 to 4	0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	No further deviation red
4	3 to 4	0.1	<0.1	0.7	0.2	Passing further east of t the navigation corridor Hornsea Four.
5	2 to 3	-	-	4.7	0.7	Passing west of Hornse or DBS West Array Area
6	2	1.0	0.3	2.1	0.6	Passing west of the DBS navigation corridor betw Project Two and Hornse
7	2	-	-	-	-	No deviations required.
8	1 to 2	-	-	-	-	No deviations required.
9	1t0 2	6.8	0.6	7-3	0.6	Passing west of the DBS navigation corridor betw Project Two and Hornse
10	1 to 2	4.4	1.1	6.5	1.6	Passing south and east navigation corridor betw Hornsea Four, and east

RWE MASDAR



Deviation

vigational corridor between Hornsea Project Two and Hornsea Three – no ast or DBS West Array Areas..

quired for cumulative scenario.

the DBS East Array Area and through between Hornsea Project Two and

ea Four – no interaction with DBS East as.

3S West Array Area and through the ween Hornsea Project One, Hornsea ea Three.

S West Array Area and through the ween Hornsea Project One, Hornsea ea Three.

of DBS East Array Area through the ween Hornsea Project Two an of Dogger Bank C.



Appendix C – Example Construction Compound Photos

As outlined in responses to Action Points from ISH2 on the 16th January and Supplementary Agenda Questions, this appendix provides examples of Temporary Construction Compounds to assist Interested Parties and the ExA to understand what may be implemented at the various locations set out for Temporary Construction Compounds within the Onshore Cable Corridor and Onshore Substation Zone. These are provided to assist Interested Parties, but it must be acknowledged that these examples are not directly comparable as compounds for the Projects will need to adjust to geographical, supply chain and local logistical requirements.

Plate 1 Example Main Onshore Converter Station construction compound showing key areas include Offices, Parking, Laydown, Secure Laydown (Cables), Topsoil Storage. The scheme also included additional works to expand pre-existing Bunds (landfill) with solid arisings.





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Appendix D – ERYC Level 1 SFRA data

Extract taken from ERYC Flood Data Map, available at: https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/se2e9d137f5e4259a73ba322014oba90/







EcoDoc Number 005633280



Appendix E - All properties that form part of each Noise Sensitive Receptor as listed in Table 25-16 of Chapter 25 Noise

Table E-1 Summary of Noise Sensitive Receptors by Group or Individual

Receptor	Type (approx. no. properties)	Description	Comments
----------	----------------------------------	-------------	----------

Within the Environmental Statement **Chapter 25 Noise** [APP-201], multiple properties have been scoped into the study areas. Where appropriate these receptors have been grouped in some instances. Where receptors are grouped the result at the worst-affected façade of the worst-affected property has been assessed and presented in **Chapter 25 Noise** [APP-201].

It is important to note that the assessment of impacts are not intended to assess each individual property but at properties worst-affected by noise and vibration. As the worst affected receptor within a group has been assessed, the remaining receptors within groups will be subject to a lower level of noise (in some cases noise levels will be significantly lower, e.g. where receptors within the group are shielded from the noise source but the 'worst case' receptor isn't).

The descriptions of receptors listed below should be read in conjunction with Figure 25-1 of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-202].

The receptor groups have been categorised by number of properties in each group (less than 5, 5-19, 20+). Where considered appropriate, additional information has been provided, e.g. for receptors where high impacts have been identified or where there are relatively large groups. A full list of properties in each group is provided in **Table E-2** below.

Please note that the construction road traffic noise impacts were assessed per road link in the traffic study, assessing the change in road traffic noise on each road link. The receptors in the list below have been identified separately based on study areas for construction vibration (100m from worksites), construction noise (300m from worksites) and operational noise (500m from operational converter station(s)). Therefore, there may be receptors included in the traffic noise assessment that are not identified in the list below.

Rı	Group (20+)	Residential Caravans at Skipsea Sands and Residential Houses on Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	For this receptor, the worst-affected property assessed southeast, nearest to the relevant worksite.
R2	Group (20+)	Residential Caravans at Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, Skipsea, YO25 8TT	For this receptor, the worst-affected property assessed nearest to the relevant worksite.
			The residential caravans that are situated further into noise levels due to screening and distance.
R ₃	Group (20+)	Residential Houses and Flats on Hornsea Road from Braemer (west) to Southdene (east), Skipsea, YO25 8ST	For this receptor, the worst-affected property assessed Skipsea, YO25 8ST, nearest to the relevant worksite.
R4	Group (less than 5)	Residential Houses at Strawberry Fields Holiday Park, Skipsea, YO25 8TF	
R5	Individual	Skipsea Primary School, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	
R6	Group (less than 5)	Residential Caravans on Hornsea Road (Cliff Edge), Skipsea, YO25 8SX	
R ₇	Individual	Residential House at Southfield House Farm, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8SY	
R13	Individual	Residential House at Woodnook Fold, Catfoss Road, Nunkeeling, YO25 8EH	
R14	Individual	Residential House at Sunnyside, West Road, Sigglesthorne, HU11 5QL	
R15	Individual	Residential House at Catfoss Hall, Catwick Road, Catfoss, HU11 5QN	
R16	Group (less than 5)	Residential Houses at Riston Grange, White Cross Road, Long Riston, HU11 5SA	
R19	Group (less than 5)	Residential Houses at Manor House Farm, Meaux Lane, Routh, HU17 9SR	For this receptor, the worst-affected property assessed building in this group, nearest to the relevant worksite
R22	Group (less than 5)	Residential House at Seven Oaks, Routh, HU17 9SL	

RWE



EcoDoc Number 005633280

ed in the ES is a residential caravan to the

ed in the ES is a residential caravan to the north,

the area will be subject to significantly lower

ed in the ES is Southdene, Hornsea Road,

ed in the ES is the most southerly residential e.

	D	BS
Offsho	ore \	Wind

Receptor	Type (approx. no. properties)	Description	Comments
R23	Group (less than 5)	Residential House at Hall Farm, Main Road, Routh, HU17 9SL	
R24	Group (less than 5)	Residential House at Field House Farm, Main Road, Routh, HU17 9SL	
R25	Individual	Residential House at Keepers Cottage, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU17 9SG	
R26	Group (less than 5)	Residential Houses at Molescroft Carr Farm, Carr Road, Molescroft, HU17 7JZ	
R27	Group (5-19)	Residential Houses on Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	For this receptor, the worst-affected property assessed building in this group, nearest to the relevant worksite
			The residential houses that are situated further into the noise levels due to screening and distance.
R29	Individual	Busy Bees Nursery, Grange Way, Molescroft, HU17 9GP	
R30	Group (20+)	Residential Houses on Beverley Drive/Johnston Court/Marchant Close/Pighill Lane/Whitefields Close, Molescroft, HU17	For this receptor, the worst-affected property assessed building in this group, nearest to the relevant worksite
			The residential houses that are situated further into th noise levels due to screening and distance.
R ₃₃	Individual	Beverley Ambulance Station, Driffield Road, Molescroft, HU17 7LP	
R ₃₄	Group (less than 5)	Residential House and Flats at Field Head/Swanfield Veterinary Surgery, Driffield Road, Leconfield, HU17 7LU	
R ₃₅	Group (less than 5)	Residential Houses (The White House and Constitution Hill Farmhouse) on Malton Road, Molescroft, HU17 7QY	
R ₃₇	Individual	Residential House at Walkington Gatehouse, Broadgate, Walkington, HU17 8RG	
R ₃ 8	Group (20+)	Residential Houses in Broadgates Residential Area (Including on roads Ash Dene, Broadgate, George Lane, Hayward Close, Huzzard Close, Megson Way, Nightingale Close, Oriel Close, Speedwell Lane, The Haven), HU17	For this receptor, the worst-affected property assessed directional drill (HDD) worksite to the northeast. The re residential area will be subject to significantly lower no
			Noise levels were also assessed at the worst-affected p area that could be affected by worksites to the souther further away from the nearest residential properties co and worksites to the northeast (i.e. those discussed in t
R39	Individual	Residential House at Butt Farm, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	
R40	Individual	Residential House at 158 (Clun Valley), Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	
R42	Individual	Residential House at Jocks Lodge, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	
R43	Individual	Residential House at Rose Villa, Beverley Road, Bentley, HU17 8PP	
R46	Individual	Residential House at Mouse Hill, Beverley Road, Bentley, HU17 8PP	
R47	Individual	Residential House at Jillywood Farm, Beverley Road, Bentley, HU17 8PP	
R48	Individual	Residential House at Poplar Farm, Park Lane, Cottingham, HU16 5SA	





l in the ES is the most northerly residential .

he area will be subject to significantly lower

d in the ES is the most northerly residential e.

he area will be subject to significantly lower

d in the ES is closest to potential horizontal esidential properties situated further into the bise levels due to screening and distance.

properties to the southeast of the residential ast. However, these worksites are significantly ompared to the distance between properties the previous paragraph).

	D	BS
Offsho	ore \	Wind

Type (approx. no. properties)	Description	Comments
Individual	Residential House at Westwood Stud Farm, Newbald Road, Bishop Burton, HU17 8EF	
Group (20+)	Residential Houses at 202-232 Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT and Little Storkhill Meadow, Tickton, HU17 9SA	For this receptor, the worst-affected property assessed building in this group, nearest to the relevant worksite
		The residential houses that are situated further into th noise levels due to screening and distance.
Individual	Residential House at Mount Pleasant Cottages, York Road, Beverley, HU17 8QY	
Individual	Residential House at Field House, Rise Lane, Catwick, HU17 5PN	
Individual	Residential House at Carr House Farm, Carr Lane, Long Riston, HU11 5JU	
Group (5-19)	Residential Houses on Main Street, Bentley, HU17 8PP	The group of properties represented by this receptor is the operational onshore converter station(s)) but are r from worksites).
		For this receptor, the worst-affected property assessed Bentley, HU17 8PP.
Group (less than 5)	Residential Houses at Manor Cottage & Manor Lodge, Catwick Road, Catfoss, HU11 5QN	
Individual	Residential House at Low Burn, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU17 9SG	
Individual	Residential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU17 9SG	
Individual	Residential House at Bonwick Lodge, Bewholme Lane, Skipsea Brough, YO25 8EE	
Individual	Residential House at Dunnington Grange, Skipsea Lane, Dunnington, YO25 8EF	
Individual	Residential House at Moor Grange, Beverley Road, Beeford, YO25 8AE	
Group (less than 5)	Residential Houses at Hind House & Catfoss Grange Bungalow, Harsell Lane, Seaton, HU11 5QN	
Individual	Residential House at Woodlands, Main Road, Nunkeeling, YO25 8EH	
Group (less than 5)	Residential House at Spring Mount, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	
Individual	Residential House at Bentley Lodge, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	
Individual	Residential House at Low Park Farm, Carr Road, Molescroft, HU17 7JZ	
Group (less than 5)	Residential House at Smiddys Farm, Cleeton Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8SR	
Individual	Residential House at Roselea, Hull Bridge Road, Beverley, HU17 9RS	
	Type (approx. no. properties)IndividualIndividualGroup (20+)IndividualIndividualIndividualGroup (5-19)Group (less than 5)IndividualIndividualIndividualIndividualGroup (less than 5)IndividualIndividualIndividualIndividualIndividualIndividualIndividualIndividualIndividualIndividualIndividualGroup (less than 5)IndividualGroup (less than 5)IndividualGroup (less than 5)Individual <td< td=""><td>Type (approx. no. properties)DescriptionIndividualResidential House at Westwood Stud Farm, Newbald Road, Bishop Burton, HU3y BEFGroup (2o+)Residential Houses at 2o2-232 Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU37 gRT and Little Storkhill Meadow, Tickton, HU37 gSAIndividualResidential House at Mount Pleasant Cottages, York Road, Beverley, HU37 BQYIndividualResidential House at Field House, Rise Lane, Catwick, HU37 SPNIndividualResidential House at Field House, Rise Lane, Catwick, HU37 SPNIndividualResidential House at Carr House Farm, Carr Lane, Long Riston, HU31 SJUGroup (5-19)Residential Houses on Main Street, Bentley, HU37 BPPIndividualResidential Houses at Manor Cottage & Manor Lodge, Catwick Road, Catfors, HU31 SQNIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU37 9SGIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU37 9SGIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU37 9SGIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU37 9SGIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU37 9SGIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Skipsea Brough, YO25 8EEIndividualResidential House at Moor Grange, Bewerley Road, Beeford, YO25 8EFIndividualResidential House at Woodlands, Main Road, Nunkeeling, YO25 8EFIndividualResidential House at Woodlands, Main Road, Nunkeeling, YO25 8EFIndividualResidential House at Spring Moont, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU37 9FJIndividualResidential House at Spring Moont, Victor</td></td<>	Type (approx. no. properties)DescriptionIndividualResidential House at Westwood Stud Farm, Newbald Road, Bishop Burton, HU3y BEFGroup (2o+)Residential Houses at 2o2-232 Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU37 gRT and Little Storkhill Meadow, Tickton, HU37 gSAIndividualResidential House at Mount Pleasant Cottages, York Road, Beverley, HU37 BQYIndividualResidential House at Field House, Rise Lane, Catwick, HU37 SPNIndividualResidential House at Field House, Rise Lane, Catwick, HU37 SPNIndividualResidential House at Carr House Farm, Carr Lane, Long Riston, HU31 SJUGroup (5-19)Residential Houses on Main Street, Bentley, HU37 BPPIndividualResidential Houses at Manor Cottage & Manor Lodge, Catwick Road, Catfors, HU31 SQNIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU37 9SGIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU37 9SGIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU37 9SGIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU37 9SGIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU37 9SGIndividualResidential House at Carr House, Eske Lane, Skipsea Brough, YO25 8EEIndividualResidential House at Moor Grange, Bewerley Road, Beeford, YO25 8EFIndividualResidential House at Woodlands, Main Road, Nunkeeling, YO25 8EFIndividualResidential House at Woodlands, Main Road, Nunkeeling, YO25 8EFIndividualResidential House at Spring Moont, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU37 9FJIndividualResidential House at Spring Moont, Victor





ed in the ES is the most westerly residential re.

he area will be subject to significantly lower

is in the operational study area (within 500m of not within the construction study area (300m

ed in the ES is Rose Cottage, Main Street,



Table E-2 Individual Noise Sensitive Receptors

Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description

This table should be read in conjunction with Table E-1 above, which summarises the receptors.

The data below represents OS AddressBase Plus points within the receptor areas shown in Figure 25.1 of the Environmental Statement [APP 202]. The data has been taken directly from OS AddressBase Plus data.

Within the Environmental Statement Chapter 25 Noise [APP-201], multiple properties have been scoped into the study areas. Where appropriate these receptors have been grouped in some instances. Where receptors are grouped the result at the worst-affected façade of the worst-affected property has been assessed and presented in Chapter 25 Noise [APP-201].

It is important to note that the assessment of impacts are not intended to assess each individual property but at properties worst-affected by noise and vibration. As the worst affected receptor within a group has been assessed, the remaining receptors within groups will be subject to a lower level of noise (in some cases noise levels will be significantly lower, e.g. where receptors within the group are shielded from the noise source but the 'worst case' receptor isn't).

East View, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Self Contained Flat (Includes Maisonet
13, October Cottage, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
12, East Coast Cottage, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
19, Union Retreat, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
8, Sunray, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
Southfield 122, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 143, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 120, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 167, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 9, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 145, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 161, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 169, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 165, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 10, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 125, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 136, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 164, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 130, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 170, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 159, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Southfield 163, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
	East View, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA 12, Gotober Cottage, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA 12, East Coast Cottage, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA 13, Union Retreat, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA 8, Sunray, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA 8, Sunray, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA 8, Sunray, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA 9, Outhfield 122, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 123, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 15, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 145, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 145, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 145, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 145, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 165, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 160, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 125, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 126, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 126, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 126, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ Southfield 126, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO2





EcoDoc Number 005633280

ette / Apartment)



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
Rı	Southfield 158, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 16o, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 134, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 48, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 123, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 2, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 149A, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 26, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 126, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 132, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 171, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 124, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 138, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 1, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 128, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 149, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 154, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 157, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 6, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 28, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	14, Crest Lodge, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
Rı	Southfield 8, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 162, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 156, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 141, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 147, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	15, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280	



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
Rı	The Haven, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
Rı	18, Beachcomber, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
Rı	16, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
Rı	20, Edgewave, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
Rı	Southfield 23, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 172, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 20, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 11, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 22, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 30, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 44, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 148, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 47, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 135, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 127, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 25, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 129, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 27, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 131, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 18, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 31, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 142, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 168, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 37, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 21, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 173, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 13, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan





EcoDoc Number 005633280	


Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
Rı	Southfield 151, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 15, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Soutfield 5, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 121, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 38, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 42, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 41, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 176, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 166, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 51, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 14, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 144, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 174, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 155, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 152, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 16, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 33, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 32, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 153, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 24, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 34, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 40, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 175, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 150, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Soutfield 7, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 133, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 187, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
Rı	Southfield 29, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 43, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 36, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 53, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 49, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 137, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 17, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Soutfield 35, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 4, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 39, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 3, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 12, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 129A, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 140, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 146, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 19, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Southfield 139, Skipsea Sands Holiday Park, Mill Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TZ	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	7, Freshfield, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
Rı	Caravan At Site Of 9 And 10, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
Rı	Caravan, Site Of 21, Green Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8UA	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 25, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 22, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 13, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 24, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 24, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 20, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 12, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R2	H 35, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO 25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 22, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 28, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 10, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 35, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 16, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 22, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 17, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 46, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 20, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 21, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 19, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 5, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 24, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 17, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 32, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 27, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 16, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 45, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 30, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 1, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 5, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 4, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 6, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 14, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 15, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 1, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R2	D 34, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO 25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 33, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 6, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 9, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 2, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 23, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 34, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 21, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 2, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 24, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 16, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 10, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 25, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 10, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 17, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 8, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 14, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 23, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 4, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 19, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 22, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 13, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 18, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 16, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 39, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 17, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 43, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R2	H 21, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 18, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 20, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 9, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	D 33, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 26, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 15, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 3, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 24, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 6, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 51, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 18, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 43, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 21, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 19, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 48, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	C 29, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 37, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 19, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 19, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 23, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 28, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 11, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 12, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 29, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 4, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 31, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R2	N 21, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 23, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 42, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 16, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO 25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 12, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 6, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 40, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 15, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 22, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 46, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	M 11, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 10, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 52, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 4, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 14, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 1, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 3, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 12, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 18, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 2, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 2, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 47, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 8, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 1, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 19, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 3, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 17, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R2	E 7, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 8, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 48, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 6, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	M 12, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	M 13, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 4, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 14, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 41, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 10, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 49, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 11, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 18, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 13, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 5, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 9, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 16, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 13, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 5, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 13, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	M 14, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	M 15, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 7, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 42, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 4, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 10, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 9, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan





EcoDoc Number 005633280	



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R2	J 6, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 17, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 11, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 14, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 14, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 8, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 16, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 11, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	D 32, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 22, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 26, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 44, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 44, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 5, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	D 31, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 30, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 25, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 15, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 49, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 7, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 12, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 7, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 12, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 20, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 40, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	D 30, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 18, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan





EcoDoc Number 005633280	

Page | 8o



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R2	G 13, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 47, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 19, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 38, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 29, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 21, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 50, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 23, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 15, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 27, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 45, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 18, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 26, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 50, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 7, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	I 3, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 8, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 36, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	J 5, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 27, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 31, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 9, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 32, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	H 41, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 14, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 7, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 20, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan





EcoDoc Number 005633280	



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R2	F 3, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 15, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	G 11, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 17, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	E 15, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 8, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	F 20, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 11, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R2	N 9, Ulrome Seaside Caravan Park, East End, Ulrome, YO25 8TT	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R ₃	Braemar, Breamar, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃	Boucette, Boucette, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃	Redbricks, Redbricks, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃	Flat 2, Skipsea Service Station, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Self Contained Flat (Includes Maisonet)
R ₃	Rose Lea, Roselea, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃	Southlands, Southlands, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃	Daniella Rose, Daniella Rose, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃	Peacehaven, Peacehaven, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃	1, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃	9, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	Southdene, Southdene, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃	13, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	2, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃	Threeways, Threeways, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃	5, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	10, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	8, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	12, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced





	EcoDoc Number 005633280	
te / Apartment)		



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R ₃	4, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	3, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	14, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	15, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃	7, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	6, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	11, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃	16, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃	Skipsea Service Station, Flat 1, Skipsea Service Station, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Residential Dwelling - Self Contained Flat (Includes Maison
R4	Strawberry Fields, Strawberry Fields, Cliff Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R4	Strawberry Fields, The Dog House, Cliff Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8TF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅	Skipsea Primary School, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8ST	Preparatory / First / Primary / Infant / Junior / Middle School
R6	Building Plot Caravan, Cliff Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8SX	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R6	Caravan On Building Plot, Cliff Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8SX	Residential Dwelling - Caravan
R7	Southfield Farm, Southfield House Farm, Hornsea Road, Skipsea, YO25 8SY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R13	Woodnook Fold, Woodnook Fold, Catfoss Road, Bewholme, YO25 8EH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R14	Sunnyside, West Road, Sigglesthorne, HU11 5QL	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R15	Catfoss Hall, Catfoss Hall, Catwick Road, Catfoss, HU11 5QN	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R16	The Cottage, The Cottage, Riston Grange, White Cross Road, Long Riston, HU11 5SA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R16	East House, Riston Grange, White Cross Road, Long Riston, HU11 5SA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R16	Riston Grange, Riston Grange, White Cross Road, Long Riston, HU11 5SA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R19	Manor House, Manor House Farm, Meaux Lane, Routh, HU17 9SR	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R19	Havers, Havers, Manor House Farm, Meaux Lane, Routh, HU17 9SR	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R19	2 Manor Farm Cottage, Manor Farm Cottage, Meaux Lane, Routh, HU17 9SR	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R22	The Flat, Seven Oaks, Main Road, Routh, HU17 9SL	Residential Dwelling - Self Contained Flat (Includes Maison
R22	Seven Oaks, Seven Oaks, Main Road, Routh, HU17 9SL	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R23	The Cottage, Hall Farm, Main Road, Routh, HU17 9SL	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached





|--|

te / Apartment)
te / Apartment)



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R23	Hall Farm, Hall Farm, Main Road, Routh, HU17 9SL	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R24	Field House Farm, Field House Farm, Main Road, Routh, HU17 9SL	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R24	Field House Farm, The Bungalow, Field House Farm, Main Road, Routh, HU17 9SL	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R24	Field House Farm, The Annexe, Field House Farm, Main Road, Routh, HU17 9SL	Residential Dwelling - Self Contained Flat (Includes Maisonet
R25	Keepers Cottage, Keepers Cottage, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU17 9SG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R26	The Low Barn, The Low Barn, Carr Road, Molescroft, HU17 7JZ	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R26	The Old Barn, The Old Barn, Carr Road, Molescroft, HU17 7JZ	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R26	Molescroft Carr Farm, Molescroft Carr Farm, Carr Road, Molescroft, HU17 7JZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R26	The Old Coach House, The Old Coach House, Carr Road, Molescroft, HU17 7JZ	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R27	78, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R27	80, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R27	74, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R27	72, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R27	76, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R27	103, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R27	99, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R27	97, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R27	105, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R27	101, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R27	107, Hambling Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R29	Busy Bees Nursery, Grange Way, Molescroft, HU17 9GP	Children's Nursery / Crèche
R30	16, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	73, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	40, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	37, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	2, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	69, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached





	EcoDoc Number 005633280
ette / Apartment)	



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R30	8, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	15, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	45, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	21, Whitefields Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	18, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	3, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	57, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	1, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	6, Beverley Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	15, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	18, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	1, Pighill Lane, Molescroft, HU17 7JY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	71, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	10, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	5, Whitefields Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	4, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	10, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	12, Beverley Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	19, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	7, Whitefields Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	4, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	12, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	39, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	9, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	36, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	20, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	9, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R30	55, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	42, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	38, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	6, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	2, Lowcroft House, Pighill Lane, Molescroft, HU17 7JY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	30, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	5, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	32, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	65, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	9, Whitefields Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	7, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	8, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	41, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	12, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	2, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	7, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	53, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	51, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	4, Beverley Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	59, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	19, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	63, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	17, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	14, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	16, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	43, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	33, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280	



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R30	47, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	61, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	31, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	11, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	10, Beverley Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	27, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	17, Whitefields Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	25, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	23, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	5, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	14, Beverley Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	21, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	67, Casamia, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	2, Beverley Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	3, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	34, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	11, Whitefields Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	8, Beverley Drive, Molescroft, HU17 9GG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	1, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	49, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	6, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	11, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	35, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	14, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	17, Johnston Court, Molescroft, HU17 9GF	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R30	26, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	24, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R30	28, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	22, Marchant Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GE	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R30	15, Whitefields Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R30	19, Whitefields Close, Molescroft, HU17 9GY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃₃	Beverley Ambulance Station, Driffield Road, Molescroft, HU17 7LP	Ambulance Station
R34	Swanfield Veterinary Surgery, Flat 2, Swanfield Veterinary Surgery, Driffield Road, Leconfield, HU17 7LU	Residential Dwelling - Self Contained Flat (Includes Maisone
R ₃₄	Swanfield Veterinary Surgery, Flat 1, Swanfield Veterinary Surgery, Driffield Road, Leconfield, HU17 7LU	Residential Dwelling - Self Contained Flat (Includes Maisone
R ₃₄	Field Head, Field Head, Driffield Road, Leconfield, HU17 7LU	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃₅	The White House, The White House, Malton Road, Molescroft, HU17 7QY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃₅	Constitution Hill Farmhouse, Malton Road, Molescroft, HU17 7QY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃₇	Walkington Gatehouse, Walkington Gatehouse, Broadgate, Walkington, HU17 8RG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	16, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	5, Maple House, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	14, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	7, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	Lodge Cottages, Lodge Cottages, Broadgate, Walkington, HU17 8RJ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	3, Nightingale Close, Walkington, HU17 8YF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	18, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	3, Kirkwood House, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	16, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	4, Nightingale Close, Walkington, HU17 8YF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	2, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃ 8	52, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	50, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	42, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	58, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38	77, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached





te / Apartment)
te / Apartment)



Receptor Address	Class Description
71, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
85, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
91, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
72, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
46, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
33, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
66, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
64, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
87, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
40, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
34, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
39, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
103, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
15, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
14, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
2, Nightingale Close, Walkington, HU17 8YF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
35, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
6, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
43, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
19, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
31, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
5, Nightingale Close, Walkington, HU17 8YF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
37, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
79, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
21, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
41, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
62, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
	Preceptor Address 24, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 85, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 91, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 32, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 66, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 66, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 87, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 66, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 87, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 89, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 80, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 80, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 80, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 81, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 82, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 83, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 84, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU32 8YA 85, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 84, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 85, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 86, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 86, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 87, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 89, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 80, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 81, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 82, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA 83, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YA





EcoDoc Number 005633280	



Rg4g,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WARedental Deelling - DetachedRg5g,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg64,Tin Huven, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg63,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg78,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg73,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg82,Gorge Lane, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg83,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg83,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg830,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg830,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg880,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg880,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg880,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg89,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg89,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WAReidental Deelling - DetachedRg89,Mgaan Way, Walkington, HU yr WDReidental Deelling - DetachedRg89,Old Close, Walkington, HU yr WDReidental Deelling - DetachedRg89,Old Close, Walkington, HU yr WDReidental Deelling - DetachedRg89, Ngaan Close, Walkington, HU yr WDReidental Deelling - DetachedRg	Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R§d89.kgaon/Wa/Waington,HU3/PMReidential Decidencies83x1F4xera,Waington,HU3/PMReidential Decidencies83x2.kgaon/Wa,Waington,HU3/PMReidential Decidencies83x3.kgaon/Wa,Waington,HU3/PMReidential Decidencies84x3.kgaon/Wa,Waington,HU3/PMReidential Decidencies87x3.kgaon/Wa,Waington,HU3/PMReidential Decidencies87x3.kgaon/Wa,Waington,HU3/PMReidential Decidencies87x3.kgaon/Wa,Waington,HU3/PMReidencies87x3.kgaon/Wa,Waington,HU3/PMReidencies88x3.kgaon/Wa,Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Wa,Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Wa,Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies89x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies80x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies81x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies82x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies83x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies84x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PMReidencies84x3.kgaon/Waington,HU3/PM	R ₃ 8	93, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R§44,Tle Haven, Walkington, HU7, 8YHReidenial Duelling - DetachedR§45,Megson Way, Walkington, HU7, 8YHReidenial Duelling - DetachedR§45,Megson Way, Walkington, HU7, 8YHReidenial Duelling - DetachedR§42, Gorge Lane, Walkington, HU7, 8YHReidenial Duelling - DetachedR§41, Megson Way, Walkington, HU7, 8YHReidenial Duelling - DetachedR§42, Gorge Lane, Walkington, HU7, 8YHReidenial Duelling - DetachedR§45, Megson Way, Walkington, HU7, 8YHReidenial Duelling - DetachedR§46, Megson Way, Walkington, HU7, 8YHReidenial Duelling - DetachedR§49, Oral Close, Walkington, HU7, 8YHReidenial Duelling - DetachedR§49, Mayard Close, Walkington, HU7, 8YHReidenial Duelling	R ₃ 8	99, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3r3, Megan Way, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR38, Megan Way, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR32, George Lane, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR37, Megan Way, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR37, Megan Way, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR310, Megan Way, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR38, Megan Way, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR38, Megan Way, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR39, Megan Way, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR49, Megan Way, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR39, Orlef Cose, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR49, Orlef Cose, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR59, May and Close, Waikington, HU17 SYAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR59, May and Close, Waikington, HU17 SYARe	R ₃ 8	4, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R383, Magson Way, Waikington, HU3 PYAResidential Develope-DetachedR32, George Lane, Waikington, HU3 PXAResidential Develope-DetachedR37, Magson Way, Waikington, HU3 PXAResidential Develope-DetachedR37, Magson Way, Waikington, HU3 PXAResidential Develope-DetachedR38, Magson Way, Waikington, HU3 PXAResidential Develope-DetachedR38, Magson Way, Waikington, HU3 PXAResidential Develope-DetachedR39, Oraclose, Waikington, HU3 PXAResidential Develope-DetachedR49, Oraclose, Waikington, HU3 PXDResidential Develope-DetachedR49, Oraclose, Waikington, HU3 PXDResidential Develope-DetachedR49, Oraclose, Waikington, HU3 PXDResidential Develope-DetachedR59, Sayaard Close, Waikington, HU3 PXDResidential Develope-DetachedR49, Sayaard Close, Waikington, HU3 PXDResidential Develope-DetachedR59, Oraclose, Waikington, HU3 PXDResidential Develope-DetachedR59, Oraclose, Waikington, HU3 PXDResidential Develope-DetachedR59, Oraclose, Waikington, HU3 PXDResidential Develope-DetachedR59, Or	R ₃ 8	73, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3z, Gorge Lane, Walkington, HU27 8XXResidential Dveling - DetachedR3i7, Megson Way, Walkington, HU27 8YAResidential Dveling - DetachedR3i0, Orlel Cose, Walkington, HU27 8YDResidential Dveling - DetachedR3i0, Orlel Cose, Walkington, HU27 8YDResidential Dveling - DetachedR3i2, Mayaord Cose, Walkington, HU27 8YDResidential Dveling - DetachedR3i2, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU27 8YDResidential Dveling - DetachedR3i2, Meadow View, O	R ₃ 8	83, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R347, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3r6, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3r6, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3s9, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR34s9, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR34s9, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR34s9, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR35s9, Megson Way, Walkington, HU32 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR36r1, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU32 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR36s0, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU32 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR36s, Jayaard Close, Walkington, HU32 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR36s, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU32 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR36s, Jayaard Close, Walkington, HU32 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR36s, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU32 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR36	R ₃ 8	22, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3r6, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3io., Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR368, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3a., Oriel Cose, Walkington, HU17 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3a., Oriel Cose, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3a., Oriel Cose, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3i., S., Hayward Cose, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3i., S., Hayward Cose, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3i., J., Medow View, Oriel Cose, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3i., S., Mellings, Oriel Cose, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3i., Oriel Cose, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3i., S., The Riddings, Oriel Cose, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling -	R ₃ 8	47, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3iai, Megon Way, Walkington, HU127 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR368, Megon Way, Walkington, HU127 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3a.y. Megon Way, Walkington, HU127 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3b.y. Megon Way, Walkington, HU127 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3y. Ord Close, Walkington, HU127 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3y. Ord Close, Walkington, HU127 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3s. J., Maxard Close, Walkington, HU127 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3s. Ord Close, Walkington, HU1	R ₃ 8	76, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R368, Megon Way, Walkington, HU37 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR329, Megon Way, Walkington, HU37 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR395, Megon Way, Walkington, HU37 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR395, Megon Way, Walkington, HU37 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR39, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3814, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3814, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Neadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Neadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached <td>R₃8</td> <td>101, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA</td> <td>Residential Dwelling - Detached</td>	R ₃ 8	101, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3829, Megson Way, Walkington, HU37 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3883, Megson Way, Walkington, HU37 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3895, Megson Way, Walkington, HU37 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR383, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3814, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3817, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3810, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3814, Juaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3814, Juaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detac	R ₃ 8	68, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3881, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3895, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR383, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3811, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR387, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Mayard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Megow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Medow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Soriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Soriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Soriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Kaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Kaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Kaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	29, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3895, Megon Way, Walkington, HU37 8YAResidential Dwelling - DetachedR383, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU37 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	81, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R383, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3811, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3817, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU37 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Neadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3813, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3813, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3814, Jkay, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3814, Jkay, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU37 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	95, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3811, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3817, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Jaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Jaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Jaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Jaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	3, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3817, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3814, Kaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	11, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3816, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	17, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3815, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	16, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R386, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YBResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3812, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	15, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R3812, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR386, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	6, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R386, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	12, Meadow View, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R385, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR3815, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - DetachedR381, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YDResidential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	6, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38 15, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD Residential Dwelling - Detached R38 1, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD Residential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	5, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8 1, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD Residential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	15, The Riddings, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
	R ₃ 8	1, Ikaya, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38 18, Westmore, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD Residential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	18, Westmore, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38 24, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB Residential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	24, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38 16, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB Residential Dwelling - Detached	R ₃ 8	16, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280	



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R ₃ 8	1, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38	4, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	8, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	17, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	20, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	7, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	10, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	11, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	1, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	3, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	2, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	14, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	11, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	5, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	18, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	7, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	9, Fosspikes, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	19, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	16, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	17, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	8, Newtondale, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	4, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	35, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38	25, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	27, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	30, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38	32, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R ₃ 8	26, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	3, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	24, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	7, Nightingale Close, Walkington, HU17 8YF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	8, Nightingale Close, Walkington, HU17 8YF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	1, Nightingale Close, Walkington, HU17 8YF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	25, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	2, Ash Dene, Walkington, HU17 8XY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38	8, Ash Dene, Walkington, HU17 8XY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	3, Ash Dene, Walkington, HU17 8XY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	5, Ash Dene, Walkington, HU17 8XY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38	3, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	8, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	4, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	5, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	2, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	6, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38	12, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	1, The Swallows, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	15, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	17, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	7, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	11, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R38	Lodge Cottages, Lodge Cottages, Broadgate, Walkington, HU17 8RJ	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃ 8	Lodge Cottages, Lodge Cottages, Broadgate, Walkington, HU17 8RJ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	2, St Andrews, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	15, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R ₃ 8	10, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	7, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	12, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	17, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	1, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	19, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	25, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	5, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	Lodge Cottages, White Lodge Cottage, Lodge Cottages, Broadgate, Walkington, HU17 8RJ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	Lodge Cottages, Lodge Cottages, Broadgate, Walkington, HU17 8RJ	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃ 8	29, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	4, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	23, Coed Y Ffin, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	56, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	21, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	6o, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	21, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	23, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	1, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	89, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	21, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	8, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	1, Ash Dene, Walkington, HU17 8XY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	22, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	20, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	9, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	8, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R ₃ 8	19, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	48, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	3, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	44, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	31, The Unicorn, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	38, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	54, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	45, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	36, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	6, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	9, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	11, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	10, Nutsville, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	6, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	Lodge Cottages, Lodge Cottages, Broadgate, Walkington, HU17 8RJ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	74, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	10, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	5, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	14, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	8, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	6, Ash Dene, Walkington, HU17 8XY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	7, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	14, Belvedere, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	12, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	5, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	20, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	6, Nightingale Close, Walkington, HU17 8YF	Residential Dwelling - Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R ₃ 8	18, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Terraced
R ₃ 8	10, Etton House, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	2, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	12, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	9, The Haven, Walkington, HU17 8YH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	75, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	12, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	9, Speedwell Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	7, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	33, Evergreen, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	4, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	10, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	3, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	21, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	14, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	18, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	2, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	28, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	27, George Lane, Walkington, HU17 8XX	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	23, Hayward Close, Walkington, HU17 8YB	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	9, Oriel Close, Walkington, HU17 8YD	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	70, Megson Way, Walkington, HU17 8YA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₃ 8	1, Huzzard Close, Walkington, HU17 8YG	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₃ 8	4, Ash Dene, Walkington, HU17 8XY	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R39	Butt Farm, Butt Farm, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R40	158, Clun Valley, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R42	Jocks Lodge, Jocks Lodge, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	Residential Dwelling - Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R43	Rose Villa, Rose Villa, Beverley Road, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R46	Mouse Hill, Mouse Hill, Beverley Road, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R47	Jillywood Farm, Jillywood Farm, Beverley Road, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R48	Poplar Farm, Poplar Farm, Park Lane, Cottingham, HU16 5SA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R51	Westwood Stud Farm, Newbald Road, Bishop Burton, HU17 8EF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	212, Moorcroft, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R53	226, Arica, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	222, Ashleigh, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	1, Little Storkhill Meadow, Tickton, HU17 9SA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	204, Moorings, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	3, Little Storkhill Meadow, Tickton, HU17 9SA	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₅₃	230, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R53	224, Lyncroft, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	232, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	214, Floral Lodge, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R53	206, Cherry House, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R53	210, Whiteheart, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	Little Storkhill Meadow, Tickton, HU17 9SA	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	208, Northcott, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	223, Little Storkhill Farm, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RS	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₃	218, Hawthorns, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R53	202, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R53	220, Fernleigh, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R53	228, Lyndholme, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R53	216, Ashcroft, Hull Bridge Road, Tickton, HU17 9RT	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R53	4, Little Storkhill Meadow, Tickton, HU17 9SA	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₅₃	2, Little Storkhill Meadow, Tickton, HU17 9SA	Residential Dwelling - Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280		



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R54	1-2 Mount Pleasant Cottages, Mount Pleasant Cottages, York Road, Beverley, HU17 8QY	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R55	Field House, Rise Lane, Catwick, HU17 5PN	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R56	Carr House Farm, Carr House Farm, Carr Lane, Long Riston, HU11 5JU	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R57	2 Manor Farm Cottages, Manor Farm Cottages, Main Street, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₅₇	4 Manor Farm Cottages, Manor Farm Cottages, Main Street, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₅₇	Church Cottage, Church Cottage, Main Street, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R57	Rose Cottage, Rose Cottage, Main Street, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₅₇	Keepers Cottage, Keepers Cottage, Main Street, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₅₇	Lake Farm, Lake Farm, Main Street, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₇	3 Manor Farm Cottages, Manor Farm Cottages, Main Street, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R ₅₇	St. Peters House, St Peters House, Main Street, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R ₅₇	1 Manor Farm Cottages, Manor Farm Cottages, Main Street, Bentley, HU17 8PP	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R58	Manor Cottage, Manor Cottage, Catwick Road, Catfoss, HU11 5QN	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R58	Manor Lodge, Manor Lodge, Catwick Road, Catfoss, HU11 5QN	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R59	Low Burn, Low Burn, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU17 9SG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R60	Carr House, Carr House, Eske Lane, Tickton, HU17 9SG	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R61	Bonwick Lodge, Bonwick Lodge, Bewholme Lane, Skipsea Brough, YO25 8EE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R62	Dunnington Grange, Dunnington Grange, Skipsea Lane, Dunnington, YO25 8EF	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R63	Moor Grange Cottage, Moor Grange, Beverley Road, Beeford, YO25 8AE	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R64	Hind House, Hind House, Harsell Lane, Seaton, HU11 5QN	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R64	Catfoss Grange Bungalow, Grange Bungalow, Harsell Lane, Seaton, HU11 5QN	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R65	Woodlands, Woodlands, Main Road, Nunkeeling, YO25 8EH	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R66	The Annexe, Spring Mount, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R66	Spring Mount, Spring Mount, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R67	Bentley Lodge, Bentley Lodge, Victoria Road, Beverley, HU17 8PJ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R68	The Chestnuts, Low Park Farm, Carr Road, Molescroft, HU17 7JZ	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R69	The Grainstore, Smiddys Farm, Cleeton Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8SR	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached





EcoDoc Number 005633280	
Dagalar	



Receptor	Receptor Address	Class Description
R69	The Milking Parlour, Smiddys Farm, Cleeton Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8SR	Residential Dwelling - Semi-Detached
R69	Smiddys Farm, The Farmhouse, Smiddys Farm, Cleeton Lane, Skipsea, YO25 8SR	Residential Dwelling - Detached
R70	Roselea, Roselea, Hull Bridge Road, Beverley, HU17 9RS	Residential Dwelling - Detached



EcoDoc Number 005633280

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited

Windmill Business Park Whitehill Way Swindon Wiltshire, SN5 6PB



